



A NATIONAL SOCIALIST NETWORK PUBLICATION 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

National Socialism – A Philosophical Appraisal	8	
		44
		75
	89	

NATIONAL SOCIALISM A PHILOSOPHICAL APPRAISAL

COLIN JORDAN

Several decades after the physical defeat of National Socialist Germany in the outcome of her heroic struggle against the overwhelming array of men and materials marshalled against her by the Bolshevist-democratic alliance, the appearance of this reappraisal reflects the revival of National Socialism which is a feature of the day.

That the creed should live on and manifest itself as it does now, after being subjected to decades of the greatest campaign of defamation which the world has ever known, is a proof of its continuing validity and appeal and its worthiness for the future. It has survived the flames of war and the tempest of vilification because, when war has done its worst and vilification run its entire gamut, National Socialism remains, in the final analysis, synonymous with higher man's will to survive, his instinct for health and strength, and his desire for beauty in life; and as long as that will, that instinct and that desire remain on this earth, the creed of National Socialism will remain indestructible.

Beyond and behind all the minutiae of political implementation and the particularities of time and place, National Socialism, properly understood, is nothing less than an orientation of the mind, the dominant impulse of which is to live to the full, through the development of one's potentialities and the satisfaction of one's needs, under conditions of natural competition and selection, reconciled to cooperation, within the organised community of the folk.

In this its roots go back to Plato's Greece and his conception of a natural life, consisting in the full realization of man's true nature through the conducive power of government within his native community.

It echoes the Roman notion of dutiful citizenship: the notion that the good and noble life consists in stoic service to the state.

It revives the blood feelings and sense of community of the Nordic tribes of early Europe: the feeling that man is essentially a member of the folk, and that all members of the folk are bound together closely with reciprocal duties and obligations.

National Socialism, in this way, reaches back to the old, healthy, organic values of life in revolt against the whole structure of thought of liberalism and democracy, with its cash nexus; its excessive individualism; its view of man as a folkless.

NATIONAL SOCIALISM - A PHILOSOPHICAL APPRAISAL

interchangeable unit of world population; its spiritual justification in a debased Christianity embracing a sickly "humanitarianism", which will always tolerate a greater harm for the sake of avoiding a lesser one; and its fraudulent contention that the artificially induced and numerically determined wishes of the mass are allimportant criteria.

History is a saga of social decay and renewal. National Socialism is the twentieth century's remedy of renewal for the great degeneration of modern times under the disintegrating, debasing, and emasculating thought and practice which emerged with the disruption of the old medieval order of stability by the developing forces of capitalism and the industrial revolution; flourished under the laissez-faire liberalism of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries; came to a climax under the democracy of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries; and will result in the world triumph of communism by the end of this twentieth century unless National Socialism comes to power in time, over a sufficient area of the globe.

National Socialism, therefore, is immensely more than a transitory political scheme. It is a historic tendency of rebirth: our age's movement of renaissance, a movement revolutionary in scope and spirit, seeking no compromise with the present order, its pernicious practices, and its false values, but their complete replacement.

As such it is worldwide and it is life-wide. It is worldwide in that, in its essentials, it is valid and vital universally, qualified only by the fact that it is Aryan in its emanation and tradition, and upholds and depends on qualities that are to be found par excellence in the Aryan people.

It is life-wide in that it is not an aspect of life, but the whole of life seen from one aspect. It is an attitude of mind expressible in respect of virtually anything and everything. National Socialism stands relentlessly opposed to every manifestation of ill health, ugliness, and degeneracy in the cultural and spiritual, no less than in the political and economic spheres. In fact, it constitutes a way of life. A man does not call himself a National Socialist as a mere label of intellectual endorsement. He is born with a propensity to National Socialism, his mind aesthetically craving the discernment and fulfilment of a healthy pattern of life, and he not

only thinks and feels, but acts as a National Socialist, if he is really and entirely one.

Total in its scope and thought, National Socialism amounts to a philosophy and a faith. It evaluates good and bad, right and wrong, as that which benefits or harms the folk; and, in place of the sentimental debility of the democratic mind, accepts that the end justifies the means, providing the means do not contradict the end. It sets a meaning and purpose of cosmic dimension to life as a personal fulfilment, within the continuity and development of the folk, between germination in the womb out of the bloodline of the folk, and the metamorphosis of the grave, with its physical redistribution to the universe.

The basic criterion and primary value of National Socialism, from which all else springs, is, as Adolf Hitler makes clear in Mein Kampf, its concept of the folk, seen as man's essential environment and indeed, his extension of personality.

The significance of the folk is, primarily, that of a racial community. It is the ethnical enlargement of the family. Man is not a self-contained unit and an end in himself, as the sages of liberalism and democracy assert. He belongs to his folk. His life, as a part, is interwoven with the life of the whole, not only present, but past and future, for while men come and go the folk lives on, continuous, eternal, providing its members perform their duty to it. Thus, in identifying himself with his folk, man prolongs himself through the multiplicity of his ancestors and his descendants, and thereby attains immortality.

The folk exists in smaller and larger forms, ranging from the family, to the clan, to the tribe, or regional community, thence to the nation, and beyond to the race. In modern times, the conception of the folk has become too largely identified with the nations of the contemporary states. The feeling of kinship and community, which rightly expanded from the tribe and petty kingdom to the modern nation-state, has, however, become far too concentrated at this level. The lower and smaller, but equally important, communities within the nation-state have been disrupted and deprived of vitality, while the expansion of folk consciousness from the level of the nation-state to that of the entire race has been checked. Yet folk feeling, to be wholesomely potent, must flow from its roots through the local and provincial

NATIONAL SOCIALISM - A PHILOSOPHICAL APPRAISAL

communities to the limits of the race, because the full security and prosperity of the parts can only be found in that of the whole.

Today, and in the future, National Socialism must embody this essential extension of the feeling of kinship and community beyond the bounds of the contemporary nation-state and conventional nationalism, so that the nation-state becomes an intermediate unit in the structure of the folk, and its nationalism and racialism become integrally subordinate to a nationalism of the whole race.

At the same time, the local communities require to be revived, the provincial sub-nations recognized and respected, and peoples subject to an undesired, alien rule given their ethnic freedom by separation.

National Socialism's belief in the folk as a basic value and its totality of outlook result, figuratively speaking, in thinking with the blood on all questions.

This immediately and inevitably gives rise to the definition of citizenship as a matter of race: only those who are members of the folk are members of the nation, and only those who are members of the nation can be citizens of the state – to paraphrase the fourth of the Twenty-Five Points of Adolf Hitler's NSDAP.

It also generates the belief that it is necessary not merely to preserve the racial character of the folk, but also, by eugenic measures, to improve the quality of the folk. It is National Socialism's revolutionary contention that the way of real progress lies in the breeding of better human beings.

Since all citizens are of the same race, they have a transcendent bond of kinship uniting them as blood brothers above all sectional and class differences and personal distinctions. National unity, i.e., cohesion and corporate life in place of the class warfare of Left and Right, is one of the great secondary principles of National Socialism. All occupations and pursuits, all manner of persons and all fields of activity, must be integrated into the corporate life of the community.

The social feeling of oneness must find practical expression in, and in turn be stimulated by, a sincere and profound concern for social and economic justice. Consciousness of kinship and care for the collective good of the folk demand that every citizen must have an equal opportunity to develop and exercise his talents and

rise according to his merits; and that every citizen must receive a fair return for his services to the community, and even the simplest worker an assurance of the necessities of life.

Thus we arrive at the socialist element in National Socialism. This is not the Marxist socialism of state ownership of the means of production and distribution, which is the economic overgovernment of the ant heap and is as objectionable as the predatory individualism of the capitalist system, which is the economic under-government, or anarchy, of the jungle. Instead it is Folk Socialism, or the regulation of private enterprise for the equitable division of its fruits, under equitable conditions. The economic injustices and social evils of capitalism have fostered Marxism, with its pernicious form of public control of the economy, and the alternative to both lies in National Socialism.

The folk ideal, which entails the defense of the race, the unity of the nation, and the welfare of the people, engenders National Socialism's principle of leadership and an elite in the service of these objectives. Its conception of a natural order is one which not only ordains that men are born in the folk for a life within the folk, but also that they possess hereditary differences of capacity to serve the community.

Accordingly, for the maximum good of all, the superior must lead the inferior. The natural leaders must be selected, established as a hierarchic elite under a supreme leader, and empowered to fulfill their functions.

Unlike liberalism, National Socialism does not regard the directive power of the state as something essentially repressive, but instead as a great, beneficial power of guidance and arbitration, encouragement and protection. It upholds the dictum: "All for the folk and the folk for all." It sanctions whatever means are necessary, in whatever fields, to ensure that everyone and everything in the community is in harmony with this.

It sees the duty of National Socialist government as the representation of the will of the folk, conceived not as the transitory whim of some democratic mob, but as the higher interest of the community, viewed in historical perspective as a continuity of purpose, embracing not only the general good of the present, but the heritage of the past and the needs of the future as well.

GEORGE LINCOLN ROCKWELL

So far, this book has been mostly critical.

Now it is time to present constructive plans for building and creating.

I have put a year of research and hundreds of pages of writing into the effort to help the reader see and understand that Western Civilization is within a very few years of the end reached by Roman civilization – oblivion – and that he, personally, will suffer the fate of millions who have already fallen into the hands of the Jewish mortal enemies of Western Civilization – enslavement or, more likely, murder – unless we can find the will and the wisdom, somehow, to stop running away from the enemy and attack him with a White revolution!

Just how do you go about creating a revolution?

Are we all to grow beards, rush into the streets and begin shouting, waving our arms, throwing bombs, and otherwise acting the part of stereotype "revolutionaries"? NO! Of course not. Our revolution has been all laid out for us.

Hitler inspired, organized, and pulled off a relatively peaceful revolution, a revolution which took far fewer lives than the American Revolution, and an insignificant number of lives compared to any ever pulled off by the Jewish Bolsheviks.

Not only that, but Hitler's revolution, as he promised in Mein Kampf, was 100% "legal" – legitimate – the formally expressed will of the majority of the German people, sanctioned by both the top executive officer of Germany, von Hindenburg, and by the German "congress", the Reichstag. Hitler had many opportunities to seize power by force and could have done it easily in 1931. But Hitler believed that a revolution against the will of the people, a revolution that gains power only by force, cannot long endure.

Hitler, as I do, believed that a leader is an enemy of civilization unless he has the will of his people behind him.

How, then, can I be calling for "revolution"? How can I write of "killing and being killed"? Is not the very essence of revolution violence?

Not necessarily

There may be an element of violence, yes. When you are attacked by a killer and faced with the choice of death or dealing

death yourself, then violence is the only possibility. And Western Civilization is indeed under attack by an enemy who regularly, as a matter of policy, kills and massacres more ruthlessly than any other group in history.

So we must be violent enough to put a stop to the Communist enemy's killing and ruthless enough to smash his power.

In 1923, when the Reds threatened to seize power in Bavaria, the Nationalists, the conservatives, and General Ludendorf asked Hitler to lead a "Putsch" – a revolt – in Munich. Then the weakling leaders double-crossed him at the last moment. The "Putsch" was crushed and Hitler was sent to prison. But this was not a revolution against the will of the people, as events proved later. But the essence of a real revolution is never JUST the bloodshed and upheaval. In a genuine and lasting revolution, violence and killing are only one expedient means to the end of a radical change in over-all spiritual outlook – the outward manifestation of a supreme human will to establish a new arrangement of physical affairs to conform with an inner ideal.

The authority exercised by most of those in power today is unadulterated tyranny, though it is hypocritically disguised as "democracy". It is not the benevolent, intelligent authority to maintain order and justice established by the Founding Fathers for the benefit of White men.

Our revolution must be based firmly on the ideal of destroying the illegal power of tyrants, and restoring a just social order that is based on a firm authority that comes straight from our people, and is freely granted to a leader.

That, believe it or not, is precisely what Adolf Hitler did for Germany, and what we must do for America.

Our revolution, in short, must have as its driving force and goal, not merely the hatred and destruction of the enemy, but it must be imbued with an absolute determination to establish a just social order in which each man can achieve his maximum potential as a successful, happy, and productive part of our great White Race.

What is such a just order of society?

There is no need for this understanding of the scientific principles of successful group living among those in healthy

societies where natural instincts are unimpaired. Animals, for instance, have orderly, successful "societies" because Nature gives every social creature all the instincts he needs for successful group living.

Wolves, ants, bees – and apes – for instance, have highly organized and thoroughly healthy, orderly societies.

Early men had healthy societies. Then man's growing control over Nature allowed him to escape his natural environment, surround himself with artificialities and luxury until he became decadent and full of arrogant conceit — a conceit that is today called "liberalism".

Only by going back to the simpler and more primitive lifesituation of the unthinking and uncivilized animal world, and observing the workings of the instincts given them by the Creator, can we catch a glimpse of the wonders of what has also been given us – and which we have thrown away.

Nature has created "breeds" with which she can experiment and seek always better breeds, just as does a good farmer. The Creator, being an infinitely wiser farmer than any human, absolutely insists on the purity, the sanctity – the BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY of each group.

But Nature, like a farmer breeding cows, can improve the breed only by fostering the breeding of the better type, and eliminating the poorer type. Nature accomplishes this task with the most powerful instincts we are given: LOVE of our own, and HATRED of those who intrude – or "outsiders".

These two instincts are equally important: Love is not "good" while hate is "evil"—which is the canard so dearly loved by the Jews, liberals, hippies, queers, and half-wits.

Love is indeed incredibly powerful, and good, when it is NATURAL. LOVE, the natural, healthy kind, is indeed what makes the world go round, and is the most beautiful, holy miracle we ever see here on this earth.

BUT WITHOUT A DEADLY HATE OF THAT WHICH THREATENS WHAT WE LOVE, LOVE IS AN EMPTY WORD, A CATCHWORD FOR HIPPIES, QUEERS, AND COWARDS.

I should like to write much more of this particular law of social living, because, as we shall see, BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY is the essence, the be-all and end-all of National Socialism when applied to Aryan society – and, indeed, of every healthy human society which has ever existed.

But there simply is not space in this work for the book that could be written on this subject alone. I can only sum up by saying that the first law of all group living by social creatures is BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY; absolute, total and uncompromising loyalty to one's own racial group based on a consuming love, and absolute, uncompromising hatred of any outsiders who intrude and threaten to mix their genes with those of the females of one's own group.

The everyday way this law is manifested is love of one's own kind, and hatred of aggressive intruders (which is why most healthy Whites have such instinctive abhorrence of "niggers", Jews, and other "aliens").

The second most important law is the law of TERRITORY.

For example, ten or twenty males of the tiny tropical fish called "swordtails" will each stake out a section of a tank as soon as they are placed in it, and they will attack any intrusion by another male.

If females are introduced into the tank, the males will fight over them, as expected. But the surprise to science was that sex is not the strongest motive in the life of these little fish.

If you start cooling the water in their tank, you can reach a point where the water is so cold the males no longer give much of a damn when you introduce the females. When the water gets so cold as almost to kill the little fish, they pay no attention at all to the females. But even when they are on the point of almost freezing to death, they will still come out ferociously to defend their TERRITORY – their private property!

National Socialism is based, among other things, on this concept of private property. The law of TERRITORY comes out as "Nationalism" and private property in human society.

Throughout the animal kingdom, the leader is never chosen by vote, but always by the natural selection established by Nature as the only sure method of insuring that the group is led by the best

- combat. This I have called the law of LEADERSHIP.

Once each member of an animal society learns his or her place in the natural biological order of toughness, wisdom, and cleverness, each member settles down into his own niche and the group is relatively peaceful and orderly. Only when young males begin to mature and have to fight their way up or down the ladder is there serious battles. And then, as soon as each male learns who he can whip, and who can whip him, he settles down and lives peacefully and contentedly in that place he has found for himself.

Thus is established two more of the fundamental principles or laws of all group living – LEADERSHIP by the best, and a natural hierarchy or scale of leadership of all the other members of the group – STATUS.

Finally, a study of the animal world establishes that females stay out of the affairs of males, and specialize in producing and rearing the young by organizing healthy families. To summarize: There are five basic laws of all group living, which I have called "THE LAWS OF THE TRIBE";

- (1) The law of BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY (love inside, hate outside);
- (2) The law of TERRITORY (private property);
- (3) The law of LEADERSHIP (by the best);
- (4) The law of STATUS (or the natural place of every individual in a group); and
- (5) MOTHERHOOD for females.

With these natural principles in operation, as they are throughout the whole world of social animals, there is a relative peace and order in the group.

It is only when the group somehow is forced into unnatural conditions that the God-given instincts to obey these iron laws of Nature fail.

In evaluating all this in your own mind, think of the apparently "crazy" pattern of juvenile delinquency. We have the sons and

daughters of some of the most "advantaged" and wealthy people suddenly seeming to go mad, beating up old folks, tearing things up senselessly, taking poisonous drugs, and becoming arrogant, anti-social criminals. At the same time, we find the children of some of the poorest groups in our society producing almost no delinquents at all.

Until very recently, for instance, young Chinese were never delinquents (and they still have a far lower delinquency rate than other groups) The young of tightly knit, even though very poor, groups are often untouched by the modern madness of juvenile delinquency.

Why is this? Why should a wealthy young boy or girl become a thief, a vandal, and be violently anti-social in spite of all conceivable advantages, while the children of some of the poorest people in America are well-adjusted and constructive?

The answer is that children who are brought up in a group which has managed to maintain some semblance of the laws of natural group living (the "Laws of the Tribe"), who feel that they are loyal members of a group, a "tribe", that they "belong" to something or somebody worthwhile, and who therefore have a sense of the five basic laws of all group living, are not frustrated, not lost and not empty.

The Jews, with their spreading of liberalism and Communism, have consciously and scientifically gone about the process of wiping from the souls of our people all memory of the sacred 'Laws of the Tribe", which, alone, can make a group of humanity healthy, happy and peaceful.

No human being can live in peace and productive happiness OUTSIDE of some kind of "TRIBE" to which he is SUPREMELY LOYAL and which in turn SUPPORTS HIM SPIRITUALLY.

The Jews have spread the unspeakably destructive idea of "universalism", "one-world-ism" – one mob of raceless, stateless, and atomized individuals – as the supreme idea of mankind. Even the conservatives have been suckered into paying lip-service to this same unnatural, fragmented, super-individualistic, JEWISH disease of society.

We are told by the Jews that the Law of BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY (love inside, hate outside) is "racism"—the "ultimate evil" of all time! We are told that if we do not love Yellow men, Black men – and especially Jews – as much as our own people, then we are vicious, perverted, and doomed – we are "racists". Millions of pitiful White suckers believe that Jewish lie!

We are told that the Law of TERRITORY (private property) is an UN-natural greed, and that decent men must wish to share everything and have no desire for their own private property. They call this "Marxist socialism", "Communism", and various other names indicating a concern for "society" and "community" – but all of them striking at the heart of the most powerful and only motivation in living creatures to build, create, and produce. More millions believe these Jew liars.

We are told by the Jews that the Law of LEADERSHIP (rule of the best) is "dictatorship" and that we must strive for "democracy" (rule by mobs): Millions of White Aryans have been suckered into believing this siren song of "democracy", until mobs of human garbage are now terrorizing our whole nation.

We are told by the Jews that the Law of STATUS (the establishment of the natural order of ability of each person in his right place) is "class exploitation" and that the natural leaders of society – those who have been successful – must be smashed and murdered by those who have not. Whole nations full of good White Aryans have been suckered with this vile Jewish method of dividing and conquering our people through class warfare.

Finally, we are told by these ever-loving Jews that the specialization of women in child-rearing is a beastly enslavement of our females, that women are intended to be judges, locomotive engineers, army officers, and business executives. The result, of course, is the growing destruction of that sacred and beautiful institution of all healthy civilizations, motherhood, and with it the home and family. Our entire Western world has fallen for this "democratic" Jewish swindle, which has made women the most pitiful victims of the Jewish disease. Millions of "modern" women are hopelessly lost, frustrated and utterly miserable, even while they are squawking about more "rights" through loudspeakers and

marching around in hell-raising, militant, political organizations. Meanwhile, millions of families are without warm, wonderful mothers, and homes are becoming more like luxurious jails than the miracles of love and warmth that were the homes of a century ago.

Have you ever wondered why so many juvenile delinquents congregate in gangs? For years, like most people, I presumed this was an unnatural perversity in "bad" boys, because I was brought up in a sort of bourgeois "cocoon", knowing little of the brutal realities of life.

But once one understands the laws of social living for all creatures – the human need to live by the "Laws of the Tribe" – "gang" becomes more understandable.

It shows our kids, at least, still have healthy instincts, even though the outward manifestation of these instincts is vicious and antisocial as a result of the unnatural conditions imposed on the kids by their utterly unhealthy urban environment.

Observe that gang's very first characteristic is "exclusiveness". They fanatically "stick together". Attack one, and they all attack you. Mess with one of the gang's females, and you are dead. They are passionately loyal to each other. And they hate outsiders and attackers even more passionately.

They have reasserted Nature's holy laws of BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY, of group loyalty, although they know not why.

Observe that the next thing a gang does is to stake out a "turf" – a territory. Woe unto him who violates that "turf" – infringes upon the "private property" of the gang! Could anything more obviously exemplify the law of TERRITORY?

The gang is never led by "democratic" elections, but by the toughest, the best (by the gang's standards). Here is a classic example of the law of LEADERSHIP.

Observe how each of a gang's members is acutely aware of his own exact place in the gang – who is above him, and who below. Let anybody try to move up on him, and he gets smashed. Let him try to move up a notch, and the guy above will smash him. Here is the law of STATUS – just as in Nature.

And finally, observe the females of a gang. Do they give any

orders to the leader? No! Do they race around and demand "rights"? Hell no! They act in the manner of females in all the rest of the animal world and do not try to act like males. They glory in their roles as females, as "belonging" to and proudly helping a powerful male. And this is precisely the role of females in wholesome and happy societies.

(It is interesting to note that the Jewish Freudian psychiatrist fakers are being forced to return to the laws of the group, the laws of the tribe – to effect "cures" of drug addicts and alcoholics. They form a group and their powerful instincts of loyalty to that group can sometimes overcome lonely, individual weaknesses)

The Blacks, too, in their blind and stupid struggling are doing the same thing, instinctively forming Black loyalties, hollering "Black Power" and calling each other "soul brothers".

In spite of the most extreme poverty on the Lower East Side of New York City in the early years of Jewish immigration, even these wretched swarms of Jewish refuse from Europe stuck together with such fanatical group loyalty obeying all the "Laws of the Tribe", that there was almost no delinquency and no crime against each other among them. In fact, the group loyalty of these Jews is perhaps the most fantastic in the history of the world. It has propelled them into near mastery of the entire world — not because they are braver, work harder are more intelligent or more worthy than the rest of us — but because they observe the basic laws of Nature and maintain group loyalty. While all the rest of us have fallen for their rotten "one world", "we-are-all-brothers" garbage, which disintegrates our Society, the Jews maintain their society with a group loyalty such as history has never before seen, and thus they go from one triumph to another.

Not until Adolf Hitler, fifty years ago, began to see all this intuitively as a new world-view, the Weltanschauung of National Socialism, did non-Jews gain their first insight into the emerging science of human group-living. What we have done instinctively for centuries, and the Jews are still doing instinctively, Adolf Hitler began to see and understand intuitively.

The essence of National Socialism is racism, which, in the simplest terms, is just the belief that humans differ in excellence

of breed exactly the same as all other living things, and that the White Man is so far the finest breed to appear, while the Blacks are the lowest. And, as the reader will recall, this is the very first law of Group Living, "BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY" as I have designated it.

Contrary to the abominable lies of the Jews (and the "conservatives") private property was powerfully protected by Adolf Hitler, Krupp and the other large or small property owners and businessmen never lost a cent under Hitler, nor did the German princes. (At one time, rabid "leftists" in his party wanted to dispossess the German princes, and Hitler had to put down a mutiny in his own ranks to protect their property rights, which he did. Hitler was a nationalist, a believer in territory, private property.

Needless to point out, Hitler gave his people LEADERSHIP – not sweet-talk or demagoguery and they loved him for it. They followed him lovingly and willingly as do the members of all happy, successful, wholesome social groups.

There was peace and order among Hitler's people, because he taught that a man deserved and must get, as much respect for being a good ditch-digger (if that was the limit of his capacity to serve his People) as the man who was able to invent a new and wonderful machine, or be a Political leader.

Each person in Hitler's Germany was honored for his own place in society, provided he filled it to the best of his ability. They were not goaded eternally (as our people are by the Jews) to demand jobs only as Presidents, Chairmen of the Board, and executives when some people are not born with those particular talents.

To fail at what one could do, while raising hell and aiming for what one can't do, is to disrupt orderly and happy society, not to mention hopelessly frustrating the individual who refuses to recognize his natural place in the world.

Thus Hitler's state recognized the law of STATUS. Each man was honored for what he did loyally and with his best effort, regardless of whether he was a farmer, a warrior, a laborer, a factory worker, or whatever.

Finally, Hitler saw to it that women were restored to their

ancient birthright and honored as wives, and producers of happy, wholesome homes – as the authors of good people.

The lies about the Hitler-times are now so enormous and powerful that it is almost impossible to doubt them. But if you meet an honest and courageous German who lived through these great times (and he is willing to talk in spite of the terror which is abroad today for those who dare tell the truth), you will learn that the German people found out what good leadership and a natural healthy state is like, under Hitler.

To prevent that knowledge and that natural state of society from spreading (and thereby destroying the parasitic existence of Jewry) those Jewish devils provoked the rest of us to go and slaughter and smash this miracle of White Aryan renaissance.

But natural law cannot be smashed, anymore than you can "smash" the fact that two plus two equals four. You may terrorize people out of saying it and get them all parroting "two plus two is seventeen" – but two and two is still four, and there will always be someone with the guts and wisdom, sooner or later, to strike down the terrorists and liars to reassert the truth.

Already, this is happening all over the world.

The young "gangs" I have mentioned are only one sign of what is really going on. People will find their way back to the natural "Laws of the Tribe".

What Hitler gave the world, as National Socialism is, in a sense, only a modern form of the ancient, natural "tribal law", the prescription for happy and healthy group living given by the Great Spirit to all living creatures, including man.

National Socialism is nothing more or less than NATURAL ORDER (a name which would, in some ways, be more descriptive of the reality than "National Socialism"). Hitler had to design the name of his movement to succeed in a specific political situation, and was therefore forced to use names and terms which would accomplish his purposes. In Germany, there were millions and millions of Germans who thought of themselves as "socialists" — but were in the manipulating clutches of the Jews. Rather than fight any more battles than he had to, Hitler said, in effect, to these millions, "If you must be 'socialists', let us not be Jewish

'socialists' – Marxists – let us be 'NATIONAL Socialists', meaning a national society. Let us be 'socialists' for Germany, not the Jews."

And it worked! Millions and millions of good men and women who had been seduced into Communism by the Jews were won back to their own people and the Natural Order of healthy group living by Hitler's methods.

Beyond the fundamental elements of natural order, which I have set forth above, National Socialism, embodies something far higher and nobler than any mere set of rules or principles.

National Socialism, as a PHILOSOPHY, embodies the eternal urge found in all living things – indeed in all creation toward a higher level of existence – toward perfection – toward God.

This "aristocratic" idea of National Socialism – the idea of a constant striving in all Nature toward higher and higher, more and more complex, and more and more perfect existence – is the metaphysical, supernatural aspect of our ideal.

In other words concepts of social justice and natural order are the Organs and nerves of National Socialism but its PERSONALITY, its "religious" aspect – the thing that lifts it above any strictly Political philosophy – its worshipful attitude toward Nature and a religious love of the Great gifts of an Unknown Creator.

Christianity, for instance, is a far higher thing than its rituals, the words of its prayers or any of its creeds. It is a SPIRITUAL STRIVING toward the believer's ideals of Spiritual Perfection. National Socialism is the same sort of striving toward ever higher and higher levels here on this earth, while Christianity is striving toward a future and later life not of this earth.

For the ordinary "soldier" in our "army", building and fighting for Natural Order – National Socialism – it is sufficient that they respect and obey the laws and doctrines established by the lofty ideals of our Philosophy with merely an instinctive love of those ideals, perhaps not with complete understanding of the highest forms of our Philosophy.

But just as the greatest Christian leaders have been those not preoccupied with details and rules but rather those who were "God

intoxicated" with the highest ideals of the religion, the leaders among our National Socialist elite must share this fundamentally religious approach. For them the true meaning of our racial doctrine must be part of their idealistic "striving toward God".

Through total identification of ourselves with our great race, we partake of its past and future glories. When we contribute in any way, especially by self-sacrifice toward helping our race along the path toward a higher existence, we reach toward God – the Creator of the Master Race.

In short, while the mechanics and rules of National Socialism, as codified and set forth here, are sufficient for most of us, for the few idealists ready and willing to sacrifice their very lives in the cause of their people, National Socialism must be a very real religious ideal – a striving toward God. National Socialism is the only movement that has gained sufficient self-knowledge and insight to be able to understand this movement away from liberal artificiality and short-sightedness and toward the eternal wisdom of Nature. Our all-out belief in race, our insistence on the natural laws in society, economics and every other field of human activity are, in every case, the conscious, scientific application of Nature's iron laws, instead of conceited and short-sighted perversions of laws, as pushed by the arrogant, peanut-brained liberals and Marxists.

Our liberal and Marxist opponents are just as blind to what they are doing. But in every case, it will be found that they are basically haters of the laws of Nature, conceited, hall-educated "intellectuals" who are victims of the truisms about "a little knowledge" being "a dangerous thing". Because they have found they can teach a Congo Negro to recite poetry or even act like a lawyer or a doctor, they get all puffed up with their ability to wrench Nature around to suit themselves, and imagine they can make self-starting, Western White men out of any Hottentot. The fact that Nature has already made of the Negro what he is, and no human agency can make a "silk purse out of a sow's ear", they simply refuse to acknowledge.

National Socialism is the distilled essence of the love of and respect for Nature, and the loving, conscious, and scientific

application of the eternal, just and beautiful laws of Nature to every moment of Man's existence. At the same time, National Socialism recognizes Man's need for a higher culture than that of the unthinking animals of the jungle and there raises the application of Natural law from the naked, tooth-and-fang competition of the animal world, for instance, to regulated and orderly competition, under fair rules.

Our opponents want to eliminate competition altogether – an utterly unnatural idea.

National Socialism insists that man can refine and enrich the application of the Natural Laws of life for the benefit of man, but insists also that Man cannot escape or defy Natural Law. Between the states of social health ("National Socialism" or Natural Order) and social disease (Jewish Marxism) lay the "no-man's-lands" of nothingness – the place where humans are neither dead, nor really alive.

Democracy, "liberalism", and "conservatism" are pure, unadulterated states of nothingness. They have no basic, fundamental outlook on life, no understanding, no vital force deep down inside them. They are fancy tricks with pretty words and phrases, meaning nothing at all. They lead only to chaos and prepare the way for the Jews and their damnable, unnatural Communism.

The only way we can ever put a stop to this Jewish con game and capture the minds and hearts of our people is to restore to our people Natural Order – the Laws of the Tribe – which, alone, will give us the strength and the will to reassert the natural, biological superiority of our White Race, and thus save Western Civilization.

Destroy the cohesive forces of Natural Order in any group, and that group will become chaotic, hopeless, frustrated, lost, empty, vicious, and finally helpless before any other group that is united and obeys the Laws of the Tribe.

That's why the Blacks, in so many cases, terrify whole cities. They stick together. Hit one, and a million Blacks roar out at you. That's why police often no longer dare arrest them, even for the most outrageous offenses.

And the Jews are even more powerful among us, for the same

reason. They stick together.

Adding the Laws of the Tribe to the Laws of Race which we examined earlier in Chapter IX, we can synthesize a new law of modern society: the Law of Group Dominance.

In a state of Nature, that animal or primitive human group that is biologically superior, the group composed of the best individuals, carrying the best genes and chromosomes, will dominate all inferior groups, because all groups in Nature (superior or inferior) obey the Laws of the Tribe equally.

Thus, the only factor operating competitively in the animal world is biological excellence of breeding. But scientific gadgetry, luxury and Jewish "liberalism" have so greatly destroyed the modern, civilized White Man's ability to feel and obey Nature's "Laws of the Tribe" that he has lost his group cohesiveness; he has come "unglued" as a social animal; he is fragmented and atomized into millions of isolated individuals and hundreds of thousands of selfish "pressure groups", classes, etc. This almost complete fragmentation of the great "tribe" of White men has reduced us to impotence, as a group.

The law of GROUP DOMINANCE among men is the rule that any group which fanatically adheres to the Natural Laws of the Tribe will be able to dominate any group which disobeys those laws, no matter how inferior may be the "tribe" which does obey the laws.

This is why Negroes and Jews lord it all over the White Man in the America built and owned by White men. This is why we suffer "minority" tyranny. Any organized minority of scum and human trash have power – while the great White, non-Jewish majority is a sprawling, helpless, paralyzed giant.

The goal, then, of our National Socialist revolution is the restoration of the Laws of the Tribe to our people, to enable their natural biological superiority of breeding once again to give the White Man dominion of what he built and should dominate.

This is exactly what Hitler and National Socialism did in Germany for millions and millions of fragmented, atomized people who were sunk in the depths of hopelessness and chaos.

Hitler did not win such astounding success with his people

because he was a "tough guy", because he put on big, exciting rallies, because the Germans are natural "beasts", nor any of the other reasons put forth by the lying Jews and their toady liberal and conservative allies.

The reason Hitler was able to accomplish a social miracle in Germany was because Hitler intuitively understood all that I have written and restored his people to NATURAL SOCIAL ORDER.

Hitler taught his people to quit hating each other as isolated, lonely and frightened individuals, and gave them back that holy sense of nationhood, of "Tribe"; yes, of real brotherhood (not the artificial kind peddled by the Jews). (There is nothing wrong and everything right about "brotherhood" with your own people. It is when the Jews slyly and falsely try to extend "brotherhood" to pull the hood over the "brother" and try to make us "brothers" with Zulus, pygmies, Chinese, Bushmen and Jews, that "brotherhood" becomes a byword for racial catastrophe, as it has.)

Hitler gave his people the same sacrificial spirit of warm love for one's family and one's people (which is a big "family"), which unites and blesses every natural social group of creatures in creation.

As I have pointed out many times, no man can live happily, successfully, and productively as a lonely, bitter individual at war with all his fellows. Every one of us has a deep need for the warmth and love of his group, of those in his biological family of people. Modern man has lost that feeling of group warmth, loyalty and love, and the result is the chaos and spiritual emptiness we see all around us in this disintegrating Western society.

The horrors depicted in the first chapter of this book are the direct result of America's almost total disobedience of the laws of the tribe. These horrors would continue, even if all the Jews and Negroes were to disappear overnight, unless we restore to our people, as Hitler did, the NATURAL ORDER, "Laws of the Tribe".

Our revolution, therefore, is not material and physical, but a radical spiritual change in the feeling of our people:

The elimination of selfish atomism and greedy, narrow "individualism"; whether it be called "liberalism",

"conservatism", or "democracy", and the restoration in the hearts of Western White men of the deeply satisfying feelings of love of our own kind. This love of one's group manifests itself in the willingness to sacrifice and give for one's family — and the larger family of one's race.

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES GEORGE LINCOLN ROCKWELL

Long lasting success in any human endeavor is never the result of blind luck. The achievement of a clearly defined goal, whether it be the act of walking from point "X" to point "Y", the building of a house, or the organization of a business, is always the product of three things:

- 1. The intellectual ability to perceive the problem involved, the opposition which must be expected, and the best way to overcome that opposition to reach the goal.
- 2. The will and determination to do whatever may be necessary to reach the desired goal, regardless of opposition.
- 3. The physical means, strength, and courage to enforce and carry out the plan or fight conceived by the mind and determined by the will.

If any of these three elements be lacking in one's purpose, failure is the inevitable, predictable result.

A man who is too stupid to understand the various factors involved in trying to walk from point "X" to point "Y", where the path between us is a jungle infested with snakes, dangerous carnivores and fever, and who fails to arm himself with weapons and maps, medicine and other equipment will never arrive at "Y" no matter how dogged his determination or how mighty his muscles. Another man attempting the same journey, though he clearly perceives the dangers and prepares for them, and though he be mighty of muscle, will yet fail to reach "Y" if he is so irresolute and weak of will that he does not persevere at the struggle and ruthlessly use whatever force might be necessary to crush and destroy the forces opposing him. And a third man who has the intellect to perceive the dangers and to prepare for them, and the will and determination to fight his way through, even with the utmost heroism, but who is frail of body and so physically

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

weak that he cannot carry out the commands of his mind and his will cannot but succumb to the stronger adversaries he will meet.

It is with civilizations as it is with the struggles of individual men. Dozens of great civilizations have perished because of failure in one or more of these three elements necessary in the struggle for survival.

Savage societies usually perish, not so much from lack of vigorous will or lack of physical strength, as from lack of ability to perceive the real situation. Drowning in superstition and stumbling in the darkness of ignorance, they are overwhelmed by the physical forces of violent natural occurrences, catastrophes and diseases which more civilized societies have learned to overcome.

On the other hand, civilizations, for all their intellectual achievements and sciences, perish most often because of failure of the will, the diminishing of the savage and ruthless drive for survival and dominance which originally created society. They become "humanitarian", selfish, and soft. They become physically weak and dependent on paid armies and police to do their fighting. The fighting spirit of honor and self-sacrifice and heroism of their ancestors gives way to a growing love of ease and luxury and cowardice masquerading as "humanitarianism".

When a civilization reaches this effete stage in its decay, only a very rare historical occurrence can halt the final collapse of the society as the decadence grows daily more apparent. Only when the dying society still has enough life-energy to produce a spiritual giant, a godlike throwback to the ancient heroism of its people who is able to shock and drive the civilization out of its natural historical night of sleep and death, in spite of the suicidal opposition of the dying peoples who long only for "peace" and the slumber of death, can a society once again rise for a while.

Western, Aryan civilization passed the historical point of no return on its journey into limbo during the nineteenth century, as was duly noted by Spengler, Chamberlain, and others. Were it not for the unbelievable, miraculous arrival of Adolf Hitler at the last

possible moment, the only bearable course for an intelligent, perceptive, and sensitive man surrounded by a disgusting and suicidebent civilization would have been resigned enjoyment of such momentary pleasures as provided escape from the soul-crushing reality of a Judaized, cannibalized and boob-ized civilization rushing headlong back to the jungle in the name of "humanitarianism".

But the appearance in history of Adolf Hitler is evidence that there still remains in White, Western civilization a sufficient spark of self-sacrificing, creative vigor to permit, perhaps, another thousand years or so of survival for the White man. This infinitely precious spark will remain just that, however, and quickly fade into darkness, so long as the tiny elite minority of humanity with the wit to see what Hitler did is too selfish, cowardly, and short-sighted to apply the lessons of history before it is too late forever, and fan the spark Hitler gave us into the roaring flame of creative civilization founded by our courageous ancestors.

So far, the fearful punishment meted out to Adolf Hitler's fighting heroes of civilization by Jewish forces of decay and destruction has so unnerved and terrified the world that even those able to see and understand the peril to humanity, and the way to salvation as shown by Adolf Hitler, are so pitifully attached to their lives and liberties and comforts that they dare not pick up the sacred spark of White survival and fan it with their own life's breath, which it must soon have – or go out forever.

Aryan, White humanity is on the precipice of darkness and oblivion. Strewn on the crags in the eternal blackness below are the bones of other know-it-all, pompous civilizations which were doubtless unable to imagine their own demise at the very time when they were surrounded by the outward power and magnificence of empire. They were unable to realize or face up to the TOTAL threat of a growing weakness and "humanitarianism", unable to muster the TOTAL will necessary to reverse the historical march to death and oblivion. They were too lazy and selfish, greedy and cowardly to heed the tiny few who have been burned, crucified, stoned, fed to the lions or handed the cup of

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

hemlock.

If there is any history a thousand years hence, and any people able to study it, they will marvel in disbelief most of all at the stubborn refusal of the White man to use his overwhelming strength, his knowledge and the providential gift of Adolf Hitler's leadership to save himself from the most incredible and cringing slavery at the hands of a relatively tiny gang of disgusting, pathologically unbalanced, physically weak and cowardly, arrogant, tyrannical Jews.

Our problems today are not "American" problems, "British" problems, "French", "German" or "European" or "African" problems – they are problems of SURVIVAL FOR ALL WHITE MEN.

What, in the name of the most elementary reason, is the difference between whether Bartholomew Buckingham is born near the Thames, Hans Schmidt on the Rhine, Pierre Dubois on the Seine, Per Olafson in Stockholm, Eric Erasmus in Durban, Joe Doaks in Podunk, Ohio or John Smith in Auckland, New Zealand compared to the question of "Shall there BE any more Bartholomews, Hanses, Pierres, Pers, Erics, Joes or Johns?"

Our planet swarms with colored creatures who outnumber us by more than FOUR TO ONE – and in all of our nations these inferior beings, we are told, are our "equals", able to vote away our money, our liberties, our lives and our honor. By the old-fashioned notions of nationalism and democracy I, Lincoln Rockwell, am supposed to treasure and care for and be loyal to some of the lowest spawn of the jungle, providing only that their Black dam gave them to the world in some American ditch or filthy crib – because then, of course, they are "Americans", and aren't we all out for "America"?

Or am I to be loyal and die for these miserable and pitiable halfanimals, my "fellow Americans", by slaughtering millions upon millions of the finest biological specimens of my own race, because a gang of Hollywood Jews teaches us that Americans must hate Germans?

Or again, is it a certain piece of geography to which I am to be loyal, and for which I must kill my own people and perhaps die

myself? Does my loyalty to this hunk of geography stop at the Canadian border?

But perhaps it is "Americanism" to which I am to be loyal and for which I must make war upon German men, women and children. When I examine what they tell me is "Americanism", however, I find that it consists primarily in being willing to submit meekly to Jewish direction of my culture, government, religion, entertainment, and even my sex life.

No. all this is nonsense.

The only thing to which I can be loyal with any deep conviction – the only loyalty which makes any sense – is my RACIAL, and therefore cultural, brotherhood with my own people, no matter where they happen to have been born! When that loyalty is challenged, and my people are in danger, it is monstrous to pretend that we must be suspicious of each other just because we live across imaginary geographical lines, and that, upon proper preparation and agitation by a gang of international Jews, we White men must march forth to kill each other and bomb each other to ashes and everlastingly hate each other because we are "trade rivals" or for "American democracy" or the "British Empire" or for anything else in the world.

I am a WHITE MAN, and a brother to all other White men, and I mean to stand with all of them and, if necessary, lead them in battle to survive against the unspeakable menace of the colored populations of the earth rising to slaughter and rapine against the White men – and led by the scheming Jew!

But like the first man in the analogy of the walk through the snake-infested jungle, too many of our White "leaders" fail to perceive the cosmic proportions of the problem and imagine it is something which can be solved in "their" country, and by half measures.

The tiny few who do see the dreadful and total urgency of the White man's situation have, until our arrival on the scene, attempted to fight with less than the total weapons required in a total fight for survival. Most of the best leaders have imagined that small groups of beleaguered White men, gathered into little

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

geographical huddles behind imaginary lines and waving different colored bits of cloth bravely in the breezes, can survive by themselves, and the hell with the other White men who have different bits of colored cloth.

The Jews have NEVER made the mistake of seriously dividing themselves into these phony geographical "teams". On the contrary, the Jews - with their Bolshevism, Zionism, and mongrelism - are attacking ALL White men, EVERYWHERE and ALL THE TIME. They are sending their black armies into all of our nations in an all-out attack against the White elite of the world, with absolutely no considerations of "national" boundaries or flags or languages or cultures. In the face of this total international threat of annihilation by RACE, millions of those who already see the danger are to be found babbling darkly of "Yankee imperialism", "British Empire", "dirty Catholics", atheists", "Republicans", "Laborites", "damned "immoral Yankees", "Germany first", etc., etc., ad nauseam.

Like little boys besieged by a mob of kidnappers and murderers, they cannot resist squabblingabout who has the most marbles in the face of deadly danger they temporarily forget. The battle of our times – if there is to be any battle – is for the SURVIVAL OF THE WHITE RACE!

And to survive, the White man will have to RE-CONQUER the earth once conquered and civilized at the cost of so much blood by his ancestors. Under the banners of international Jewry, the colored masses are threatening to return civilization to savagery. Under the Swastika banner of Adolf Hitler, White men around the world will master the planet to save civilization.

The Jewish war against civilization has actually been a world-wide, gigantic REVOLUTION, in the course of which they got millions of us to murder each other shouting "Democracy!" "Gott mit uns!", "Free the slaves!", "Liberty, equality, fraternity!" And now they are preparing for the final bloodbath during which we will shout "Capitalism!" and "Communism!" respectively, as the two teams of White men slaughter each other with Jew-financed H-bombs.

In the course of these fratricidal and suicidal wars, the Jews have not been afraid to sacrifice thousands of their brethren in their devilish cause, as they did in the last monstrous slaughter in the 1940s. The Jews realize what WE must realize: that they are playing for the highest stakes in the knowledge of mankind – mastery of the whole earth – and they do not shrink from the inescapable conclusions of strategy and tactics dictated by knowledge of such stakes. If we are to survive then we too must have the wit and the strength of mind to face up to the deadly facts of the situation and act RUTHLESSLY, RAPIDLY, and EFFECTIVELY.

The Jews have almost won the final step in their 4,000-year revolution – OPEN world power. They now have total secret power to manipulate and control all world activities, and lack only a little more brainwashing and breaking of the will of the masses to make their world domination an acknowledged and formal power. They have fought and won their way to this incredible power by unsurpassed determination and iron will over forty centuries, and only a miracle can prevent the final victory of such fanatical warriors, tragically and viciously wrong as such a victory would be for humanity.

Even the atheist Jews – which is most of them – have an inexplicable belief in the ancient Jewish prophecies that when "the law comes forth from the hills of Zion" and Jerusalem, it will be the millennium for the Jews and they will own and rule the earth. THEY ARE IN JERUSALEM NOW, and lack only a few blocks of it for total possession! [NOTE: Commander Rockwell was writing before the 1967 war wherein the Jews seized the rest of the city.] They are experiencing a worldwide frenzy as they can already sense the total victory we are about to give them, and they are even now preparing their sacrificial orgy of victory in Tel Aviv!

In the face of this unspeakable threat, that the whole world and all of us will fall to the tyranny of a gang of criminal paranoiacs, the narrow chauvinism, conservatism, and regionalism of most rightwing leaders is the utmost stupidity! With the masters of

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

mongrels, the Jews, leading MILLIONS of savages in a worldwide attack against the White-elite bearers of civilization, and with the end only moments away in terms of history, only the most short-sighted leaders can continue to keep our children divided and helpless into "teams" of Americans, Dixiecrats, Catholics, Germans, Yankees, atheists, Dutchmen, conservatives, Irishmen, etc. down through the whole pitiful, heartbreaking list. The Jew may be all of these things – but FIRST HE IS A JEW!

It is the first task of him who would save civilization – which requires saving the White man – to make White men supremely and totally conscious of RACE above all other allegiances. Our people can be Democrats or Germans or Catholics or Englishmen if they want to and if it suits their purposes, but FIRST THEY MUST BE WHITE MEN! Otherwise, the Jew will keep us divided and helpless and unconscious of our racial unity and strength, while they fanatically fight as Jews, no matter where they are, until it is all over.

The world of TV, rockets and jet transportation has become too small to permit any group of White men anywhere to enjoy the suicidal luxury of fighting each other on behalf of the Jew ever again, no matter what the reason which may be advanced in the propaganda. We simply cannot afford to fight each other when we are under such overwhelming and deadly attack by such endless hordes led by such a fanatical and devilish enemy as the Marxist, Zionist Jew. The reason that the White man has been losing for so long in the first place is that he has failed or refused to see the enormity and the pressing urgency of his problem. He has permitted himself to be distracted into a million little squabbles over trifles, while his race has been driven almost to extinction.

Like the first man in the analogy, we haven't understood the path, the nature of the obstacles and, worst of all, we haven't even realized the goal we must win – or die. That goal is and must be MASTERY OF THE EARTH BY THE WHITE MAN, since civilization depends solely on such White mastery. Any lesser goal is utterly worthless, just as it would be worthless for a man scheduled to hang to take vitamins and attain perfect health.

And such a fantastically difficult and cosmic goal as world mastery cannot be won by luck, sneaking, half-measures, prayers, hopes, fine speeches, pamphlets, or sporadic violence. What we must aim at and achieve is a WORLD COUNTER REVOLUTION against the Jewish Marxist-Zionist revolution.

And revolutions are never, never, NEVER the result of spontaneous and fortuitous uprisings, but ALWAYS the product of ruthless, scientific planning and fighting, based on the immutable laws of great social upheavals. Behind the pitchforks and the barricades there is always the story of the candle-lit conspiracies by the planners – otherwise the revolution would be over in a trice.

Not only have our handful of leaders so far failed to realize the unheard-of proportions of the goal at which we must aim, but they have singularly failed to face up to their terrifying responsibilities in planning. Time after time, would-be leaders have arisen and led us in pitiful efforts to nip the end of the tiger's tail, only to waste our substance and blood and heroism in a fruitless struggle which always ends in being crushed by a single, smashing blow from the paw of the beast.

The Jewish world revolution can only be broken and beaten by a counter world revolution.

Any revolution must be planned with care and precision in accordance with the iron laws governing human conduct in the mass. A world revolution, in the face of the international and staggering power of Jewry, must be planned and executed with a brilliance and ruthlessness unmatched in the history of the world.

The most fundamental rule of such a cataclysmic social upheaval as a revolution is: "The blood of the martyrs is the seed of the church!" Perhaps it sounds cruel and brutal, but it is nevertheless true, that the greater the proportion of human upheaval aimed at, the greater quantity of blood and torrents of tears which must be poured out in vast quantities to gain the goal. The kind of unprecedented, colossal movement which can alone reverse the suicidal trend of the Western world, and usher in even another thousand years of survival for the White man, can never

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

be launched – let alone won – in any safe, painless, or easy way. Even ordinary sufferings and martyrdom are too minuscule for the kind of movement we must set aflame to survive. Everything about the current deadly battle for world mastery is and must be Olympian, and we cannot shrink from Olympian AGONIES if we are to hope to win.

Mighty movements always require millions of people to immolate themselves in a passion of self-sacrificing devotion to the cause. And these enormous masses of people can never be moved to fling themselves into the flames of revolution with shouts of "Favorable trade balance!" or "States' rights!" etc. Only the FUNDAMENTAL drives from deep inside the human psyche can lift the slow-moving masses from their ignorant apathy to the wild pitch of emotion which carries them entirely away in the tidal wave of revolution. Nothing so affects these fundamental emotions of the masses as HEROISM, and only the utmost heroism can now save the White man from his lethargy and paralyzing fear of the Jews.

And there is no symbol other than the Swastika and no name other than Adolf Hitler which is so beautifully calculated to produce the persecution and consequent heroism which alone can unite and inflame the White man into an irresistible wave of anti-Jewish Marxist-Zionist revolution. Until the advent of Adolf Hitler, the White men of the world had nothing, absolutely NOTHING in the way of a common cause, common heroes, common martyrs, sacred shrines, names and symbols. But now, after millions of young German White men heroically flung their precious lives away in the first real fight in history for the White elite, we finally have the blood-soaked shrines, symbols, and martyrs which are the most elementary stuff of revolution.

Millions of equally precious young White men on the opposing side, fighting for the devilish Communist-Zionist Jews, will have lost their lives for absolutely nothing unless we accept this stupendous blood-sacrifice, and use it to ensure that never again will precious White blood be spilled fighting for Jews and negroes.

Nevertheless, and unbelievably, the lucky heirs of all this self-sacrifice and heroism — the recipients of these precious bloodstained banners and sacred names — reject their heritage as "impractical".

"We can never win with open adherence to National Socialism and the Swastika," these gentlemen explain feebly. "The Jews have taught people to hate them too much," they add. "If we use the Swastika and praise Hitler too openly, they will throw us in prison or kill us!" And did they not throw ALL makers of revolutions, including the Jew makers of the Red revolution, in jail – and even kill some of them? Are we National Socialists to be more fearful and cowardly than a gang of Jews? The very persecution and bloodshed such irresolute characters seek to avoid is the sine qua non [something absolutely indispensable or essential] of our victory!

These are not empty words. I have personally proved their truth here in America, the power center of world Jewry, by being beaten, by going to jail and the insane asylum, losing my dear family, and living like an animal. Twelve days from today, as I write this, I face jail again. These things are unpleasant and even heartbreaking – but they MUST BE!

I have risen in two years to a commanding position in the worldwide fight for the White man, starting as a penniless, unknown and unaided single individual like millions upon millions of others – simply and solely because I have gratefully and lovingly used the precious names and symbols which have been bathed and soaked in such oceans of blood and tears – the Swastika and the name of the Leader, Adolf Hitler.

Temporary and flashy political successes are always easy. It is always simpler and quicker to put pads in one's jacket than to build the human muscles to fill the coat by months or years of work and sweat. For fifty years now, there has been a steady rise and fall of "right-wing" or White movements built entirely of pads.

By endorsing motherhood and virtue and patriotism, etc., and by avoiding brutal statements of the real purpose of such organizations – which must necessarily be the extermination of

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

the Communist-Zionist enemies of humanity – great flocks of skittish "patriots", "conservatives", and even a few "tough" anti-Semites could be corralled. But these people are not attracted to such a movement because they are so inflamed with revolutionary zeal that they can hardly be restrained from attacking their tormentors in the streets. Rather they join the "patriot" society to relieve their guilty consciences by pretending to fight the Jews and their treason and terror by what they call "clever underground methods". They relieve themselves of their pent-up frustration at the tyranny of the Jews and Negroes once a week at a "Rally" (private, of course) and then hurry home happily for another week of profits, parties and TV.

Such Mighty Mouses are horrified when it is suggested that perhaps they should hand out pamphlets in the street, or picket some outrageous example of Jewish-Communist arrogance. And if one exposes not only the Jews for what they are, but also exposes these political loafers who siphon off the support and energy for a real battle, these heroes reply by howling that one is an agent provocateur working to get them all crucified as a bunch of Nazis – which, except for their disgusting cowardice, they might otherwise be.

It is not the task of the world anti-Jewish revolution to attract and organize these contemptible sneaks, but to drive them out of the way and out of business, where they will be unable to milk the Movement of the tiny bit of available support for useless "projects", as they have been doing for years. Nothing accomplishes that task like the Swastika. The political drones, profiteers, prostitutes and cowards scoot with their tails between their legs from this hooked cross, as the devil does from holy water.

On the other hand, the Swastika has an irresistible attraction for the kind of daring, bold, devil may-care fighting YOUNG men we need. In America, most of them are simply nigger-haters because of their pure White man's instinct. When they learn the Jews' part in the disgraceful Negro situation they become Nazis in minutes. Then it is the work of only months until they also understand the

deeper significance, the idealism, and the true aims of the Movement.

But even more important than these advantages, the blood-soaked Swastika has a supernatural effect on Jews. It is after all only a few black lines – but it drives the Jews out of their usual sly and calculating frame of mind and makes them hysterical and foolish. To them, it is not just the lines, but the awful threat of ruthless exposure, swift justice, and terrible vengeance which their guilty consciences tell them they richly deserve. It is like a picture of the electric chair to a hunted murderer.

A calm, calculating Jew is the most dangerous beast on the face of the earth. By the exercise of his devilish, perverted but brilliant reason, the Jew has almost mastered all the rest of us. But a hysterical, screaming Jew, out of his mind with hate and fear of punishment for his crimes, is helpless putty in the hands of a calculating National Socialist.

We have proved this time and again — when Jewish councils have spent millions of dollars to spread the word among the Jews to ignore us. But the hordes of guilty little sinners can't do it! When they see that Swastika and hear us praising Adolf Hitler and describing the gas chambers for traitors, they become screaming, wild ghetto Jews who have eternally blown up their victories at the last moment by their insane passions of hate and revenge.

The result is the lifeblood of a political movement: PUBLICITY! In spite of the Jewish domination of all the media of public information, the parading of Swastikas and National Socialists in public streets cannot be hidden or ignored without giving the game away. They can suppress the news, to be sure. But then too many people realize their press power and censorship. And when the young Movement is able to force publication of its existence on the giant national TV networks, in magazines, the press, etc. – it serves as a clarion call to the frustrated millions who are looking for such a movement. It is only thus that we have been able to contact thousands of people all over the world who have never before been in any "patriot" outfit but couldn't resist the American Nazi Party and the World Union of

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

National Socialists. [NOTE: Commander Rockwell formally changed the name of his organization to the NATIONAL SOCIALIST WHITE PEOPLE'S PARTY during his last national staff conference in June of 1967.]

The Swastika and Hitler, far from being millstones, are actually the answer to the eternal problem of the right wing – money! When you don't have money for paper, meeting halls, etc. – as our side never does – you can go into the streets and march and distribute homemade handbills and picket – for nothing. The Jews go wild, attack – and you then have free use of millions of dollars worth of Jewish TV, newspapers, magazines, etc. Of course, you may get bloodied and have to sit in jail a while recuperating. But this is a small price to pay for the astonishing results.

In addition to the free publicity attendant on open operation as a Nazi, you also find that the very audacity of the thing will attract the young fighting men you need, even though they know nothing and care less about the politics of the business. They admire raw courage and daring. Later, when they have come to know the facts a little better, they will fight for ideals and the White man. But until then, these valuable protectors of your free speech will fight just for fun.

Above all, the Swastika will save you from the fundamental error of the right wing – that sweet reason will change the world and save us from the Jewish tyrants.

Reason is still an infant in human affairs, a precious and rare development found in the mutational brains of an infinitesimal minority of homo sapiens. And even the few geniuses able to exercise genuine, independent reason are almost entirely incapable of acting in accordance with the dictates of that reason – which is one of the reasons so many of them end up as failures in a world which does not appreciate them or their reason.

It is FORCE, POWER, STRENGTH which rules the world, from the ebb and flow of the tides to the decision of your neighbor to join the Rotary. Only a negligible fringe of oddball humans change their mind as a result of being convinced by a superior argument. The overwhelming masses, including the mass of

today's "intellectuals", change their minds only in order to CONFORM. In other words, the minds of the vast majority ALWAYS bow to the strongest opinion – the opinion which brings rewards and avoids punishment.

The right wing examines its reasons and arguments and facts and finds them true and good – as they may be. They then become outraged which the slobs next door cannot see and appreciate this rightness and, very probably, throw them out of the house for preaching "hate." But this is only as things are. The slobs will hold whatever opinion seems to show the most strength and WILL TO POWER. They are completely, hopelessly female in their approach to reason and always, ALWAYS prefer strength to "rightness".

When they say "no" to our Swastika and National Socialism, they are only the eternal female saying "no" but meaning, "If you accept my no, then you are a weakling and have no right to my favors. Let us see if you have the manhood and the strength to MAKE me say yes!"

They hate us now because we are weak and powerless. All the reason in the world will never make them love us or our ideas in ANY guise, no matter how we try to sugar-coat them, until we COMMAND THEIR RESPECT AND ADMIRATION FOR OUR WILL, our guts, our force! As stupid as they are, their instincts in smelling force and strength are still pure, and the attempt to SNEAK National Socialist ideas in the guise of "patriot leagues" and other nice, safe groups very properly repulses them as being the actions of cowards and sneaks.

To HELL with the sneaky, safer approaches! They get us persecuted every bit as much as the direct, open approach, and they doom us to miserable, sneaking failure every time. If we are to be the last of the White men who conquered the world; if we are finally to be overwhelmed by a pack of rats, let us at least face the death of our race as our ancestors faced their death – like MEN. Let us not crawl down amongst the rats begging for mercy or trying to out-sneak them and pretend to be rats ourselves!

Let us stand on the scaffold of history – if hang we must – like

IN HOC SIGNO VINCES

the martyrs of Nuremberg, tall and proud! Is life so sweet, is comfort so precious and a job in a Jewish counting house so sacred that we are AFRAID to grasp the mighty hand of ADOLF HITLER reaching down to us out of our glorious past? Again, to HELL with sneaking and safety!

It is part of the Jews to be sneaky and sly. The genius of our people has ever been joyous strength, robust forcefulness, directness, manly courage, and flaming heroism. When the Jews, with their economic terrorism, jails, bullies and hangmen, scare the White man into laying down his cudgel and goad him into trying to out-sneak Jewish tyranny, the Jews have completely emasculated the once-strong White man, and doomed him to dishonor and defeat. The White man can NEVER win by sneaking!

In the dawn of Nordic civilization, lesser races used to cringe in their rude huts and pray, "Lord, save us from the fury of the men of the North!" It was THAT kind of man who built Western civilization. If civilization is now to be saved from the swarms of degenerate Jews, their cannibal accomplices and their unspeakably depraved liberal friends, it will be THAT kind of man who saves it, NEVER sneaks!

WHITE MAN! The same iron blood of your mighty ancestors flows in your veins! The towering figure of ADOLF HITLER reaches out a giant hand to lift you up to world-conquering POWER! You have cringed long enough before pygmies! Now RISE! Defy the rats and vermin at your feet! Let them feel the toe and heel of your boot! Stamp them out!

You have been sleeping. When you rise and stand up, and the masses once more see what a man of FORCE looks like, they will love you as they now imagine they hate you. With the spark of National Socialism, struck by Adolf Hitler, burning in your breast, you are unconquerable! IN HOC SIGNO VINCES! In the sign of the Swastika, YOU will conquer!

Join hands with the heroes in America, Britain, Iceland, Denmark and other White countries who have raised the holy Swastika banner and defended it with their blood. It has risen from

the ashes of Berlin, and never shall it be hauled down again. Stand with us before the altar of Adolf Hitler and the world-conquering White race, and pledge your life as we have, to bring the order and justice of Western, White civilization once more into the world. Let us teach the traitors and rats and pygmies once more to cringe in terror in their huts and pray, "Lord save us from the FURY OF THE MEN OF THE NORTH!"

CORNELIU ZELEA CODREANU

IN PARLIAMENT

As a result of this election I entered Parliament; I was alone in the midst of an enemy world. I lacked the experience of this parliamentary life and the talent of democratic oratory which is full of empty, but pompous, shiny phraseology, of mirror-studied gestures and a large dose of impertinence. The characteristics which help one to succeed, to rise, God had not endowed me With – perhaps in order to prevent my being tempted to climb the political ladder.

All the time that I stayed in Parliament I never exceeded the laws of propriety and respect for those older than myself, be they even my greatest enemy. I had not ridiculed, sworn at, laughed at, or offended anyone, which meant I could not become a part of that life. I remained isolated, not only due to the fact that I was one against the others, but altogether isolated from that kind of life. One evening, rather late, when deliberations were nearing the end and benches were almost empty, I was granted the floor. I tried to show that our country had been invaded by Jewry; that where the invasion is the greatest, human misery is most frightening: in Mammuresi that the beginning of Jewish existence on our soil, foreshadowed the death of Romanians; that as their numbers increased, we would die; that finally, the leaders of the Romanian nation, the men of the century of democracy and of political parties, have betrayed their people in this fight by placing themselves at the service of great national or international finance.

I showed that in the portfolio of the Marmorosch Blank Bank, that Judaic nest of conspiracy and corruption, figure a great many politicians to whom this bank "lent" money; Mr. Brandsch, Undersecretary of state 1 1 1,000 lei; Banca Taraneasca of Mr. Davilia, 4,677,000 lei; Mr. lunian 407,000 lei; Mr. Madgearu 401,000 lei; Mr. Filipescu 1,265,000 lei; Mr. Raducanu 3,450,000 lei; The Raducanu Bank 10,000,000 lei; Mr. Pangal (the head of the, Scottish Rite Masonry in Romania) 3,800,000 lei; Mr. Titulescu 19,000,000 lei—all of them leaders in Romanian public

life. 'In addition to these, there are others, very many, but I could not get my hands on the list of them. Someone interrupted me saying:

"This is borrowed money, it will be repaid."

I answered: "Whether this will be repaid or not, I do not know. But I tell you one thing: when someone borrows money from such a financial source, he is under an obligation when he comes to power, to satisfy it, or even if he is not in power, to support it, but in any case, not to expose it when it should be exposed."

I read then a list from which I showed, removing any possibility of denial, how since the war the Romanian state had been defrauded of some 50 billion lei under democracy, the most honoured and most perfect form of government of "the people by the people"! The leadership of "democracy" having the basic idea of the permanent "control" of the people in which the people, the great controller had been robbed during 15 years of government of the fabulous sum of 50 billion lei. Then I made several critical observations regarding democracy.

In the end I made seven demands:

- 1. We demand the introduction of the death penalty for the fraudulent manipulators of public funds. At this point I was interrupted by Mr. Ispir, professor at the Faculty of Theology: "Mr. Codreanu, you call yourself a Christian, a propagator of Christian ideals. I remind you that the idea just put forward by you is anti-Christian." I replied: "Professor, when it is a question of choosing between the death of my country and that of the thief, I prefer the death of the thief and I think I am a better Christian if I do not permit the thief to ruin my country and to destroy it."
- 2. We demand the investigation and confiscation of the wealth of those who have bled our poor country.
- 3. We demand that all politicians who may be proved guilty of having worked against the interests of our country by supporting shady private speculations or in any other fashion, be brought to justice.
- 4. We demand that in the future, politicians be barred from the administrative boards of the various banks and financial

enterprises.

- 5. We demand the expulsion of the hordes of pitiless exploiters who have come here to drain the riches from our soil and exploit the work of our hands.
- 6. We demand that the territory of Romania be declared the inalienable and indefeasible property of the Romanian Nation.
- 7. We demand that all campaigning agents be sent to work and that a single command be established, which will inspire the whole Romanian Nation with one beat and one mind.

These were the first efforts to publicly formulate several political measures that I considered most urgent. They were not the result of some prolonged thinking or ideological search, but the result of momentary reflections over what the Romanian people needed then, without delay.

Six months later, several quite popular movements appeared which had in their program my three initial points:

- 1. The death penalty,
- 2. The investigation of wealth, and
- 3. The prevention of politicians from getting on administrative boards which meant that others also observed them to be necessary.

SEVERAL OBSERVATIONS REGARDING DEMOCRACY

I wish, in the pages that follow, to present several conclusions of my daily experience in such a manner that they can be understood by any young legionary or workingman. We live in the clothing, the forms of democracy. Are they, I wonder, good? We do not yet know. But one thing we do see: we know precisely that part of the greater and more civilised European nations discarded

these clothes and put on some new ones. Did they shed them because they were good? Other nations too, make strong efforts to shed them and change them, Why? Could it be that all nations went mad? That only Romanian politicians remained the wisest men in the whole world? It seems, I cannot quite believe that. Certainly, those who changed them or who wish to do so, have their own reasons.

But why should we be concerned with somebody else's reasons? Let us better be concerned with the reasons which would make us Romanians shed these clothes of democracy.

If we have no reasons for discarding them, if for us they are suitable, then we should keep them, even if all Europe should discard them. However, they are not good for us either, because:

- 1. Democracy breaks the unity of the Romanian people, dividing it into parties, stirring it up, and so, disunited, exposing it to face the united block of the Judaic power in a difficult moment of its history. This argument alone is so grave for our existence that it would constitute sufficient reason for us to change this democracy for anything that could guarantee our unity: namely our life; for our disunity means death.
- 2. Democracy transforms the millions of Jews into Romanian citizens, by making them the equal of Romanians and giving them equal rights in the state. Equality? On what basis? We have lived here for thousands of years; with the plow and with the weapon; with our labour and our blood. Why should we be equal to those who have been here for hardly 100, 10, or 5 years? Looking at the past, it was we who created this state. Looking at the future, it is we Romanians who hold the entire historical responsibility for Greater Romania's existence; they have none. How could Jews be made responsible before history for the disappearance of the Romanian State? To sum up: they have neither equality in the labour, sacrifice and fighting that created the state, nor equality of responsibility for its future. Equality? According to an ancient maxim, equality means treating unequal things unequally. On what basis do the Jews demand equal treatment, political rights equal to those of Romanians?

- 3. Democracy is incapable of continuity in effort. Divided into parties that govern one, two or three years, it is incapable of conceiving and accomplishing a long range plan. One party nullifies the plans and the efforts of another. What was conceived and built by one today is demolished next by another. In a country in need of construction, whose historical moment is that very construction, this drawback of democracy constitutes a threat. It is as if on a farm the owners would change yearly, each coming with different plans, doing away with what the predecessors did, their work only to be done away with by the next owner coming tomorrow.
- 4. Democracy makes it impossible for the politician to do his duty to his nation. A politician of the greatest good will becomes, in a democracy, the slave of his supporters; he either satisfies their personal appetites or they destroy his backing. The politician lives under the tyranny and permanent threat of the electoral agent. He is placed in the position of choosing either the renunciation of his lifetime's labour or the satisfaction of his supporters. And then the politician satisfies their appetites; not out of his pocket, but out of the country's pocket. He creates jobs, positions, missions, commissions, sinecures, all of them loading down the national budget which burdens more and more the ever more bowed backs of the people.
- 5. Democracy is incapable of authority. It lacks the power of sanction. A party, for fear of losing its supporters, does not apply sanctions against those who live through scandalous business deals running into the millions, through thievery or embezzlement; nor does it apply any sanctions against political adversaries lest they expose its own shady deals and incorrectitudes.
- 6. Democracy is in the service of great finance. Because of the expensive system and the competition among various groups, democracy needs a lot of money. As a natural consequence it becomes the slave of the great Jewish international finance which subjugates it by subvention. In this fashion the fate of a people is given into the hands of a caste of bankers.

ELECTION, SELECTION, HEREDITY

A people is not led according to its will: the democratic formula; nor according to the will of one individual: the dictatorial formula.

But according to laws. I do not talk here of man-made laws. There are norms, natural laws of life; and there are norms, natural laws of death. Laws of life and laws of death. A nation is headed for life or death according to its respect for one or the other of these laws.

There remains one question to be answered: Who, in a nation, can understand or know intuitively these norms? People? The multitude? If this were the case I believe that too much is expected. Multitudes do not understand much simpler laws. These must be explained to them by repeated insistence in order to be understood – yes, even by punishment if need be.

Here are a few examples of laws that are imperatively necessary to the life of the people, which multitudes understand only with difficulty: that in case of contagious illness, the sick must be isolated and a general disinfection is needed; that sunlight must enter homes, therefore a house should have large windows; that if cattle are better fed and cared for they yield more for man's nutrition, etc. If the multitude does not understand or understands only with difficulty several laws that are immediately necessary to its life, how can it be imagined by someone that it which in a democracy must be led through itself could understand the most difficult natural laws; or that it would know intuitively the most subtle and imperceptible norms of human leadership, norms that project beyond itself, its life, its life's necessities, or which do not apply directly to it but to a more superior entity, the nation?

For making bread, shoes, ploughs, farming, running a streetcar, one must be specialised, is there no need for specialisation when

it comes to the most demanding leadership, that of a nation? Does one not have to possess certain qualities?

The conclusion: a people is not capable of governing itself. It ought to be governed by its elite. Namely, through that category of men born within its bosom who possess certain aptitudes and specialties. Just as the bees raise their "queen" a people must raise its elite. The multitude likewise, in its needs, appeals to its elite, the wise of the state.

Who chooses this elite – the multitude? Supporters could be found for any "ideas," or votes for anyone running for public office. But this does not depend on the people's understanding of those "ideas," "laws" or "candidates" but on something entirely different: on the adroitness of individuals to win the goodwill of the multitudes. There is nothing more capricious and unstable in opinions than the multitude. Since the war, this multitude was, in turn, Averescan, Liberal, Nationalistic, National-Peasant, Iorgan, etc. hailing each, only to spit on each a year later, thus recognising its own error, disorientation and incapacity. Its criterion for selection is: "Let us try some others." Thus, the choosing is done not according to judgement and knowledge, but haphazardly and trusting to luck.

Here are two opposite ideas, one containing truth, the other the lie. Truth – of which there can be but one – is sought. The question is put to a vote. One idea polls 10,000 votes, the other 10,050. Is it possible that 50 votes more or less determine or deny truth? Truth depends neither on majority nor minority; it has its own laws and it succeeds, as has been seen, against all majorities, even though they be crushing.

Finding truth cannot be entrusted to majorities, just as in geometry Pythagoras' theorem cannot be put to the multitude's vote in order to determine or deny its validity; or just as a chemist making ammonia does not run to multitudes to put the amounts of nitrogen and hydrogen to a vote; or as an agronomist, who studied agriculture and its laws for years, does not have to turn to a multitude trying to convince himself of their validity by their vote.

Can the people choose its elite? Why then do soldiers not

choose the best general? In order to choose, this collective jury would have to know very well:

- a) The laws of strategy, tactics, organisation, etc. and
- b) To what extent the individual in question conforms through aptitudes and knowledge to these laws.

No one can choose wisely without this knowledge. If the multitude wishes to choose its elite, it must necessarily know the national organism's laws of leadership and the extent candidates to this leadership conform by qualifications and knowledge to said laws. However, the multitude can know neither these laws nor the candidates. That is why we believe that the leading elite of a country cannot be chosen by the multitude. To try to select this elite is like determining by majority vote who the poets, writers, mechanics, aviators or athletes of a country ought to be.

Thus democracy, based on the principle of election, choosing its elite itself, commits a fundamental error from which evolves the entire state of wrong, disorder and misery in our villages. We touch here upon a capital point; because it is from this error of democratic conception that we could say all the other errors originate.

When the masses are called to choose their elite they are not only incapable of discovering and choosing one but choose moreover, with few exceptions, the worst within a nation.

Not only does democracy remove the national elite, but it replaces it with the worst within a nation. Democracy elects men totally lacking in scruples, without any morals; those who will pay better, thus those with a higher power of corruption; magicians, charlatans, demagogues, who will excel in their fields during the electoral campaign. Several good men would be able to slip through among them, even politicians of good faith. But they would be the slaves of the former.

The real elite of a nation would be defeated, removed, because it would refuse to compete on that basis; it would retreat and stay hidden. Hence, the fatal consequences for the state. When a state is led by a so-called "elite" made up of the worst, most corrupt, most unhealthy it has, is it not permitted a person to ask why the

state is headed for ruin?

Here then is the cause of all other evils... immorality, corruption and lust throughout the country; thievery and spoliation in the state's wealth; bloody exploitation of the people; poverty and misery in its hoites; lack of the sense of duty in all functions; disorder and disorganisation in the state; the invasion from all directions of foreigners with money, as coming to buy bankrupt stores whose wares are being sold for a pittance. The country is auctioned off.

"Who pays higher?" In the last analysis this is where democracy is going to take us.

In Romania, particularly since the war, democracy has created for us, through this system of elections, a "national elite" of Romano-Jews, based not on bravery, nor love of country, nor sacrifice, but on betrayal of country, the satisfaction of personal interest, the bribe, the traffic of influence, the enrichment through exploitation and embezzlement, thievery, cowardice, and intrigue to knock down any adversary.

This "national elite," if it continues to lead this country, will bring about the destruction of the Romanian state. Therefore, in the last analysis, the problem facing the Romanian people today, on which all others depend, is the substitution of this fake elite with a real national one based on virtue, love and sacrifice for country, justice and love for the people, honesty, work, order, discipline, honest dealing, and honour.

Who is to make this substitution? Who is to place this real elite in its place of leadership? I answer: anyone but the multitude. I admit any system except "democracy" which I see killing the Romanian people.

The new Romanian elite, as well as any other elite in the world, must be based on the principle of social selection. In other words, a category of people endowed with certain qualities which they then cultivate, is naturally selected from the nation's body, namely from the large healthy mass of peasantry and workingmen, which is permanently bound to the land and the country. This category of people becomes the national elite meant to lead our nation.

When can a multitude be consulted, and when must it be? It ought to be consulted before the great decisions that affect its future, in order to say its word whether it can or cannot, whether it is spiritually prepared or not to follow a certain path. It ought to be consulted on matters affecting its fate. This is what is meant by the consultation of the people; it does not mean the election of an elite by the people.

But I repeat my question: "Who indicates everyone's place within an elite and who sizes up everyone? Who establishes the selection and consecrates the members of the new elite?" I answer: "The previous elite."

The latter does not choose or name, but consecrates each in his place to which he elevated himself through his capacity and moral worth. The consecration is made by the elite's chief in consultation with his elite. Thus a national elite must see to it that it leaves an inheriting elite to take its place, an elite not based, however, on the principle of heredity but only on that of social selection applied with the greatest strictness. The principle of heredity is not sufficient in itself. According to the principle of social selection, continually refreshed by elements from within the nation's depths, an elite keeps itself always vigorous. The main historical mistake has been that where an elite was created on the basis of the principle of selection, it dropped next day the very principle which gave it birth, replacing it with the principle of heredity thus consecrating the unjust and condemned system of privileges through birth. It was as a protest against this mistake; for the removal of a degenerated elite; and for the abolition of privilege through birth, that democracy was born. The abandonment of the principle of selection led to a false and degenerate elite which in turn led to the aberration of democracy.

The principle of selection removes alike both the principle of election and that of heredity. They cancel each other out. There is a conflict between them; for, either there is a principle of selection and in that case the opinion and vote of the multitude do not matter, or the latter votes in certain candidates and in that case selection no longer operates.

Likewise, if the principle of social selection is adopted, heredity plays no part. These two principles cannot go together unless the heir corresponds to the laws of selection.

And if a nation has no real elite – a first one to designate the second? I answer by a single phrase which contains an indisputable truth: in that case, the real elite is born out of a war with the degenerate elite, the false one. And that, also on the principle of selection.

Therefore, summing it up, the role of an elite is:

- a) To lead a nation according to the life laws of a people.
- b) To leave behind an inheriting elite based not on the principle of heredity but on that of selection, because only an elite knows life's laws and can judge to what extent people conform by aptitudes and knowledge to these laws. It is like a gardener who works his garden and sees to it that before he dies he has an inheritor, a replacement, for he alone can say who among those working with him is best to take his place and continue his work.

On what must an elite be founded?

- a) Purity of soul.
- b) Capacity of work and creativity.
- c) Bravery.
- d) Tough living and permanent warring against difficulties facing the nation,
- e) Poverty, namely voluntary renunciation of amassing a fortune.
 - f) Faith in God.
 - g) Love.

I have been asked whether our activity so far has followed along the same lines as those of the Christian Church. I answer: we make a great distinction between the line we follow and that of the Christian Church. The Church dominates us from on high. It reaches perfection and the sublime. We cannot lower this plane in order to explain our acts.

We, through our action, through all our acts and thoughts, tend toward this line, raising ourselves up toward it as much as the weight of our sins of the flesh and our fall through original sin

permit. It remains to be seen how much we can elevate ourselves toward this line through our worldly efforts.

INDIVIDUAL, NATIONAL COLLECTIVITY, NATION

"Human rights" are not limited only by the rights of other humans but also by other rights. There are three distinct entities:

- 1. The individual.
- 2. The present national collectivity, that is, the totality of all the individuals of the same nation, living in a state at a given moment.
- 3. The nation, that historical entity whose life extends over centuries, its roots embedded deep in the mists of time, and with an infinite future.

A new great error of democracy based on "human rights" is that of recognising and showing an interest in only one of these three entities, the individual; it neglects the second or ridicules it, and denies the third.

All of them have their rights and their duties, the right to live and the duty of not infringing on the right to life of the other two. Democracy takes care of assuring only the rights of the individual. That is why in democracy we witness a formidable upset. The individual believes he can encroach, with his unlimited rights, on the rights of the whole collectivity, which he thinks he can trample and rob; hence, in democracy, one witnesses this rending scene, this anarchy in which the individual recognises nothing outside his personal interest.

In its turn, national collectivity exhibits a permanent tendency to sacrifice the future – the rights of the nation – for its present interests. That is why we witness the pitiless exploitation and the alienation of our forests, mines, oil reserves, forgetting that there are hundreds of Romanian generations, our children's children to come after us, who likewise expect to live and carry on the life of

our nation. This upheaval, this breach of relationship brought about by democracy constitutes veritable anarchy, an upsetting of the natural order, and is one of the principal causes of the state of unrest in today's society.

Harmony can be re-established only by the reinstatement of natural order. The individual must be subordinated to the superior entity, the national collectivity, which in turn must be subordinated to the nation. "Human rights" are no longer unlimited, but limited by the rights of national collectivity, these in turn being limited by those of the nation.

Finally, it would seem that in a democracy at least the individual enjoying so many rights lives wonderfully. But in reality, and this is democracy's ultimate tragedy, the individual has no right, for where is the freedom of assembly in our country, the freedom to write, the freedom of conscience? The individual lives under terror, a state of siege, censorship; thousands of people are arrested, some being killed for their faith, as under the most tyrannical leaders. Where is "the right of the sovereign multitude" to decide its fate, when meetings are forbidden and when thousands of people are prevented from voting, maltreated, threatened with death, killed? You will say: "Yes, but these people want to change the Constitution, limit our liberties, enthrone another form of government!"

I ask: "Can democracy claim that a people is not free to decide its own destiny, to change its Constitution, its form of government, as it pleases; to live with greater or fewer freedoms as it chooses?

This is the ultimate tragedy.

In reality man has no rights in a democracy. He did not lose them for the benefit of either the national collectivity or the nation, but in favour of a politico-financier caste of bankers and electoral agents. Finally, the last beneficence to the individual. Masonic democracy through an unparalleled perfidy masquerades as an apostle for peace on this earth while at the same time proclaiming war between man and God.

Peace among men and war against God.

The perfidy consists in using the words of our Savior "Peace

among men" in order to change into an apostle for "Peace" while condemning Him and showing Him as mankind's enemy. And more, this perfidy consists also in that they pretend to want to save people's lives while in fact they lead them to their death; feigning to save their lives from war, condemn them — devilishly — to eternal damnation.

THE NATION

When we say the Romanian nation, we mean not only all Romanians living in the same territory, sharing the same past and the same future, the same dress, but all Romanians, alive and dead, who have lived on this land from the beginning of history and will live here also in the future.

The nation includes:

- 1. All the Romanians presently alive.
- 2. All the souls of our dead and the tombs of our ancestors.
- 3. All those who will be born Romanians. A people becomes conscious of itself when it attains the consciousness of this whole, not only of its own aims.

The nation possesses:

- 1. A physical, biological patrimony her flesh and blood.
- 2. A material patrimony the soil of her country and its riches.
- 3. A spiritual patrimony which contains:
- a) Her concept of God, the world and life. This concept forms a domain, a spiritual property. The frontiers of this domain are determined by the horizons to which the brightness of her concept reaches. There exists a country of the national spirit, a country of its visions obtained by revelation or by her own efforts.
- b) Her honour which shines to the extent that the nation has conformed during her history to the norms stemming from her concept of God, the world and life.
- c) Her culture, the yield of her existence resulting from her own efforts in the domain of arts and thought. This culture is not international, it is the expression of national genius, of the blood.

Culture is international as far as its luminescence may reach, but national in origin. Someone made a beautiful comparison: both bread and wheat can be international as consumption items, but they carry everywhere the stamp of the earth in which they grew. Each of these three patrimonies has its importance. A people must defend all three. The most important however is its spiritual patrimony, for only it carries the stamp of eternity, it alone endures through all the centuries.

The ancient Greeks are not remembered because of their physique – nothing but ashes is left of that nor their material riches, had they had any, but because of their culture.

A people lives in eternity through its outlook, its concept of honour, and its culture. That is why the nation's leaders must reason and act, not only according to the physical or material interests of the people, but also by taking into account its historic honour, its eternal interests. In other words, not bread, but honour at any price.

THE FINAL AIM OF THE NATION

Is it life?

If it be life, then the means people use to assure life does not matter; even the worst is good.

Therefore the question must be raised. Which are the principles guiding nations in their relationship with other nations? Should they be guided by the animal instinct, the tiger in them, as fish behave in the sea or beasts in the forest?

The final aim is not life but resurrection. The resurrection of peoples in the name of the Savior Jesus Christ. Creation, culture, are but a means, not a purpose as it has been believed, of obtaining this resurrection. It is the fruit of the talent God planted in our people for which we have to account. There will come a time when all the peoples of the earth shall be resurrected, with all their dead

and all their kings and emperors, each people having its place before God's throne. This final moment, "the resurrection from the dead," is the noblest and most sublime one toward which a people can rise.

The nation then is an entity which prolongs her existence even beyond this earth. Peoples are realities even in the nether world, not only in this one.

St. John narrating what he saw beyond the earth, says:

"And the city has no need of the sun, nor of the moon, to shine in it; for the glory of God has enlightened it, and the Lamb is the lamp thereof. "And the nations shall walk in the light of it and the kings of the earth shall bring their glory and honour into it."

And again: "Who shall not fear Thee, Oh Lord, and magnify Thy name? For Thou only art holy; for all nations shall come and shall adore in Thy sight, because Thy judgements are manifest."²

To us Romanians, to our people, as to any other people in the world, God has given a mission, a historic destiny. The first law that a person must follow is that of going on the path of this destiny, accomplishing its entrusted mission. Our people has never laid down its arms or deserted its mission, no matter how difficult or lengthy was its Golgotha Way. Even now, obstacles high as mountains appear before us. Shall we be, I wonder, the weak and cowardly generation to drop from our hands, under pressures of threats, the line of Romanian destiny and abandon our mission as a people in this world?

MONARCHY AND THE LAW OF MONARCHY

¹ (Apocalypse, 21, 23-24).

² (Apocalypse 15,4).

At the head of peoples, above the elite, one finds the monarchy. I reject the republic.

One has met some monarchs that were good, some very good, others weak or bad. Some enjoyed honours and the love of their people to the end of their lives, others were beheaded. Therefore, not all of the monarchs were good. Monarchy itself, however, has been always good. One must not confuse the man with the institution and draw false conclusions.

There can be bad priests; but can we, because of this, conclude that the Church must be abolished and God stoned to death?

There are weak and bad monarchs certainly, but we cannot renounce monarchy because of this. In farming, there is occasionally a bad year following a good one, or one good and two bad; even so, it occurred to no one in the world to quit farming.

Does a monarch do as he pleases, whether he be great or small, good or bad?

A monarch does not do what he wants. He is small when he does as he pleases and great when he does what he must. To each nation God has traced a line of destiny. A monarch is great and good when he stays on that line; he is small or bad, to the extent that he wanders away from this line of destiny or opposes it. This then, is the law of monarchy. There are also other lines that may tempt a monarch: the line of personal interest or that of a class of people or group; the line of alien interests (domestic or foreign). He must avoid all these lines and follow that of his people. Stefan the Great has shone in history for 500 years and Romanians remember him because he identified himself perfectly with the destiny of his people. King Ferdinand, in spite of pressure from outside interests and influences, placed himself on the line of the nation's destiny; he suffered with her, sacrificed side by side with her, and won with her. It is by virtue of this that he is great and immortal

THE BATTLE OF TUTOVA, APRIL 17, 1932

Only four months had passed since the election in Neamt and the young legionary army engaged in a new battle, At the beginning of January 1932, a congressman's seat was declared vacant in Tutova. [Note: county of Tutova with Barlad as its county seat.]

I had weighed the situation. In the previous general elections, we got only 500 votes there. The county was weak; but it was framed in by the stronger counties of Covurlui, Cahul and Tecuci, so that we could easily bring in legionaries.

It seemed to me that we could possibly win, I was thinking of the impact an echo of a new victory would have. Two consecutive victories of the youngest generation against all political parties would have considerably enhanced its prestige in the eyes of the country. I decided that my father should run, as he was most necessary for me in the movement, both in Parliament and out of it for organization and propaganda. The election had been fixed for March 17. On January 9 I sent out a manifesto to the whole county. My father with a first electoral team arrived on January 10. Then came the teams from Iasi, Tecuci, Beresti and Cahul. During the first three weeks, the speed and the bravery of the small legionary forces had set off a current of sympathy in our favor throughout the whole county, In a bad winter with heavy snows and cold weather, the political parties could not go out. They waited for better weather. But during this time, over hills, through waist-deep snows, through blizzards, legionaries traveled from village to village.

Around the beginning of February, fighting the enemy became more difficult, A coalition of Liberals, National-Peasants, Lupists [Note: Dr. Lupu's party members.] and Cuzists was facing us with

a fierceness we had never met before. The government resorted to truly terroristic measures and the Jewish press attacked us vehemently.

I felt the need of new reinforcements, so I sent the last reserves from Iasi, led by Totu. I had none others except in Bucharest and these could not be secured for lack of funds. So I convoked a meeting of the legionaries and proposed an heroic step: that they start off on foot from Bucharest to Bariad, a distance of nearly 200 miles, explaining to them that this march would mean more for our victory than 100,000 manifestoes. It alone would constitute a great heroic discourse addressed by legionaries to the Romanians of Tutova

The legionaries received my suggestion enthusiastically. A week later a team of about 25, led by Stelescu, Caratanase and Doru Belimace left Bucharest on foot for Tutova. At the end of a ten-day march through stormy weather, they arrived at Bariad where they were warmly welcomed by the whole populace, But the persecution had escalated to nerve-shattering tenseness. Mr. Argetoianu, Minister of internal Affairs, sent out the gendarmes Col, Ignat, with large forces, and orders to carry the legionaries out of Tutova county on stretchers. It was impossible for small teams to advance further. So I formed two strong teams under the command of Victor Silaghi and Stelescu which, supporting one another, should advance on the Puesti-Dragomiresti line, supporting my father. I sent another smaller team in the direction of Bacani. These two were the only two routes that remained uncanvassed. They constituted the north-east half of the county. The other half, the south, had been adequately worked by my father, Mr. D. Popescu the county head, Victor Silaghi, Teodor Tilea and Ion Antoniu, with the first teams.

The two teams in the North advanced nearly 30 miles, fighting the bitter cold and ending up with several wounded, Tocu among others. In the northern part of the county they were met by large contingents of gendarmes. The teams barricaded themselves in the attic of an abandoned house where they resisted for 48 hours without heat, food or water. In the end they were able to retreat

through a difficult overnight march, executed in conditions truly heroic, only because of Victor Silaghi's stubborn persistence in encouraging the exhausted, starved and frozen legionaries to the last possible resistance.

This orphan child, son of the Romanian priest Silaghi from Careii Mari who was murdered by Hungarians in 1918 under tragic conditions, fought with bravery.

Finally, these teams were surrounded by superior forces, captured and brought to Bariad. My father was arrested and locked up in a regimental prison.

The third team was completely decimated in the battle of Bacani. There, in a valley before entering the village in the evening, it was attacked by a large contingent of gendarmes. The team's leader, legionary Lascar Popescu, struck over the head with a rifle, was the first to fall unconscious in a pool of blood. The other legionaries refused to retreat. They counterattacked with bare chests, nothing in their hands, trying to get into the village. One by one they all fell unconscious. The last one standing, attacked alone. Under blows, he fell on his knees, got up, attacked again. He fell near his comrades. The entire team lay unconscious in a pool of blood. From there they were dragged through the snow by the gendarmes, for better than a mile to the gendarmes post in the village. At 1 o'clock that night, a rider brought the news to Bariad of what happened at Bacani. The team from Iasi led by Totu, which arrived in Barlad that midnight, left immediately on foot to aid their wounded comrades. Following a battle from 3:30 to 5 o'clock in the morning, during which the gendarmes fired all their arms, the legionaries occupied the gendannes' post, finding inside, still unconscious and lying on the ground, their comrades fallen in the battle of Bacani. They carried them to the hospital in Barlad.

But things did not rest here. Jewry launched a mammoth press campaign, attacking us with revolting cynicism and injustice. A wave of lies, insults, calumnies, came our way. All the political groupings coalesced to put us out of the battle.

THE SECOND DISSOLUTION OF THE GUARD MARCH 1932

Kicked by the gendarmes, attacked by the Jewish press, we were hit by a new dissolution of the Guard ordered through a simple ministerial decision. Although we were within the framework of perfect legality, the lorga-Argetoianu government, in defiance of laws and Constitution, dissolved the Guard arbitrarily. Our headquarters all over the country were again taken over and padlocked, the Iasi print shop closed down. Attacked in the press, we were placed in the impossible position of not being able to defend ourselves as all our publications had been suspended. In Parliament I tried to speak, but I was prevented by the din of the majority, who did not permit me to defend myself.

However, the candidacy in Tutova could not be stopped. The team from Bucharest was expelled from the county. Likewise the others, one by one. Our Iasi team of about 30, under Totu's command, as it was being taken to the depot for the same evacuation operation, broke the cordons and occupied the waiting room in which, barricaded, it resisted for 24 hours, until it was gassed out. In the end it was loaded on the train and taken out of the county. Only Ibraileanu, Nutu Esanu, and my father who was arrested, remained in town. The persecution was then switched to the villages. Peasants, school teachers and priests were arrested and beaten; their homes broken into. The election was postponed one month, until April 17.

My father was released. The elderly legionaries then came into town to step into the battle: Hristache Solomon, Col. Cambureanu, Ventonic, Ifrim, Father Isihie, Peceli, Potolea, etc. I assigned them to various sectors. Each slipped to his post under the cover of night. Our teams from the neighboring counties again entered Tutova at several points. Gh. Costea's team crossed the Barlad

river, water up to their necks, for all roads were patrolled; they arrived at the polling station dripping wet.

April 17 in the morning the voting began, continuing into the night. April 18, at 5 o'clock in the morning, the legionary victory was announced: 5,600 votes; Liberals: 5,200; National-Peasants: 4,000; the other groups: less than 2,000; Cuzists: 500 votes.

This second legionary victory, against the coalition of all the Romanian politicians, won through the dauntlessness and the iron will of the legionaries, through their heroism and blood, defying obstacles, insults, blows and persecution, had stirred up throughout the country an indescribable enthusiasm.

NEW GENERAL ELECTIONS JULY 1932

My father was validated the last day of the parliamentary session. But our rest lasted only one week, for the lorga government had fallen. A National-Peasant government was formed, headed by Mr. Vaida.

Exhausted both physically and financially, we went into a new battle. That was June 1932. Ever since December 15, 1929 we had been in a constant fight: December 1929 – April 1930, the campaigns in Covurlui, Cahul, Turda, Tecuci; the summer of 1930, the preparation, then interdiction of the march in Bessarabia, followed by my imprisonment until that fall; in October and November we were in Maramures – that winter imprisoned again; the spring of 1931, battle preceding general elections; summer of 1931, elections in Neamt; winter 1932, elections in Tutova; and now we were again about to come to the general elections. In spite of all these fights, we continued the organizational work in the rest of the country. The year before, we entered electoral lists in 17 counties, this year we entered 36. All

political parties engaged in the same quarrels, full of intrigues, for the naming of their candidates. This lasted a whole week. But I, alone, in one night fixed all our candidate lists in 36 counties. No one among legionaries fights over his place on the list; if anything, he asks to be put last.

The difficult problem for us is money matters. Most of the counties have been able to meet their own expenses out of legionary contributions. Others have not. I needed 50,000 lei only to cover electoral taxes. I walked as in a daze till the last day. I tried one, I tried another. Nothing.

I went to see Mr. Nichifor Crainic, the director of Calendarul ("The Calendar") in the hope he might have money, but in vain. With his journal, which had been published for five months, he supported our struggle, following the bravery of our legionary teams step by step; however, he could not help us financially. Finally, I borrowed from Pihu and Caranica, who, by running to all the Macedonians, found the necessary sum. Several counties were supported by the county of Focsani and Ilristache Solomon.

The campaign commenced. A new persecution befell our ranks. Being spread over a large front, our thin ranks were everywhere violently attacked. Legionaries Savin and Popescu were wounded at Tighina. At Barlad scores of teachers and priests were dragged into cellars and maltreated by orders of Mr. Georgescu-Barlad. At Vaslui our small teams were wounded. Likewise at Podul-Iloaiei and throughout Iasi county. At Focsani, the aged Hristache Solomon, engineer Blanaru, and ten others were attacked on orders of attorney Neagu by armed bands of National-Peasants in the village of Vulturul. Legionaries fell to the ground wounded by bludgeons and knives. One only remained on his feet like a mountain, Hristache Solomon, whom no one dared touch up to then. He fiercely defended himself, but in the end, fell in the middle of the road, overwhelmed by blows. There on the ground he was bludgeoned over the head by these beasts who always made an issue - then as they do now - of legality, civilized methods, freedom, etc.. The Guard obtained 70,000 votes, double that of the previous year. The counties of Cahul, Neamt, Covurlui

and Tutova where my father ran, came out strongest. Then followed Campul-Lung with Mota; then Turda, Focsani, Ismail, Tighina. We won five seats, and now we must make our choices to fill them. I stayed in Cahul, in order to let Nutu Esanu enter Parliament. I decided that my father should remain in Barlad in order to let Stelescu, a 25 year old student, enter Parliament; I wanted thus to give the youth of the country encouragement and a stimulus. The trust and love I showed this youth, however, was not returned to me. [Note: The author refers here to Stelescu's future betrayal of his legionary comrades.]

IN PARLIAMENT FOR THE SECOND TIME

All the time in Parliament I fought against the government and its measures which I considered contrary to the well-being of the Romanian people, as in fact I had fought also all the former administrations that took turns at the state's helm. The country had nothing to expect from all these governments. Nothing of any sanity for the future of our people was being forged there. All measures and laws were but some palliatives that prolonged from day to day the bitter and sad existence of our country.

When at Grivita, Romanian workers were shot by orders of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, sickened to the bottom of my heart by the attitude of the pro-communists within the National-Peasant Party who were applauding the government's step, I took the platform and deemed it my duty to speak as follows: "it is bad that the unfortunate workers went out into the street, but it would be worse if they and our people, faced with the injustice that cries out to heaven, would not go out, but resignedly bend their head under the yoke, leaving the country in the hands of some exploiting politicians. [Note: The author speaks here of the workers' strike at

the Grivita Rafiway Works in Bucharest on February 4, 1933 under the National-Peasant administration.]

I quote here from the Official Minutes of this session:

"Mr. Corneliu Zelea Codreanu.. Mr. President, fellow congressmen! In the name of the group to which I belong, I demand that in addition to the investigation which is normally made bv competent authorities, another parliamentary investigation be conducted, composed of representatives of various political groups in this Parliament. I demand this because I doubt the veracity of Mr. Minister of Internal Affairs' statement; I doubt that for a very good reason. On January 24, when Romanian students, nationalist and Christian, went to the tomb of the unknown soldier to place a cross, the State Securitate had leaked the information to a newspaper in Bucharest that that action was engineered and financed by Moscow."

"If the information you have, regarding the Grivita affair also comes from such a source, then I understand very well how right you are in taking steps of this nature as you did yesterday and today."

(Applause from the benches of 'The Iron Guard' and those of the Peasant Party of Dr. Lupu).

Secondly, I wish to state that I, as well as all people of common sense in this country, am not afraid of Communism or Bolshevism. We are afraid of something else, of the fact that those workers have nothing to eat; they are hungry."

(Applause from the benches of 'The Iron Guard' and those of the Peasant Party of Dr. Lupu).

"Some of those workers make only 1,100 lei a month and have 5, 6, 7, children."

Dr. V. Lupu: "It is true."

Mr. Corneliu Zelea Codreanu: "Having 5, 6, 7, children, such wages are not enough even for their daily bread, I, on the other hand, am also afraid of something else: of their thirst for justice."

Dr. N. Liipu: Very good!

Corneliu Zelea Codreanu: "Therefore, you will have to satisfy these two needs: hunger, and thirst for justice,"

(Applause from the benches of 'The Iron Guard' and those of the Peasant Party of Dr. Lupu) "and this country will enjoy complete order."

(Meeting of Thursday, February 16, 1933. Official monitor 41 of February 23, 1933)

One of the hardships putting the brakes on parliamentary activity is the thousands of demands to the ministries for intercessions of some kind, This constitutes a real punishment for us from our constituency,

- (1) because parliamentarians have to waste most of their time satisfying these demands. This system is dangerous to the life of an organisation, for it paralyses its entire activity; it can lose the whole battle. You have to abandon the fate of your country in order to serve your supporters. After a while I noticed that there were no legionaries among those coming to me with such demands. All were either professional beggars or specially sent adversaries seeking to paralyse us.
- (2) this system placed us in the touchy position of going before, and seeking favours from, the men we were fighting. For these reasons I personally refused to intervene for anyone. During all my serving in Parliament, I asked nothing of any minister.

Another category was made up of those coming to ask us for money. Out of the hundreds knocking on our doors daily, there were no legionaries. Some were truly sick or fallen into misfortune, but some turned this system into a real profession. Finally our group was a small organisation, in formation, on the move, in constant battle. This demanded particularly from me uninterrupted attention to all enemy moves; it involved the uncovering and parrying of enemy plans, the winning and organising of new positions, in other words, a permanent survey, day and night, of the battlefields nation-wide. But before anything else came the supervision of legionary education so as not to wake up and find ourselves being gradually changed into a political category of moral infection from which we would not be able to extricate ourselves and in which the legionary spirit would die.

Parliament took away from me the time I really needed for leadership.

THE CONDITION OF THE LEGIONARY ORGANISATION IN 1932-1933

In the fall of 1932 and the winter of 1933 legionaries could breathe. Three and one half years of fighting were over. These youth now deserved their rest. It had been almost two years since I set up residence in Bucharest.

In Iasi to take my place, Banea, aided by Totu, Cranganu, Tasca and Stelian Teodorescu, stayed to handle questions relating to students, print shop, our Home, etc.. The legionary student group increased, comprising now more than half of the militant students. In Cluj, a healthy start toward organization was accomplished by Banica Dobre; likewise in Cernauti with Lauric where legionary life was budding nicely under the spiritual guidance of Professor Traian Braileanu around whom Professor Toppa and others gathered. In the whole of Bucovina the legionary current and organization were growing under the able command of the veteran and distinguished nationalist Vasile lasinschi. In Chisinau Tudorache and Serghie Floreseu were working; In Oradea Mare, losif Bozantan.

The youth raised in the Brotherhoods of the Cross were prepared by the time they entered university. A nationalist newspaper of great courage and excellent direction had started publication in Bucharest, Calendarul ("The Calendar") under the directorship of Mr. Nichifor Crainic and with the collaboration of a handful of intellectuals headed by Professor Dragos Protopopescu. This paper was cutting, courageously, a new and wide path in the Romanian intellectual world, along the Christian

FOR MY LEGIONARIES CHAPTER VIII DEMOCRACY AGAINST THE NATION

and nationalist line. Mr. Crainic's articles particularly were real cannon fire which caused devastation within enemy ranks. Within the student movement in the capital, legionaries occupied the first lines. Traian Cotiga held the presidency of the student centre, having a legionary committee.

A turnabout was felt among the intellectual youth of the capital. Their consciences were preoccupied with the great problems affecting the life of our nation. A talented group gravitating around the new review Axa ("The Axis") with Polichroniade, Vojen, Constant, joined the legionary ranks, while other eminent youth such as Professor Vasde Cristescu, Vasfie Marin, Professor Viadimir Durnitrescu, engineer Virgd Ionescu, Professor Radu Gyr, attorney Popov, painters Basarab and Zlotescu, all very talented and enthusiastic, worked along the lines of legionary ideology.

The healthy Macedonian youth, pure as a tear, and brave, came ever closer to us. However, we thought it unwise that the mass of Macedonians in the Quadrilateral [Note: Several counties in southern Dobrogea annexed by Romania at the end of the Balkan War in 1913.] be received into the Guard, because, so recently resettled in the country, we would expose it to too many persecutions. The Macedonian university youth however, in its entirety, joined the legionary movement. At the head of these Macedonian youth were three distinguished men of culture: Papanace, Caranica, and Sterie Ciumeti.

With the first two I consulted often, both of whom had admirable judgement supported by irreproachable purity and sincerely, great love and courage.

I do not believe that since 1931 there has passed a day without meeting with them, During this time of persecution, we discussed for hours blow after blow, injustice after injustice, treachery after treachery, Each bit of news of a new torture of a legionary was a knife piercing our hearts.

The pain we suffered for all maltreated legionaries tormented our souls; and particularly the impossibility of seeing ahead any hope for justice.

Sterie Ciumeti was living with me day and night. He was a young man of great righteousness and dog-like faithfulness. He became the chief treasurer of the Guard. All his days – as many as he will have – he will think only of the Guard, will be concerned and will act only for the Guard, will not live his life for anything else but for the Guard.

In various points of the country appeared other valuable elements: Dr. Pantelimon, Father Ionescu Duminica, Dr. Augustin Bidian at Sibiu; Father Georgescu-Edincti, the students' spiritual confessor, a veteran fighter, Capt. Ciulei at Bacau, Aristotel Gheorghiu, also a veteran, who commanded at Ramnicul-Sarat; at Brada, Ion Iliescu; at Constanta, Seitan; Father Doara and Victor Barbulescu at Valcea; Professors Vintan, Ghenadie and Duma at Timisoara; and the veteran legionaries, Professors Nicolae Petrascu, Horia Sima, attorney Iosif Costea, Colhon and others, who now have command posts in various parts of the country.

Bucharest is divided into sectors [Note: Each of the five large sectors bears the name of a color: Green, Yellow, Red, Blue, and Black.] and we began to organise within them. There were two good men in the Green, and Blue sectors, Nicolae Constantinescu and Doru Belimace, two strong characters, two solid brains, Doru Belimace being one of the most distinguished students at the Faculty of Letters; Nicolae Constantinescu possessing an eminent economic background, was a student at the Commercial Academy. Soon, both of them would prove to have imposing qualities of legionary faith and bravery.

During this period also, was created the first legionary rank by the following order of the day of December 10, 1932:

A) The first superior rank, named Legionary Commandant, is established in legionary hierarchy. In view of their sacrifice, work, heroism, faith, capacity and seniority, the following legionaries are advanced in rank, alphabetically: Banea Ion, doctoral candidate in medicine; Belgea Ion; Blanaru Ion, engineer; Dumitrescu Ion, priest; Ionescu Andrei; Silaghi Victor, attorney; Steleseu Mihail, congressman; Totu Nicolae, student; Traian Cotiga, student; Tanase Antohi, craftsman.

FOR MY LEGIONARIES CHAPTER VIII DEMOCRACY AGAINST THE NATION

B) All legionaries of the 1927 and 1928 series who took their vow and are still in the active ranks of the Legion, are hereby promoted to the rank of Legionary Commandant Aide.

Signed: Corneliu Zelea Codreanu.

The others, more advanced in years, were moved into the Legion's Senate and the Legionary Superior Council.

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER PARTS II AND III

DEREK HOLLAND

PART TWO: THE NEED FOR THE POLITICAL SOLDIER.

When a Nation rises up ardent to fight for its freedom and honour, it is always a minority that really fires the multitude.

The Decline of the West (Oswald Spengler)

At the forefront of the battle against the forces of Evil swamping the entire globe in an ocean of Filth, Corruption and Treason are the various Nationalist movements of Europe. In Britain the only organised force is the National Front, but even it made quite fundamental errors in the Seventies about what was necessary to redeem our country. Discussion was always about whether we should have a march here or there; whether we should fight this election or that; whether we could do something to improve our 'image'. These discussions were about as useful as arguing who could have what deckchair on the Titanic. Certainly, our name became better known, though other methods would have achieved this too; it remains that all our time, money and energy did not stop the arrival of one single immigrant; it did not stop the closure of one single factory; it did not stop the rape, physical and spiritual, of our Motherland.

Our failure was simple, but crucial. It was and is not policies or doctrines or activities that we need — important though these things are in the overall political scheme of things — but a New Type of Man who will live the Nationalist way of life every day, who will act as a beacon and an inspiration to those fellow Britons around him who despair of our situation. The other discredited parties have been offering policies and doctrines to our people for generations, but to what effect? To the average Briton, why should the NF have been any different from the others? If we are to succeed we must strike to the heart of the matter: our people need as an example someone who practices what he preaches. That example we call the Political Soldier.

Just what is the Political Soldier?

If we look at the highest period of European history, we can easily identify the type of men who embody or express the spirit

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER PARTS II AND II

that our nation so desperately needs.

In ancient Greece there were the Spartans, an austere, highly disciplined people who have gone down in history mainly because of their heroic stand at the battle of Thermopylae. It was here that 300 Spartans, led by their Warrior-King, Leonidas, took on 100,000 Persian troops under the Emperor Darius; far from cringing in fear at such enormous odds the Spartans proudly sang their battle anthem, The Song of Castor, and then died to a man in a crucible of fire and blood. Although the Spartans lost, it is they that History remembers.

In ancient Rome, it was the Roman centurion whose military skills and commitment to Eternal Rome, to the Pax Romana, led him to build one of the finest, well-ordered empires in world history and, as a consequence, left us so much to cherish in our heritage.

In medieval Europe there was the Christian Crusader whose devotion to the ideals of Asceticism and Chivalry so embodied Europe, East and West, that even today 'knightly conduct' is regarded as a high form of praise. These knights, a supra-national community drawn from one culture, were described by Pope Urban II as those "ready to hasten wherever war erupts, bringing to it the fury of their arms in order to defend Honour and Justice." In our century perhaps the most outstanding example of Political Soldiery was the Romanian Iron Guard, the Legionary Movement, founded and moulded by the genius of Corneliu Codreanu. The spirit that this movement generated was so strong, so pervasive, that in its brief 15 years of existence it infected an entire people. Even today the Communist authorities denounce the Legionaries, so frightened are they by its spiritual and inspirational power -apower that grows as the drudgery of Marxist Eastern Europe kills everything it touches.

But Europe does not have a monopoly on Political Soldiers and all peoples and cultures have the potential to produce this type of man, each fitted to his peculiar circumstances. Take for example the Islamic Revolutionary Guards in the Iran of the Mullahs. It is not necessary to agree with any or all of their aims to appreciate and respect their courage. Their belief in their Cause is so strong that they will run through minefields unarmed to attack enemy

positions; their ideals are so all consuming that they will drive truck bombs into enemy camps knowing full well that death is inevitable. Whether they are right or wrong is not at issue, but it is clear that this power, this contempt for death, is the stuff of which victories are made. This power drove the Yankee war machine out of the Lebanon – whilst U.S. troops were fighting for job security, a wage packet and a pension, their opponents in the Revolutionary Guards were fighting for an Ideal, an independent, Iranian Iran. We must learn that the power of Idealism is beyond calculation.

When we look at the few examples that I have cited, one thing will be immediately obvious to most readers – to wit, that all of them are warriors, military men. However, it would be utterly wrong to believe that this aspect, the military, is the most important aspect, or even one of the most important aspects. The common denominator that allows all of these men to be put in the same category, despite their manifest differences, is the fact that they were inspired by a spiritual and religious ideal that totally dominated their lives. Nothing came between them and the Ideal. They were willing to sacrifice anything and everything for the victory of their Ideal. If, for some reason, their Cause had been denied to them their lives would have ceased to have meaning, to have any importance whatever. They were fine warriors because a flame burned within, a fire that could only be extinguished when they drew their final, mortal breath. It was a flame that their enemies frequently did not possess or understand, but which they feared and respected. It is that flame, that burning spirit, which we must rekindle if our Culture is to have any future worthy of the name. If this proves to be beyond our abilities then all our material achievements, our science and technology, our intellectual grandeur will stand revealed as perfectly useless, for the most important thing in life is the Will to live. If this Will is absent in our people, no scientist and no gadgetry will save us.

It is the task of the Political Soldier to promote the Will to live by revealing the true nature of life — as opposed to the materialist nightmare of this century which is mistakenly taken to be "life" — and by living this life. In order to do this the Political Soldier must undergo a Spiritual Revolution, an inner revolution which guides, directs and pervades his life. When this has been achieved

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER PARTS II AND II

substantively the Political Soldier will judge all his actions according to whether or not they advance the Cause. He will be the man who does not say: "What is in it for me?" but the man who says: "What can I do to help?" For this type of Man certain words will hold the key to the very meaning of Life itself: Honour, Justice, Self-Respect, Honesty, Faith, Humility, Compassion, Love.

He will not allow his Honour or that of the nation to be attacked or undermined by the unworthy; he will not stand idly by when his people are being exploited, but will become a focal point in the fight for Justice. He will not lose his Self-Respect and worth through becoming dependent on externals like drugs or drink, for he knows that if he possesses the Inner Strength that sustains life then he will be invincible. He will be honest in all his dealings, great and small, because the Nationalist Militant leads through example. He will have total, unquestioned belief in the righteousness of his Cause; he will be the man who will strive to the limits of his abilities to advance the welfare of his People and Nation, but he will do this without any thought of praise or publicity. He will remain humble knowing that his actions are a matter of duty inspired by a higher way of life. He will be stirred to action by the physical rape of our beautiful country and the destruction of our rich culture; his Compassion will be such that he will cloak the weak and needy of our nation with his towering strength. His Love will be pure and refreshing, reviving and inspiring everyone and everything that it touches.

The Political Soldier is the man sustained by an Eternal Ideal who will act positively in any and all situations in the defence of what is Right, Good and True. Never in the history of Europe has the need for battalions of Political Soldiers been so urgent, so vital. The ranks of our enemies are immense: the banks, the Communists, the Freemasons, the Zionists, the Capitalists. They have money and power; they dominate the media; they control whole armies through their control of governments; they inject corrosive ideas into the bloodstream of the nation which enfeeble us, make us apathetic, make us a pushover.

And what do we have to combat this? A few thousand patriots in every country in Western Europe. We have no money, no power, no influence, no media to speak of. We are the Spartans,

the Few. They are the Persians, the Many. Confronted by this horrible reality, our countrymen, after sizing up the odds, are leaving the battlefield in droves arguing the "inevitability" of things. "We cannot win", they say. "Things have gone too far", they say. "Perhaps Communism won't be so bad", they say. How right was Emrys ap Iwan, the nineteenth-century Welsh Nationalist, to remark: "The Inevitable is not the high tower of the Wise, but merely the sanctuary of the Timid."

The Political Soldier will look at this grim reality in a totally different light because he is an Idealist and a Realist. The Ideal is the goal of our struggle; Realism is that quality which dictates how best we can achieve that goal. The Political Soldier, weighing up the weaponry on both sides, will acknowledge that we are outgunned in every respect, but one. This exception is our Faith, our Ideal, which we hold dearer than Life itself, and which cannot be intimidated, nor bribed, nor tortured out of existence. This faith is but a dim memory, a glowing ember in most of our people -itis the task of the Political Soldier to fan and fuel this ember until its flicker grows stronger and more intense, until it becomes a raging inferno engulfing our People and our Land in the quest for National Freedom, Social Justice and a truly Free Europe. The Political Soldier will encourage enthusiasm, comradeship and dedication by his mere presence. He will be a Light pushing back the frontiers of Darkness. People will seek his advice; they will heed his counsel; they will collect themselves and return to the fight stronger than the hardest steel.

Every day that passes witnesses the seepage of blood from the mutilated body of the nations of Europe. It is a sight that only the cyncial or the disinterested can view without being moved to aprofound compassion and a Holy Anger. The beautiful woman that had once bewitched the world with her intellect, her grandeur and her vivaciousness now lies in the gutter, kicked senseless by the barbaric hordes of the Super Powers. Only the army of Political Soldiers seeks to defend her from a torrent of violent blows. That army is tiny, its task immense, yet it fights on. It will not allow our heritage, culture, traditions and noble values to be ground into the dust, lost forever, because of the cowardice of the majority. This army fights to win, but if defeat is to be the verdict

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER PARTS II AND II

of Destiny, then it will be a battle to the last man, sword in hand – a tribute worthy of our finest ancestors.

And if the gutless, the preachers of the "Art of the Possible", should assail you with accusations of 'fanaticism', remember the words of John Jenkins, a son of whom Wales can justly be proud:

"I will say three things about fanaticism: firstly, that if it is true that a fanatic has the strength of ten men, it is necessary because the other nine men cannot be bothered. Secondly, it is not always true that a fanatic does not count the cost of action; I became a fanatic because I was not prepared to accept the result of inaction. Lastly, if Wales is to survive and her culture and heritage flourish, it will be done only by ferocious and unswerving devotion to Wales above all else. 'All else' includes family, prospects, careers, health. freedom and life itself I believe, in fact I know, that Wales can inspire this sort of fanaticism, and that this fanaticism is the essential catalyst required to move the majority of the people."

What Jenkins says of Wales is no less true of the other British nations and their cousins in Europe.

PART THREE:THE PATH OF THE POLITICAL SOLDIER.

"Thou must diligently make it thy aim, that in every place and in every action, or outward employment, thou be inwardly free and master of thyself and that all things be under thee and not thou under them."

In Lausanne, Switzerland in 1965, Marcel de Corte made the following observation: "I often hear it said that means, taken as

-

³ The Imitation of Christ (Thomas a Kempis)

such, are neither good nor bad. I confess that this assertion leaves me at a loss, for I ask myself where can we find means that are purely means, without being by the same token inert, unusable, non-existent, resembling perhaps some strange Heath Robinson gadget. A means can never be considered as such, except purely in the mind. A means is always considered in relation to an end."

It is a frequent assertion of the modern age that "the end justifies the means", and it is an assertion to which too many nationalists unthinkingly subscribe. As Marcel de Corte's statement makes clear, Means and Ends are a unity, organically linked and mutually dependent in practical politics. For the patriot the ends that we strive for are completely at variance with those of the Establishment, so naturally the means will be different too. Methods used by Reds or Big Business drones to install their regimes of terror cannot be legitimately used by nationalists without doing immense harm both to the militant and to his Cause, a point upon which Codreanu built his Movement.

Take for example the tactic of terrorism – something that must be distinguished from mere political violence or assassination – this is utterly alien to nationalist tradition for its objective. theoretical and practical, is to instil fear into the population at large through the indiscriminate murder of ordinary folk by bullet and bomb. We reject this method for the good reason that we seek the support of the people in order to improve their lot, and this is hardly likely to be achieved through exterminating them! It is also an interesting fact that the 'nationalist' groups who use this approach – the IRA, the Stern and Irgun gangs, ETA, the reactionary military dictatorships of Latin America – are precisely the groups whose 'nationalist' credentials we find highly questionable. If we proclaim that we love our People and Culture, what possible role in Nationalist politics can there be for methods that breed fear and hatred? If we proclaim that Absolute Truth is closest to our heart, how can we in our actions lie and cheat without distorting the very Truth we set out to defend and advance? Let no man say things will be different after the National Revolution, for the man who cannot uphold his principles when he is powerless and has nothing to lose is hardly likely to maintain them when he does obtain power and has everything to lose.

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER PARTS II AND II

Practice, so they say, makes perfect, and it is something we must bear in mind every day of our nationalist lives. The paths that our opponents took led them to the Slaves States of the U.S.S.R. and the U.S.A. – we take a different path for we seek the National Revolutionary State.

This is not to say that we cannot be discreet in our actions or have recourse to agility of mind; indeed the opposite is the case. Our principles dictate that we draw upon every sinew of our being - physical, mental, spiritual – stretching ourselves to the limit in advancing the Cause, whilst simultaneously maintaining our purity of Spirit. It is far too easy to abandon our principles and values arguing pressure of circumstance. It takes strength of character, fortitude and resilience to resist the corrosive ideas of our enemies who bid us take the easy way. We have only to compromise once and we are on the slippery slope that leads to betrayal. Our way is not easy. It is arduous, it is ascetic, it is a landscape of blood, sweat and tears. The day that it ceases to be these things is the day that Revolutionary Nationalism sells out.

Now, an understanding of the relationship between Means and Ends is not a mere academic exercise, but an essential qualification that determines not only the recruitment of Political Soldiers, but also how they are to be moulded into National Revolutionary Warriors. It is the condition for realising why certain methods will never be acceptable to us. Let us look at recruitment. In the past the warrior elite were a fact of everyday life, and every bit as natural as sunny days and green pastures, but today they are at best a romantic memory. The stark conclusion, therefore, that we must face is that it is people like you – the readers of this pamphlet – who are going to have to lead the way, to become the Political Soldiers that will make victory possible. Some of you will chuckle at the idea. Some of you will draw back in fear at the idea. Some of you will confess that you don't have what it takes. Unfortunately God, Destiny, call it what you will, has decreed that you and I must undertake this daunting task. Our forefathers had to confront similar situations and we must draw strength from their spirits which urges us on. It is upon the bedrock of their spirit that we must erect the banner of the Celtic Cross and defy all stupid enough to provoke the wrath of this nation.

And if you refuse to be those warriors, to whom can the nation

turn? Those with their heads in a pint or a glue bag? Those seeking 'reality' in science fiction videos or heroin? Those who talk loud and long, who threaten a 'Twilight of the Gods', and yet begrudge a few pounds in the funds or a couple of hours at a meeting? The moron in the street who thinks that a short back and sides, cheap beer and Princess Di's new hat is the meaning of nationalism? The plastic patriot, the bourgeois drone, ever so devoted to "England's green and pleasant pastures", but not so devoted that he wouldn't sacrifice his "patriotism" if it threatened the "respectability" accorded him by neighbours and friends? None of us wants this combat, but duty calls. Those who refuse are quite simply cowards. The Political Soldier can respect his Enemy, but he can only despise the Traitor and Deserter. And when the birthright of your children has been stolen from them and parcelled out to enemies, will you be able to look them in the eye and say that you did all that you could?

Assuming you have the guts and determination necessary, how do you become a National Revolutionary? No two Political Soldiers can be completely alike, though naturally they will have much in common. The differences that exist will be due to our being individuals possessing both strengths and weaknesses. These differences need not present us with problems; indeed they can be turned to our advantage, for an effective army is made up of differing types of men. All Chiefs and no Indians is just as useless as all Indians and no Chiefs. Some of you will emerge as leaders, others as warriors, but all will be vital to our National Mission.

The path of development, the programme of training, that culminates in the birth of the Political Soldier is a Cross laid upon our backs. It is through carrying this burden, through struggle, that we come slowly – and I mean slowly – to acquire the qualities we need. There are those who strive for physical excellence as their highest goal in life – they will confirm that this excellence is something that is not given away free with every gallon of petrol, but is something that requires hard work and much pain. In the same way, becoming a Political Soldier is a matter for activists, not armchair dictators or self-professed Men of Destiny.

Having said that, the path of development is simple and logical

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER PARTS II AND II

in its structure. You set out to achieve only small things at first, objectives which when achieved will provide you with confidence and skill to attempt more ambitious targets. The most important thing at this stage is to be honest with yourself because if you cannot do so, you will never be honest with your colleagues. Sit by yourself, and after a period of serious reflection, draw up a list of your good and bad points, remembering to avoid the extremes of excessive criticism or smug complacency. This done, choose one good point that can be developed and improved, and one weakness that you would be better off without. On paper this sounds remarkably easy, but in reality it is a battle of titanic proportions. There will be times when you think you are going well, then suddenly you will fall flat on your face by returning to old habits. But don't give up or be discouraged by failure because it is the getting up off your knees and trying again that develops your self-discipline and the Will to succeed against all odds. It is a process that will do for you spiritually what Charles Atlas claims his weights will do for you physically! You can only understand happiness when you understand sadness, you can only appreciate success when you have experienced the bitter taste of failure. If you cannot overcome yourself in little things, you will prove quite useless in a crisis. When things get really rough in the coming years, when repression is violent, systematic, total, you will want to know that you can count on your comrades in the struggle, and they will want to know that they can count on you. The peace of mind that this trust in others will produce cannot be overestimated.

Let me give a few practical ideas of where to begin, but do remember that they are only general examples to get you to think along the right lines.

Do you watch TV night after night? If so, cut down the time that you do this because you are

needlessly exposing yourself to the propaganda of our enemies, whether you watch a documentary or a soap opera. Use your time more constructively and in a way that aids the National Struggle. Read a political book or magazine. Go for a walk in the countryside or in a park and enjoy the gifts of nature. Do that extra bit of leafleting or newspaper selling. Organise a discussion group at your place for a couple of friends, or get involved in local

community groups like Tenants Associations, Friends of the Earth and so on and fight for local justice.

Do you drink 4, 5, 6 or more pints when you are out for the night? Cut it down to 2 or 3 pints; not only will you have more money to put at the disposal of the Cause, but your health will improve greatly. Besides, the Crusaders were not known for their beer guts!

Do you smoke a lot? If so, cut it down or better still cut it out. You are only keeping Big Business in business by damaging your health. There will be times when you will need to move fast, and those who insist on taking on the appearance of a wheezing dinosaur will then have to pay the price!

Do you put going to football or a party ahead of attending an NF function? If so, learn to get your priorities right. Of course, everyone needs a break from the struggle, but remember that if the NF fails because of the half-heartedness of its members, it will signal not only the death knell of the nation, but also of the very distractions that you thought so important.

If you are not in the habit of reading, get into the habit and always push yourself to higher levels. Knowledge is Power and the more you know the more of a threat you become to the System. Come to party seminars where you will be instructed in the production of local leaflets that will help you promote nationalist ideas in your local community; where you will be taught to silk screen print posters and T-shirts; where our lecturers will explain our revolutionary ideals in simple terms, and which will improve your ability to convert people through persuasion.

These things, and many more, the party can teach you, but there is a wide field for personal initiative: learn new languages, especially if you have Irish, Scots, Welsh or Cornish roots; learn to drive, to type, to speak in public. Go to evening classes to learn electronics, mechanics, shorthand or journalism, or take up a physical pastime like weightlifting or a martial art. There are a million and one things that you can do to improve yourself, things which will give you satisfaction and help the Cause achieve Victory. Whatever you do take up, resolve that you will persevere to the end. Sitting around doing nothing is just what the creeps in Parliament hope you will do, for you are thereby making their work so much easier.

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER PARTS II AND II

For those willing to make the necessary sacrifices to become Political soldiers, you will need to develop certain virtues:

PATIENCE: because the transition to the Political Soldier takes time and real effort and will not come in a week or a few months. It is a work that will consume a lifetime if Perfection is the goal.

CALMNESS: because as State repression mounts, it will be the cool, calm and collected who will survive, not the panicky amateurs.

SELF-DISCIPLINE: because those who are Masters of themselves are masters of their situation. Possess the strength that cannot be seen, but is felt by both comrades and opponents.

SENSE OF HUMOUR: because whilst life is not a bed of roses, it is not one long drudge either. It is a little of both. Develop the ability to laugh at yourself and your situation, and you will be transformed into a spectral fighter who will haunt our foes.

The power of laughter was illustrated recently in Italy at the trial of 30 nationalist militants, militants whose average age was 20 years old. On trial for offences which if proven would lead in many cases to life imprisonment, these militants, innocent before God and Man, upheld the finest Nationalist traditions. The Italian media and judiciary fully expected these youths to be sitting there in the dock, stony faced, serious, worried. How disappointed they were to be as these comrades, ignoring the proceedings, played cards and laughed and joked with one another. They didn't give a damn, and why should they? Four years awaiting trial, offers of early release to those who would turn Supergrass – yet they didn't yield one iota of their Faith. The judge demanded to be taken seriously and was greeted with howls of laughter. This is real strength – it proclaims that Death itself has no power over it. It is a strength that will make us unconquerable for what can our enemies do beyond this? The ancient Celts inscribed this

strength into a proverb: "Fight for your country and accept death if necessary, because Death is a victory and a liberation of the soul."

We will fight for the England of William Byrd and Thomas Talus; for the wild beauty of Cornwall and the serenity of the Lake District. We fight for Wales – the Land of Comrades – not only for her soulful literature and music, but for the heroic spirit of Owain Glyndwr. We fight for Scotland, her Highlands and Islands, her ballads and bards. We fight for Ireland, the land of paradoxes: tragedy and comedy, humour and short temper; for the purity that G.K. Chesterton state thus: "The very lies of Dublin and Belfast are truer than the truisms of Westminster."

Little remains to be said as the ultimate choice confronts you: Are you to become a Revolutionary Warrior fighting for National Freedom, or the coward who will stoop to kiss the filthy boots of a festering System?

LET THE COWARD HANG HIS HEAD IN SHAME.

LET THE WARRIOR KNOW THAT HIS REWARD IS TO COME!

POVL H. RIIS-KNUDSEN

"At any given moment there is an orthodoxy, a body of ideas which it is assumed all right-thinking people will accept without question. It is not exactly forbidden to state this or that or the other, but it is "not done". Anyone who challenges the prevailing orthodoxy finds himself silenced with surprising effectiveness. A genuinely unfashionable opinion is almost never given a fair hearing, either in the popular press or in the highbrow periodicals." – George Orwell

As a National Socialist you constantly experience the difficulty in carrying on a meaningful conversation with a non-National Socialist. You often feel that such a dialogue is outright impossible and that you live in two totally different worlds. Partly, of course, the reason for this deplorable situation lies in the propaganda image of National Socialism as the culmination of human viciousness that our enemies have created in the public mind. However, to young people what happened more than seventy years ago is not all that important any more. From their earliest childhood they have been able to follow all the malice and cruelty that has been ravaging the world since the "victory of humanism" in 1945, vividly presented through television, and there is a very encouraging tendency among the young generation to have a less biased attitude to life and its various problems than the previous generation had.

As a National Socialist you constantly experience the difficulty in carrying on a meaningful conversation with a non-National Socialist. You often feel that such a dialogue is outright impossible and that you live in two totally different worlds. Partly, of course, the reason for this deplorable situation lies in the propaganda image of National Socialism as the culmination of human viciousness that our enemies have created in the public mind. However, to young people what happened more than seventy years ago is not all that important any more. From their earliest childhood they have been able to follow all the malice and cruelty that has been ravaging the world since the "victory of humanism" in 1945, vividly presented through television, and

there is a very encouraging tendency among the young generation to have a less biased attitude to life and its various problems than the previous generation had.

However, this does not mean that young people have any idea at all what National Socialism is really all about, nor that they show much comprehension when you tell them. They have grown up in a world where they have been deliberately alienated from all natural values and natural ethical norms and taught that everything is relative, and where the borderline between truth and lie has ceased to exist, as there are no absolutes and everything depends on the way you choose to look at it. In this value-free world, people live in a mental vacuum, totally out of contact with the real world, its relentless biological laws, and absolute moral and social obligations, and it is unavoidable that the National Socialist idea is felt as an incomprehensible alien element in such a political and philosophical universe.

As opposed to today's carefree relativism, where all ideas – in principle at least – are equally acceptable and valid, National Socialism represents the unremitting effort to find the absolute truth and to make this truth the foundation of human society. Unlike the nebulous ravings of inane armchair philosophers and oriental mysticism, however, National Socialism is based on common sense, and it seeks its arguments in the real world, where the difference between truth and lie and between good and evil is determined by facts and not by wishful thinking and theoretic reveries.

In this light, it is obvious that National Socialism must reject the conceptions and moral norms of all the ruling ideologies, and this, naturally, leads to a comprehensibility gap that is difficult to bridge – simply because there is no common frame of reference between National Socialists and people whose thinking is determined by the ideas of the present order. National Socialism simply means an absolute, irrevocable, and uncompromising fight against the very philosophical foundations of the entire ruling world order. On the following pages we shall try to explain the implications of this clash between the present order and the value system of a biological worldview.



As it has probably been made clear on the previous page, the National Socialist idea has very little to do with **politics** in the normal sense of the word. The National Socialist movement is not one of the usual political organizations, which just represent some group interests of more or less materialistic nature. Their aim is merely to piece together a political program that is sufficiently vague and vapid to attract the necessary voting fodder for a parliamentary platform where their "elected representatives of the people" can appropriate as large a slice of the cake as possible to themselves – and perhaps their party members – at the expense of the rest of society.

National Socialism is far more than this – it is a worldview, a complete philosophy of life, covering all aspects of human existence. As an adherent of such a worldview you regard all phenomena in society from one and the same angle so that every single aspect becomes part of a unified whole, just as your opinions in all areas are determined by the same uniting principle that enables you to understand and explain all facets of life and its mysteries. Thus, a worldview is the basis of its followers' attitude to religion, ethics, politics, economics – and of the way they organize their personal lives.

Now, of course, National Socialism is not the only philosophy of life that claims to cover all aspects of existence. Other examples are for instance all the religions, which in principle give everybody who believes in them a guideline for his conduct and attitudes in every situation in life. Today, however, the religions have been forced to compromise in a desperate attempt to bridge the quickly widening gap between the religious dogmas and reality. During the last 500 years, this gap has led to a steadily increasing secularization of the Christian countries in the old world and it also made the Westernized governments of most Islamic countries leave the strict religious structure of society in favor of either Capitalist or Communist materialism.

An example of a society that went in the opposite direction and radically stresses the unity between politics and religion is Khomeini's Iran, and today there are fundamentalist groups all over the Muslim world and beyond who are fighting to establish medieval societies based on the letter of the Quran. Another example is Israel, which is based on the religious belief that the

Jews are "God's chosen people" and have a divine right to the territory they claim from the Arabs, and where especially the most orthodox groups consequently reject the slightest deviation from Talmudic law. This law is a product of the old nomadic society and is impossible to apply to a modern industrial state, which leads to a number of typically Semitic constructions to circumvent the strict religious rules around, e.g., the Sabbath and the Shmittayear, where all land ought to lie fallow, but doesn't!

Similar methods can be seen in Arab countries, where the Ramadan is often more or less abolished permanently because the countries are "at war" with poverty. All such attempts to "cheat" clearly show that these worldviews are totally inadequate as guiding lines in the modern world with its Western technology – and that they have not been strong enough to prevent their followers from wanting this new life, which is not part of their culture but the result of successful parasitism. The reason for this failure is simply that these religions are based on blind faith and not on reality.

Naturally, devout Christians have the same wish to preserve the Bible as an ultimate authority and a focal point for all thinking. Thus, the Catholic Church constantly tries to increase its influence on the development of society in traditionally Catholic countries like Poland, Ireland, Italy, Spain and Latin America – and to do this, it must gain political power. Its main weakness is, however, the many internal disagreements as to how this goal should be achieved and which parts of the Christian doctrine should be stressed. Also in North America, Christianity enjoys a very important political influence that should in no way be underestimated – although it is on the decline – and in Europe most countries have Christian political parties that try to strengthen so-called Christian values as part of their political program. However, religion as such plays a very modest part in these parties – simply because Christianity has lost its grip on people – if it ever had one. Somehow, it has always been felt as an alien idea among the men of the North, and their interpretation of it has often been very un-Christian. Today is has been reduced to an anachronistic relic. In Denmark, for instance, about 95% of the inhabitants are members of the Lutheran state church. However,

only 2% go to church and even less claim to believe in the doctrine. As a spiritual force in the people Christianity is dead.

However, Marxism is also a philosophy of life, representing a worldview that governs all facets of human life, whereas all the other political ideas in our part of the world mainly concentrate on trifling administrative and economic problems. These ideas are loosely linked to a certain fundamental attitude to life in general and the relation between the individual and society, but regarding man's spiritual needs, they are - in principle - totally uncommitted. They do not exclude any religious affiliation – on the contrary, they always stress the total religious freedom and claim that religion and politics have nothing to do with each other and should be kept apart - as if religion were something unimportant compared to politics. Thus, members of all these liberal or conservative parties can be Christians, Jews, Moslems, Atheists, or Buddhists. Their religious persuasion is considered totally irrelevant for their political work. They are united in an attempt to solve some fairly well-defined practical problems in the state machinery, but they may have totally different spiritual goals in view. As mentioned above, this fragmentation is really incompatible with the nature of religion, but it must be accepted more or less reluctantly by the religious communities if they want to exercise any influence on society at all.

A true philosophy of life like National Socialism has absolutely no room for such an atomistic splitting up of life into different compartments with no relation to each other. National Socialism is able to solve all practical problems in society by applying the principles of its fundamental philosophy, and its followers do not need any foreign element to satisfy their spiritual needs. National Socialism itself gives a full answer to any question man may ask. It is a well-known fact that religions are based solely on faith – something they are proud to acknowledge! Thus, the existence of God, the Immaculate Conception, the Resurrection, the Second Coming etc. are all things that you cannot prove – unless, of course, you accept God as the author of the Bible, another thing that you must just believe – in spite of any evidence you may have to the contrary. If you do not believe without evidence, you are suspicious – just like doubting Thomas, who – apparently as the most intelligent of the Disciples – wanted proof that the man in

front of him had actually been crucified. As opposed to this pride in ignorance, both Marxism and National Socialism claim to be based on scientific truth. Marxism, however, was invented behind a desk, loaded with learned, philosophical books – but without any contact with reality or science, for that matter. At a closer look, Marxism is just as much based on faith as any religion – namely on the completely unscientific belief that all biological beings who walk on two legs and don't have feathers are created equal, and on the just as absurd idea that it is the conditions of production that have determined history in the same way as it is claimed to be the environment – and not the genes – that determines the development of the individual. This, of course, is to put the cart before the horse. It does not take much intelligence to realize that in reality it is man who has formed his environment - and established the social order, including the conditions of production – and not the other way round.

Unlike all these other philosophies, National Socialism has never been invented – it has been derived from the eternal Laws of Nature, which have existed as long as the universe and which have governed all life since the first primitive organism came into existence. This has been expressed beautifully and clearly by Savitri Devi, the famous late National Socialist philosopher, in her book *The Lightning and the Sun:*⁴

"In its essence, the National Socialist idea exceeds not only Germany and our time, but the Aryan race and mankind itself and any epoch; it ultimately expresses that mysterious and unfailing wisdom according to which Nature lives and creates: the impersonal wisdom of the primeval forest and of the ocean depths and of the spheres in the dark fields of space; and it is Adolf Hitler's glory not merely to have gone back to that divine wisdom, but to have made it the practical regeneration policy of world-wide scope"

In other words, National Socialism was not invented by Adolf Hitler. It is the conscious expression of the fundamental Laws of

⁴ Savitri Devi, *The Lightning and the Sun* (Wewelsburg Archives Edition), Pp. 168

Nature governing our lives. It is based on an infinite love of the creation in all its diversity, a deep, unconditional respect for the wisdom of Nature, and an ardent will to preserve life as it has grown out of this wisdom. The only way to do so is to organize the society of man in accordance with these fundamental Laws. Thus being against National Socialism is just as absurd and illogical as it would be to oppose the law of gravity or the fact that the earth is round! National Socialism is really nothing but the application of physical and biological laws to the political, economic, social, and religious areas of human life in the same way as they are today applied to technology. In this light, National Socialism is truly scientific – unlike any other worldview. It does not wish to make reality fit any preconceived theories but to make the theories fit reality. New epoch making scientific landmarks would thus immediately be reflected in the practical life of a National Socialist community.

Of course, we might sometimes wish that some of these Laws had been a little different, but we must necessarily accept that it would be impossible to change them. Laws of Nature cannot be abolished or amended through a vote in the General Assembly of the United Nations, the US Congress, or any other national parliament! Perhaps everything had been easier if all human beings and all races had been created equal, and if there had not been any hereditary factors governing and limiting our individual possibilities of development. However, that is not the case, and there is absolutely no chance of changing this fact by wishful thinking, i.e., by doing as if these Laws did not exist. To build a society on such dreams is a deadly sin that can only have disastrous consequences.

These consequences are seen only too clearly when we take a look at the societies that have been built by our enemies in East and West. Unanimously, they refer to National Socialism as the "Gospel of Evil" – while they themselves rule over a world on the brink of economic and moral disaster, a world afflicted by inflation, unemployment, crime, senseless violence, drug abuse, pollution, pornography, corruption, hunger, and ecological catastrophes – a world that has experienced only sixteen days of peace since 1945 and where countless millions of people have been butchered over the same period. And over this dreary world

hovers the dreadful threat of a nuclear war that will destroy all higher life on earth.

No wonder, indeed, that man lives in constant fear of what the next day has in store for him! Unfortunately, this fear and hopelessness is most widespread in the Aryan part of the world, where decadence and moral decay are most advanced. Here people have been totally alienated from all sound and natural values and made into mindless zombies, whose anxieties are soothed by material affluence – in a constant race against economic chaos. In spite of all the material goodies of the modern world, these people are neither happy nor satisfied. They completely lack ideals and enthusiasm and they have lost all faith in the future. The Aryan is simply unwilling to bring children into this world. As he sees no future, he prefers the luxuries of the moment to the preservation of his race and culture. He tries to secure as comfortable a life for himself as he can in this cesspool, and his only hope is that the inevitable catastrophe will not occur in his lifetime. Thus, he passively watches the land of his forefathers being slowly but steadily taken over by aliens, who do not vet realize that the end of the white man means the end of all civilization as we know it.

This is the Golden Age our enemies promised the world in 1945 – this is what they have been able to build in the seventy years they have had absolute power. Under these circumstances, the prospects for the future sure are gloomy. However, it does not have to be this way. That the world is in such a sinister condition is solely the result of man's total disrespect for the Laws of Nature.



As a National Socialist you inevitably feel like someone from another planet when you have once realized the nature of the present order. You can have no part in this system and the very daily struggle to keep alive within the framework of this society must seem like a futile waste of time. As National Socialists we envisage a totally New World Order, based on the "unfailing wisdom according to which Nature lives and creates". Only within such a new world order can life survive on this planet in the long run. However, to establish this New Order man must accept that

he is not elevated above Nature. Man is not the master of creation but an integrated part of the totality of Nature, and he is subject to exactly the same laws as all other living organisms. Likewise, he must also accept the scientifically proven fact that the races of man are different – not only in their outward appearance but also with regard to their mental and intellectual characteristics – and, finally, that all human beings are individuals created unequal, and that their lives are mainly determined by hereditary factors and not by their natural environment.

This may, of course, seem "unjust," but one of the things man must recognize is that in Nature there is no concept of justice in the sense we normally apply to this word. As human beings we can – and should – organize a society built on legal and social justice, because all members of society have a useful function and can therefore also claim equal protection under the law and safety from economic exploitation. This is part of the security that is necessary and natural in an organized society – as a matter of fact, it is part of the very reason why human beings engaged in establishing stable social structures that they wanted this security. However, we cannot create biological justice – just as we cannot create biological equality. From a human point of view it will always seem extremely unjust that some people are attacked by horrible, painful, and incurable diseases at a young age, whereas others can enjoy good health until they are a hundred years old – also, even if the one who dies at the age of twenty-five has far greater mental gifts and could have given humanity so much more than the one who reaches old age. No matter what we feel about it, this is the way it is - in spite of all our medical skills - and man must learn to accept that Nature does not recognize our concept of justice, and any attempt to introduce a kind of divine justice in a life to come must be rejected as an absurd attempt to escape from reality.

In this connection the enemies of National Socialism often claim that the biological conception of human nature, which is the very basis of National Socialism, is "unethical." To this we can only reply that it is the so-called "ethics" of these opponents that are immoral, because they are based on norms and values that are not founded on Nature. For National Socialists there is only one truth:

the Laws of Nature, and anything that is not in full accordance with this truth is absolutely 100% wrong!

This, of course, means a total rejection of Christianity, whose unnatural dualism is the very basis of the predominant "moral" code – also where this code is disguised under a liberal/humanistic or a Marxist label. According to Christianity, man enjoys a very special position among all creatures by having a divine soul. This soul is universal and unbiological. It does not differ from race to race or from individual to individual, and it does not depend on intelligence or any other mental or physical quality in the individual, nor is it hereditary or in any other way influenced by Nature. It is this soul that makes all people equal in the eyes of God, no matter what they do or what they are, as long as they believe in Him. To the Christian, speaking about man as a product of biological factors is a "disparagement". According to Christianity, man's whole life is a constant struggle between the divine soul (the spirit) and "matter", i.e. Nature, or - on the personal level the flesh, which represents Evil and must be defeated in order to gain eternal life in an undefined paradise in the clouds (or on earth after Armageddon). Life on earth is only a preparation for that life to come - either in Abraham's bosom or in Hell, all depending on how successful people have been in fighting their biological nature. In itself, life on earth is without any value, just a vale of tears.

Thus, Christianity is characterized by a distinct contempt of life and Nature. It is a religion for losers and dreamers who cannot cope with the challenges of life but just vegetate along, trusting that "the last shall be first and the first shall be last," as Christianity regards any criminal good-for-nothing and mentally deficient fool as a more valuable human being than the industrious and creative citizen. It represents a set of norms and values that put the virgin above the mother, the monk above the father of a family, and the weak and suffering above the strong and victorious, indeed, the dead above the living. It scorns any pleasure in life and glorifies self torture and self-abasement as positive indications that man fights his flesh and accepts that he is born as a vessel of sin because he is not all spirit.

No matter from what angle you look at it, Christianity represents a perverted and misanthropic attitude to life that can

under no circumstances be tolerated in a healthy society. To put it bluntly. Christianity is a kind of spiritual AIDS that has destroyed our natural immunity against unbiological thinking. It is a contaminating disease of the mind and must be fought with all means.

Unlike the Christian, the National Socialist is supposed to live. He is supposed to expand his abilities and unfold his personality as much as he can within the boundaries of his biological nature – both physically and spiritually. He is not supposed to spend life on his knees in front of a Middle Eastern god, begging for mercy and forgiveness for the "sin" of having been born into Nature. We want to see proud and harmonious people who are confident of themselves and their mission in life – not frightened and dejected products of misanthropic conceptions like "original sin," which only leaves man one hope in life: that "God" will forgive him if he just believes and repents. Nor do we want the diffident and despairing victims of the pluralistic worldview with its denial of absolute values. National Socialists are not atheists. We do believe in a deity, but our deity is an absolute contrast to the Jewish-Christian Yahweh. For National Socialism there is only one true deity: the inscrutable creative power that is manifested everywhere in Nature. This is the deity we pay our tribute to by showing veneration and respect for the wisdom of the Laws of Nature. As National Socialists, we follow no other voice than the voice of Nature and no other ethic than the ethic of Nature, and we know only one mortal sin: to try to revolt against this ethic. This is not mysticism, as some claim, but pantheism – the idea that the divine is expressed through Nature and nowhere else. Pantheism has its roots far back in history and is one of the foundations of German idealism and romanticism in the 19th century. It is the recognition that we owe our existence to a principle of life that is not just the sum of its chemical components. Thus, National Socialism sees life as more than the materialistic scramble for maximum consumption and selfgratification – life entails an obligation to protect the divine principle that we are part of. Some might call this a religion, but it does not need the establishment of a narrative based on superstition to sustain it – and it sure is not a "faith". It is not based on belief, but on facts.

Although man is part of Nature, National Socialists are, of course, fully aware that man differs from all other living organisms in one particular respect: his unique brain that enables him to think in abstract terms. This ability has made it possible for man to avert or mitigate some of the cruelty of Nature that other beings must suffer. Our brain has enabled us to cure diseases that had otherwise been terminal and to find the underlying principles of many of the Laws of Nature, so that we have been able to use some of these principles to our own advantage and – for better or for worse – to develop the technology which was necessary to explore the earth and utilize its riches.

Unfortunately, our brain has also made it possible for us to consciously disregard the Laws of Nature when we find it more comfortable to try to ignore them than to follow them. In a primitive society you would soon feel the consequences of such a transgression, but in a highly developed technological society you will be able to survive a violation of the Laws of Nature for quite some time without feeling the inevitable reprisals. However, sooner or later, they will come with inexorable force and then it will be, if not impossible, at least extremely difficult to remedy the mistakes.

Finally, our unique brain has also given us feelings that are hardly found with any other animal: the fear of the unknown, the certainty of death, and thus also a desperate need for something that can give man a feeling of spiritual security and make him see a meaning in life beyond the fight for material goodies. This is the need that lies behind the religions, but unlike them, National Socialism concentrates on satisfying these needs on earth. In this connection, it should be noted that neither brain nor feelings are isolated, unbiological factors but an inseparable part of the living organism and like the physical characteristics of man, the "spirit" is also subject to the Natural Laws concerning heredity. As National Socialists we are of the firm opinion that society as a whole must be organized so that all aspects of Natural Law are considered. Thus, it is not enough to meet people's material needs by establishing a sound economic life. It is also necessary to look to it that the spiritual needs are satisfied as well!



The enemy of man often claims that the spiritual universe of National Socialism is one of coercion and manipulation, where the individual is robbed of his freedom and individuality just to be made part of a mindless mass. This, of course, is far from the truth. As a matter of fact, this comes much closer to a description of Democracy. It is the democrats who regard the people as a large grey mass, where the differences between the single individuals are explained only as the result of casual environmental influences that society must "correct" in order to "socialize" people into democratic ways. National Socialism, on the other hand, respects the individual. We know that all human beings are biologically unique and that they have different talents and abilities. To combine all these individual gifts within the framework of society is one of the most important tasks of the National Socialist state. Only in this way is it possible to use the total amount of mental resources in a people – for the benefit of both the individuals and society.

However, we also know that genius and progress have never come from the mass but always from single, outstanding individuals, and only by ensuring the individual the opportunity of finding and developing himself as freely as possible in an organized society can we further the advancement of man towards ever higher perfection. If we want to solve the enormous problems the world faces after seventy years of democracy, this is necessary. As it is obviously impossible to lift people to a level above their inborn possibilities of development, all the stupid attempts to make all people equal in all respects necessarily lead to a unification on the lowest level, i.e., to the suppression of all individuals who rise above average in intelligence or in any other way. This trend is not the work of National Socialism but of Democracy, and it is not likely to improve the conditions of life on earth.

However, every attempt to foster an elite is rightfully seen as a threat against the very foundation of the Democratic system, where it is believed that everybody should not only have equal legal rights but also equal influence on public affairs, no matter whether he has the necessary qualities or not. Such a system can only lead a country into the abyss. In a National Socialist state, the leadership will consist of the very best in the nation. Only they

will be able to solve the problems that arise – and to face the responsibility. The individual members of a democratic assembly cannot be held responsible for their actions, because all decisions are made by a vote and everybody has to bow to the majority – no matter whether he agrees or not. Clearly, this kind of forum provides amble room for the most depraved elements of society. It is ironic that big commercial enterprises spend enormous amounts of time and money on finding and educating the best people for the leading positions within the corporation, whereas any political charlatan without any education at all can become his country's prime minister or president. He just has to have the right connections and must not show too much intelligence, which might indicate that he cannot be manipulated so easily by the various interest groups without whose support he would never win an election. The most important quality in a democratic politician is the ability to shamelessly lie to the voter and make promises that he never intends to keep. This is pathetic!



The denial of individuality and of the biological differences between human beings has also led to a complete repression of the concept of "genetic hygiene." Today hygiene is only something about washing your hands and brushing your teeth. Man **does** know the principle of "genetic hygiene," though. He spends an enormous amount of time and energy on the breeding of horses, cows, dogs, pigeons, parakeets etc. – all according to the best genetic principles, but when it comes to the reproduction of his own kind, he totally abandons these principles – as if they were only valid in the world of animals. He thus willingly contributes to the total biological degeneration of man.

In the natural state, every population is subject to biological selection, which means that the individuals who are best fit for the given circumstances rise to the top of that society, whereas those who cannot cope with life as it is must perish. This is one of the iron, relentless Laws of Nature that man has been able to mitigate by building societies where there is also room and protection for the weaker elements of the population, who also have a meaningful role to play in a developed society. However, if we

completely close our eyes to the existence of this Law of Nature, we, too, will be heading for disaster, as we would then no longer be able to secure the necessary biological quality in the population to preserve a system that is strong enough also to protect the weak. Without regard for the biological realities of life we will end in a free for all where the weakest individuals will be the very first to perish.

Thus, National Socialism will not – as it is often claimed – mean that the weak are abandoned and left to their fate. On the contrary! National Socialism is the only guarantee the weak can have against certain destruction. Here, however, it must be stressed that weakness is not an ideal – it is something that must be fought and this is only possible through consequent genetic hygiene. The National Socialist state will enlighten people about the biological mechanisms and thus make sure that man recovers his natural instincts in this field so that man can advance biologically. Only fools can believe that man has already reached the highest possible peak of development. However, in order for man to advance further, society must make sure that diseases and weaknesses are not being accumulated and perpetuated uncontrollably through inheritance. Freedom to knowingly transfer suffering to your children and to break down the population genetically is a crime against coming generations!

At the same time, society must also make sure that there is a healthy environment so that diseases caused by external influences disappear forever. Here we think not only of the social surroundings and the conditions in the places of work but also of the ecological environment. In a National Socialist society it is completely unacceptable that profit mongering and greedy materialism allow pathogenic artificial additives in food and clothes, unhealthy synthetic materials in our homes and public buildings, and the increasing pollution of earth, air, and water – not to mention lethal radioactive contamination that will last for hundreds of thousands of years. All this is the logical result of the complete perversion of life's true values seen in this terminally sick society. Genetic hygiene and general pollution control are only two aspects of the same cause: the preservation of Nature and the ecological balance to ensure the continued spiritual and physical well-being of man. Without a healthy environment even

the best genes will be destroyed, and without a sufficient amount of these genes, there will be nobody who can create a healthy environment.

To create sound surroundings for life to unfold we also need healthy families, where children can grow up in harmony and be happy. Another evil of the present order is that this kind of family is being destroyed by the usual unbiological thinking and the nonsense of women's libbers. Just as the races are different, so are the sexes, and the idea that man and woman are biologically equal is a serious threat to the survival of man. The differences between them are not the result of socially constructed "sex roles" but of biological roles! It is not a coincidence that it is the woman who gives birth to the children. She is not only biologically fit for this task, but also mentally, and as the mother of the new generation she has the most important role in society. The idea that she must "fulfil herself" by joining the labor force and getting a job at an assembly line, while her children are left to others, is criminal! Women can only fulfil themselves within their biological role as mothers. Without a mother, the family crumbles. The children are left to themselves or to a state education. When they get home. nobody has time for them. They are not taught any ideals and they get their idols from television, bad music, and even worse literature. They live on fast food and fall victims to the worst kind of commercial materialism. That is, if the woman does not choose to avoid having any children at all – either by using some kind of "birth control" device or by murdering the child if she gets pregnant anyway. Of course, the feminists claim that it would be just as natural for the man to look after the children and the woman to go to work. The fact is that if it had been just as natural, the man would have given birth, too.

On the contrary, the female biology will always mean that the woman is in a weaker position on the job market because she is less stable as a man. Not to mention the jobs that demand a certain physical strength. It is no wonder that Elisabeth Badinter, a Jewish-French feminist, in her book (L'un est l'autre, = "One is the other", published by O. Jacob (!), Paris 1986, and, not surprisingly, translated into virtually every Western-European language) demands that uterus and ovaries be transplanted into

men to ensure equality! A society where such a book finds readers is terminally ill, indeed!

It is part of man's biological nature that he is not just an isolated individual but also a social being, and his social instinct goes beyond the nuclear family. From the earliest times human beings have lived together in groups so that they could better resist the dangers of their primitive existence. If they had not done so, man would hardly have survived as a species. In other words, life in organized societies is a condition for man's existence, and the urge to organize is part of our nature. Without an organized society every individual would have had enough to do trying to gather the daily necessities. There would have been no surplus for art, science, education, or any health service. A high degree of organization is simply the precondition for both cultural and technological development. Therefore, it is in no way a coincidence that the highest cultures have always been created by the peoples with the best ability for organizing. Incidentally, most of these were Aryans.

In any organized society, however, it is absolutely necessary that the individual adapts to a norm that is shared with other members of that community and that he abstains from misusing his intelligence and talents in a way that is harmful to the very community that has made the development of these talents possible.

However, the necessary loyalty within the group cannot be based on materialistic considerations alone. It is not enough to have a common welfare office. It only makes sense to renounce some of one's personal freedom in a community with a common destiny, consisting of people with a common background, common norms and values, and with a common purpose in life – people whose forefathers have won and defended the same territory throughout generations, because they wanted to preserve their specific linguistic, cultural, and biological character.

It is this wish that has produced our culture in close accordance with our people's talents, conceptions, ideals, and values — with what we can call our people's soul. Other peoples have other talents, conceptions, ideals, and values, and they have therefore produced other cultures. These may be as "good" as ours — but they are alien to us, just as our culture is alien to other peoples. To

NATIONAL SOCIALISM THE BIOLOGICAL WORLDVIEW

speak about a coming, universal "culture of the world," common to all people, is nonsense. A "culture" that has not emerged naturally as the mirror of a people's soul is a denial of the very meaning of the word "culture," and history shows very clearly that every civilization that has no longer been able to preserve and further develop its **own** culture and has therefore imported and integrated whatever foreign cultural elements it happened to come across has been standing directly before its inevitable fall.

A society consisting of a random medley of races, religions, and philosophies is most certainly not an expression of spiritual and cultural wealth, as it is often claimed today. It is an absurd hotchpotch whose existence cannot be justified on any grounds – a certain indication of the imminent disintegration of the nation and the total dissolution of all norms and values. Such a society is a degenerate parody of a true community – and it cannot last, because the social loyalty between the various groups who temporarily happen to live on the same piece of land is superseded by a far stronger – spiritual – loyalty towards religious and philosophical ideas that do not have their basis in the nation itself and its history.

The most serious threat to the coherence of society is, without comparison, the biological race-mixing that has always either come hand in hand with the mixing of cultures – or even been its precondition. The disintegration of culture itself can be stopped at any time and a people can find its way back to its own cultural norms and values again – as long as the racial stock is intact. The mixing of the races, however, is irrevocable – and its consequences are incalculable and disastrous. It is a fact that Nature has always developed towards greater racial variation so that races have eventually become species. Through the unnatural race mixing we now witness a levelling of all the various natural talents, which all of them are determined by race. Where one race has developed in one particular direction and has built a community and created a culture based on the qualities it has developed, the mixing with other races means that after a few generations the bastard offspring will have lost every condition for understanding what their forefathers have created.

Unfortunately, there are only too many opportunities to study the kind of societies we have as a consequence of race mixing.

Latin America, India, and Egypt are all excellent examples, and so are Hellas and the Roman Empire. Just as the Indian, Persian, and Egyptian cultures also the Greek and Roman civilizations were created and sustained by an immigrated minority of predominantly Nordic race. This higher developed minority first suppressed the original majority and their culture, but later they slowly succumbed to their subdued peoples' numerical superiority. Weakened by innumerous wars that had cost them their most valuable blood and subverted by Asian ideas of false humanitarianism they gradually gave increasing numbers from the subdued peoples citizenship and brought new slaves and laborers from their colonies in Africa and Asia – who were then integrated and acquired citizenship in the next generation. This sure is a familiar picture, isn't it? And it was this disintegration of the Nordic race that changed the proud state of Hellas into present day Greece and Rome into Italy – or put in another way: civilization into chaos! In school you still spend at least some time teaching the children about the ancient cultures, but not one word is said about the people who created those cultures. It does not seem to puzzle anybody that the ability to organize a state can disappear so completely. The truth is that most of the people who masquerade as "Romans" today racially have very little in common with their mighty predecessors. Too many of their ancestors had their home south of the Mediterranean!

When speaking about racial biology today, you soon face a whole lot of taboos. Studying racial biology has become something naughty – that is, if it is the **human** races you want to study – and not even medical doctors or so-called anthropologists can be expected to know anything at all about this matter. Even to **want** such knowledge is damaging to your career, so why care? Thus, it is always maintained, with a contemptuous sneer, that there does not exist any "pure" white race and that "Aryan" is a purely linguistic term etc., which is all meant to prove that racial science is nonsense. Of course, these arguments are not altogether wrong – but the conclusion is! It is true that there has been a mixture of the various European races, but this was a mixture of closely related races within the same main race, the so-called White Race, which you may very well refer to as the Aryan Race – as long as you define what you are talking about! Furthermore,

NATIONAL SOCIALISM THE BIOLOGICAL WORLDVIEW

there is absolutely no basis for claiming that this mixture – which has by no means been complete – has absolutely been an advantage for the Europeans! Probably it has been the opposite, and there is absolutely no good reason to continue the process of disintegration by further miscegenation.

The ignorant also claim that race mixing is necessary to avoid "inbreeding". This, of course, is unbiological nonsense. Inbreeding only refers to breeding between closely related individuals, who necessarily resemble one another from a biological viewpoint. Through inbreeding we get an accumulation of the various genetic factors – good as well as bad. If both father and mother carry the same genes, there is a good chance – or risk - that the offspring will add up these genes, and as we practically all carry unfortunate genes, it is obviously desirable that these are not accumulated. It is here unimportant that there will also be an accumulation of positive genes: a higher tendency to develop insanity cannot be made good by other positive qualities. However, inbreeding is only a danger in very small populations, say, on a very small island or in so-called "royal" or "noble" families. In a population with millions of individuals it simply does not exist in normal circumstances.

In all events, adding racially alien genetic elements is not a good way of avoiding inbreeding. It only leads to inharmonious individuals because the parents are too different. To this the ignorant would object that you seem to get very good results in the animal world – and with plants – when you crossbreed the different races. This is, of course, very true, but here we are talking about strongly controlled crossbreeding where the scientists have defined in advance which concrete qualities they want to breed. Thus, you select the parents with that purpose in mind by taking the individuals who carry the best of the qualities you want to crossbreed. When you have got the offspring, you again choose the individuals where you find most of the qualities you want. They are used for further breeding. The rest is simply slaughtered as inevitable waste. A continued breeding with these individuals would give the opposite results as those that are wanted. In no case do you allow casual breeding. If it happens by mistake - e.g. with dogs – you destroy the offspring!

There is a very good reason why countries try to prevent exotic plants and animals from entering their territories. They will upset the natural order and may threaten the existing ecosystems. How dangerous crossbreeding can be, is illustrated by the so-called "killer bees". They are the artificial product of an attempt to create a robust race with a high yielding capacity. The result was aggressive swarms of bees with very different pollinations patterns. By accident, some of these escaped from a laboratory and today they are a serious threat in all Latin America and the southern parts of the USA. Due to their behavior, they are unsuitable for commercial apiculture and as they supersede the European honeybee in the Americas, the killer bee creates grave problems for the commercial pollination of fruit plantations. This is a good example of what race mixing can lead to if the necessary controls fails – and then imagine that the spreading mixing of human races is totally without any control at all!

There is probably no one who would suggest the introduction of the same procedures for the crossbreeding of human races that you have for animals – quite apart from the fact that we here have to do with far more complicated factors than with animals. It is not so easily definable things as stature, meat quality, or milk producing capacity that determine whether human beings can cope with the demands of society, but factors like disposition of character, intelligence, creativity, and inner harmony – all qualities that are known to suffer greatly when you mix the races. In this connection, it is also worth noticing that all the people who favor unrestrained race mixing have completely failed to tell us with which desirable qualities the alien racial elements that are now pouring into the Aryan world could possibly enrich the White race!

In all events, the present tendencies of disintegration in all fields of society cannot fail to make people insecure and unhappy. Only in a real, harmonious community consisting of people with the same cultural, historical, and biological background and with the same spiritual aspirations for the future can the individual find the peace of mind and the inner security that it needs. Only here can the human being feel that it is a natural part of a greater whole, of something that is mightier than the individual itself and which will continue to exist when the individual is no more, just as it has

NATIONAL SOCIALISM THE BIOLOGICAL WORLDVIEW

existed before the individual was born. Only in such a national community of fate does man find the eternal life he has always sought. In our children and our people we will live forever but that is the only share of eternity we have. Without that, we might as well never have lived!

If, on the other hand, man must seek his identity and his goal in life outside the national community, this community has lost its meaning and will inevitably fall apart like a marriage where the partners have nothing but the address in common.

This is a fundamental Nationalist viewpoint.

However, loyalty within a group must be reciprocal. It is not only the citizen who must be loyal to society – it is also society that must be loyal to the citizen. Loyalty thus presupposes just social conditions and an economic system where nobody is exploited, and where everybody does all he can for the common good to sustain the order that safeguards the common values, guarantees the life and happiness of its citizens, and gives each individual his share of the people's eternal life.

This is a fundamental Socialist viewpoint.

Nationalism without Socialism is absurd – and the other way round: Socialism without a clearly defined community has no meaning.

Today it is often claimed that the National Socialist attitude leads to war and to attempts to suppress other nations. This is absolute nonsense. Quite the opposite is true. Respect for other peoples' cultural and biological identity is only possible if you recognize the existence and desirability of racial and cultural differences – and you can hardly respect other peoples' identity if you do not appreciate your own! If you deny the existence of racial differences, it is quite obvious that you will constantly try to impose on other peoples what you yourself find best – without any regard for their own special character. This attitude is Racism, if ever there was one!

The disastrous idea, shared by Christianity, Islam and Marxism, that there is only **one** civilization that is right for all people regardless of race, is the basis for both the European colonial empires of the previous century and today's incessant attempts to force the barren materialistic life style of the modern industrialized world down on naive third world peoples, who only

see the glitter, but cannot calculate the cost. This is going to be their undoing as it is ours! The consumer society simply only sees markets and consumers – and here uniformity is the keyword. A uniform clientele does not have any special demands, and this makes production simpler and cheaper, which means a larger sale and a higher profit. National peculiarities and different cultural patterns and languages are here a nuisance – a technical barrier to trade – and are therefore fought. For the multinational industries and chains all people are equal – if they can just consume. They have absolutely no respect for human values at all. They crush them.

For National Socialism difference is part of the natural order and we want to maintain this order with all its differences between races, peoples, and individuals. We have absolutely no wish to make a Negro tribe practice our religion, eat our food, or use our laws. We want other peoples to find their own way into the future. Of course, we should be glad to cooperate with them if that is mutually beneficial, but we shall not disturb them. If they cannot find their way, it is their problem – not ours. We do not seek any conflict with anybody – we just want to be left in peace. However, we are not pacifists. We know that at times every people must fight to survive as a nation. If it does not, it will perish and we cannot just sit back and let our own people be destroyed. If our existence is threatened, we must defend ourselves, and we know that only a new National Socialist world order consisting of independent nations with mutual respect for each other can secure a lasting peace and save the world from impending disaster.

If the world does not accept National Socialism as its only hope of a future, man will be facing destruction. This will be a logical consequence of his continuous violations of the Laws of Nature. However, it will not be the end of the Universe. Seen from there, the planet Earth and the human beings on it are minute and totally dispensable parts of a vast machinery. If we disappear, the various civilizations of the last 10,000 years will just be a negligible episode in the infinite and timeless space — an experiment that went wrong. Even after a total nuclear war there will probably still be basis for biological life in some places of the Earth, and then the development towards ever higher forms will start all over again. Even if the Earth should be totally destroyed in such a war

NATIONAL SOCIALISM THE BIOLOGICAL WORLDVIEW

or for any other reason – it is more than likely that there is life somewhere on another planet in a very distant solar system somewhere in space, perhaps quintillions of light years away. In all events, Nature will always exist – also without people and without the planet Earth. Even without life, there will still be a natural order in the Universe. This Universe does not accept any special laws for man, and if he does not realize that, he will have deserved his coming destruction and nothing will be able to change that.

However, **we** think it would be a shame to allow that to happen. That is why we are trying to make people listen to reason at this very late hour. We do not have any time to lose. Would **you** care to join us? Not for our sake – but for the sake of your children.

Can you imagine a world without White people and the civilization they have created? Nor can we!

For sure, this is not a question of politics. Our very existence is at stake. Can you afford to waste more of your time?

POVL H. RIIS-KNUDSEN

For far too many years it has been widely accepted that National Socialists are extreme right-wingers, and only rarely have they hesitated to refer to themselves as such. At a certain point, however, it became the official policy of the World Union of National Socialists to avoid the term "right-wing," claiming that National Socialism does not fit into the pattern of "right" and "left" and instead ought to be considered as standing above this distinction. This most certainly was a step in the right direction, but at this time and within the context of the current struggle it might, however, be a good idea to reconsider the whole question about political wings and make a few points clear concerning the meaning of the terms "right" and "left" and their application to today's political scene.

Historically, the words "right" and "left" in reference to political views originated in pre-revolutionary France, where those who wanted to preserve the system of government more or less as it was sat to the right in the National Assembly, whereas those who wanted more radical changes sat to the left. Hence, the term "right" for the reactionaries and "left" for the revolutionaries - terms that have since become universally known and used. Neither the word "reactionary" nor the word "revolutionary," however, says anything universal about the particular views in question. They are both relative and receive their specific meaning only within a given historical context. The revolutionaries of former times as, for instance, the European National Liberals of the 19th century do not seem very revolutionary today – quite the contrary! - just as today's reactionaries would have been considered very revolutionary 200 years ago. When the Communists took over in Russia in 1917 they did so as revolutionaries out to overthrow an ineffective and corrupt regime, whereas today they represent reactionary establishment facing a new revolutionary challenge.

In our time the traditional left wing is predominantly Marxist – even to such a degree that the very term "left wing" is thought to be synonymous with the word "Marxist." This, of course, has no basis in reality. Any revolutionary is a left-winger – it is just that the Marxists have had so little competition that they have been

able to appropriate the term.

On the other side of the political spectrum we have the right wing, consisting of reactionaries who want to preserve the present society and the so-called Christian civilisation of the West with its materialism and capitalism. The right-wingers stand up for traditional patriotic values: they are good Christians and good citizens who defend the Constitution and are loyal to their country and their monarch, if they have one. They are willing to go to war against any other nation to assert the greatness of their own – even if it means waging a nuclear war against another White country if they think its system of government threatens their own domestic order, no matter how corrupt and degenerate it may be. They are for an economy based on unrestricted free enterprise, regardless of the consequences, but they resent the Liberal trend in politics as well as immigration and racial integration, because they fear any changes that could upset the order to which they are accustomed.

Where National Socialists are to be found in this spectrum seems quite clear: We are left-wingers – no doubt about it! We do not want to preserve the present system or any part thereof. We do not believe in the foundations of a system that has led our people into the misery of the present time! We do not want to support any institution which is responsible for two world wars between White nations as well as countless minor wars, nuclear rearmament, the pollution of the environment, unemployment, the total disillusionment of young people, who have lost all faith in the future, drug abuse, pornography, and all the other forms of complete degeneracy which are displayed today. We National Socialists want the most radical change of all: We want the complete overthrow of the entire Old Order!

Whereas Marxism shares a basic egalitarian philosophy with the Old Order and defines itself as a materialistic movement aiming at the mere redistribution of the material goods, National Socialism seeks to build an entirely New Order based on idealism and a profound respect for the laws of Nature in all aspects of life. This, definitely, is the most revolutionary idea of this century – and thus very much left-wing! – and it certainly is not Marxist!

Compared to National Socialism, Marxism is nothing but a pseudo-revolutionary idea, invented by Christianity and upheld by Liberal Democracy: If all people are created equal, why should not all wealth be distributed equally among all people? Seen in this light, Marxism is simply part of the Old Order we want to destroy.

If National Socialism is, in its essence, a left-wing movement, it is, of course, paradoxical that National Socialists should have devoted so much time and energy to catering toward traditional right-wing attitudes, whereas they have shunned all openings to the left. Is it any wonder that all attempts to create a National Socialist movement on this basis have been utterly unsuccessful?

The first precondition for creating anything in this world is that one has a clear idea of what one wants to achieve and how one can possibly achieve it. A sculptor who wants to create a work of art starts out with a mental concept, and then sets out to realise it in his chosen material. He does not just dabble around casually with his chisel on a piece of marble, wondering what the final result will be.

Thus, it is crucial to realise that National Socialism is not just a form of extreme rightism. Anybody within our ranks who still has such notions should devote himself to studying the idea of National Socialism to find its true meaning and significance — or, if he doesn't have the energy or ability to do so, he should find another outlet for his activities. This Movement does not have room for frustrated haters or religious dreamers, but only for devoted National Socialist revolutionaries!



Let us face it realistically: The right wing is mostly a pitiful conglomerate of people with very unclear ideas. They realise that something is wrong. But they refuse to leave the Old Order. Instead they cling to it with all their might and wish to revert to the situation as it was 75 or 100 years ago, thinking that this will solve all their problems. They simply fail to see that the mess we are in today is a logical result of the system we had 100 years ago

- that the foundations of that system were not good and stable enough to safeguard us from the present development. The father of most of our problems is to be found in that very Christian idea, whose egalitarian philosophy and alien and unnatural teachings have robbed our people of its soul, but which they continue to praise as the very shield against the decline they see all around them. When all their futile attempts to halt the development fail, they become frustrated and turn into mere haters, because they have no real vision and no ideology.

It is a historical fact that nothing good has ever come out of the right-wing. If it had not been for such revolutionaries as Copernicus, Kepler, Giordano Bruno and Galileo, we should still believe that the earth is flat and the centre of the universe. When capitalism developed, the establishment made no attempt to solve the social problems resulting from the industrial revolution, but went on to exploit the new working class mercilessly—thus giving rise to revolutionary thoughts as expressed in Marxist ideology. And all the necessary and just social improvements we have seen during the past 100 years have only been introduced after hard pressure from the left wing, with right-wing conservatives in constant retreat, pitifully trying to preserve as much as possible for themselves.

This does not mean, of course, that any effort to overthrow an established system is, per se, good. If man succeeds in creating a new Natural order which does not fossilize but remains a living organism and develops within the boundaries of Natural law, adopting new scientific and philosophical insights into the Nature of life without clinging to outdated conceptions, it would, indeed, be a most serious offense to try to uproot that order and revert to egoistic materialism, Christianity, or any other unnatural philosophy. What is good and bad can solely be judged on the basis of Natural law – the closer to it the better.

It is almost universally accepted that there is a gulf between National Socialism and Marxism. By the same token, however, National Socialists are certainly not right-wingers either. The only common ground National Socialism seems to have with the rightwing is the racial issue. But here too there is an extreme difference

in the outlook. The right-wingers believe that being White holds an absolute value in itself, which elevates the Aryan race over all other living organisms and gives it a right to do with the world what it wants to. As National Socialists, however, we are not just concerned about the life and immediate well-being of our own race. We see the White race as part of the whole natural order of the universe and our wish to preserve it is linked with our wish to preserve the entire natural environment – including other human races – out of a deep respect for the inscrutable wisdom of Nature.

No doubt, our race has great possibilities in its intellectual capacity, but its abilities have absolutely no value as such, however, if they are not put to the right use in accordance with the laws of Nature. For much too long we have joined in the chorus claiming "White Power," and ignored the sad fact that our race has had the absolute power for at least 2000 years. And it is exactly this power that has led to the kind of society we have today.

Thus, we do not share the right-wing belief in continuous technological and economical expansion, which has already led to the pollution of air and water and has made huge areas of the world unfit to live in for all species – a development which means that the ozone layer in the atmosphere is systematically destroyed so that coming generations are going to be exposed to lifethreatening radiation, that tropical forests which had supplied us with oxygen, are cut down to make room for industrial growth, and that the deserts are irrigated so that the ground water level sinks in fertile areas, which then become deserts in turn. All this is the result of Aryan genius, without which it would not have existed – genius which has not been put to work to build a better world for our children and grandchildren, but only to satisfy the human greed of the moment, to secure a pleasant life now without regard for the future. This fatal trend, which by the standards of Natural law, has most certainly turned the industrialised White countries of the West into a far more degenerate state than any socalled primitive society of the Third World, is violently supported by the right-wing, which seems to think that everything would be just fine if only the Blacks, the Jews, and the Boat People were expelled. We know that in itself this would not change anything

at all.

Our aim is a complete spiritual rebirth, and it is our immediate goal to define and build the foundations for this rebirth – which is the only thing that can give the racial struggle any meaning. And this struggle should not be understood as a struggle against other races, but as a relentless fight against the decadence of our own race. The isolated appeal to Race as the basis of a new society is meaningless, unless we can overcome this decadence and find our way back to Natural values. If our race can only survive within the context of the present system, we do not want it to survive, because then it would represent nothing but the grossest form of anti-natural degeneracy. The claim for "White Power" can only gain any meaning if, by that, we mean the wish to reactivate the power of Nature as it rests latently in the genius of the White man, whose duty it is to put this power to use in order to uphold the very principle of life.

Of course, this does not mean that we are in favour of any kind of multiracialism. Race is one of the cornerstones of the natural order, and thus must be defended like all other natural principles. It certainly does not mean that the white colour of one's skin is necessarily a hallmark of human quality. The White race has allowed the world to slide to the brink of disaster, and unless it can be brought to realise that the quality of life can be improved by replacing the materialistic consumer's society – which is the supreme goal of both Marxism and Liberalism – by Natural and spiritual values, it is doomed and will only destroy the whole planet in the process of its absolute decline.

Naturally, National Socialists do not think that we should go back to the Stone Age caves, but we do think that we should never take more out of Nature than we put back into her. The quality of life should mean more to us than the quantity of material goods.

In today's disillusioned society, growing numbers of people realise this and, what is more, they protest against the ruling order. They do not become National Socialists, however, for one simple reason: They are not aware that National Socialism – and only National Socialism! – can solve today's crucial problems. Instead they allow their protest movements to be taken over by the

Marxists, who are better at selling their product than we are, despite the fact that no Marxist government has ever made the slightest attempt to tackle these issues – simply because the very concept of Marxism is materialistic, and at no point concerned with Natural values. The Marxists merely use popular dissatisfaction with the establishment to promote Marxism. The dissatisfied individuals themselves are not at all Marxists to begin with.

While National Socialists run around trying to win over small fringe groups of traditional right-wingers with all their political and religious hang-ups, their notorious megalomania, and their lack of commitment to a cause - resulting from their being constantly on the defensive trying to save what has to go – the Marxists get a foothold among concerned citizens who renounce unlimited materialism out of an idealistic concern for the future of our planet. For the most part, these people do not realise that preservation of the Natural order calls for more far-reaching measures than the control of pollution and the abolition of nuclear energy and the atomic bomb. They do not see that it also demands racial separation and a general spiritual revival that can lead Man back to the sources of life. They can also learn this, however – or rather, they cannot help but see it - if they are provided with the necessary information and insight and not left exposed to the exclusive influence of asinine Marxist teachings. These people are idealistic and for Nature, and thus they really belong to us—and they are generally far more valuable as fighters than a good many disillusioned youngsters who call themselves National Socialists in an attempt to boost their egos and hide their personal problems and insecurity behind a self-styled uniform and ludicrous ranks and titles. But the environmentalists are not attracted by Stormtroopers or by hate propaganda, all of which just confirms their negative impression of National Socialism. Nor does it help to talk to them about the significance of Race, because they have not yet come so far in their development that they can see the relevance of the racial issue. They must be approached where they are and on issues that concern them here and now. To do this, it is necessary to produce good material on the environmental

problems as seen from the National Socialist point of view, and to go into the groups where these people gather in protest against nukes, pollution and nuclear warfare. We cannot expect the environmentalists to come to us, because they have no way of knowing what National Socialism is all about; and if we fail to get in contact with them, they will be lost to the Marxists, in whose hands they are never going to realise the full consequence of their own attitude.

These new protesters are hostile to us – simply because of decades of enemy propaganda, which has not only alienated sound and intelligent people from any kind of movement which overtly expresses National Socialist ideas, but which has also succeeded in attracting a large number of individuals to our movement who suit this propaganda image of National Socialism only too well, and who come to us simply because they want to live up to this image. They want to be such murderous, bloodthirsty beasts as they have come to know from countless Hollywood productions and yellow-press accounts of the terrible "Nazis."

For far too long we have welcomed such psychopaths into our ranks and for far too long we have failed to dissociate ourselves from other organisations which do the same. That people call themselves National Socialists and wave the Swastika does not make them our comrades! Many organisations still do not realise this, and as long as they do not do so, they are doomed – and, unfortunately, so are we, if we do not take every opportunity which offers itself to denounce them in public. It has often been said that we should not "wash our dirty linen" in front of our enemies and that all "internal strife" should be kept within our own walls. However, this is not our linen and it most certainly is not "internal strife" – it is a necessary cleaning operation, and it must be carried out in public.

Our worst enemies are not the Jews or the Communists, but the very people who while calling themselves National Socialists debase the fundamental concepts of the National Socialist philosophy through their behaviour, thus confirming the distorted impression of our Idea conveyed to the public by our enemy. Indeed, we can feel no loyalty towards such people and no

friendship. On the contrary, we have to rid ourselves of any connection with them whatsoever, and go out of our way to show people that they do not belong to us. Above all, we should take great pains to do exactly the things that are not expected of us. We are expected to embrace just about any right-winger who waves a Swastika – and we are most certainly not expected to be found anywhere near the left-wing, simply because people have been told over and over again that we are right-wingers. Consequently, we should deliberately show them that they have been misinformed. The surprise effect will be likely to make at least some people listen to what we have to say. Furthermore, it is going to make people think twice about what they are told concerning National Socialism when they realise that they have been lied to once on this subject.



In this connection, it should be noted that we are not a historical association. Many National Socialists seem to think that we are going to revive National Socialist Germany and transplant it to other parts of the world. This is the way our enemy would like to make us look, but this is not the case! National Socialist Germany represents an attempt—and a not altogether successful attempt!—to organise a National Socialist community at a given time and in a given historical context. It can inspire us and we can learn from it—but we cannot revive it—nor should we ever try to. It was an experiment designed to deal with a set of problems that were of major concern to the German people at the time, but which are not necessarily felt as equally important by people today.

When Hitler set out on his historical mission to reorganise Germany about 60 years ago, the entire German nation was at its knees economically, militarily, and politically. After the defeat in World War I, Germany not only lost all its colonies, but an enormous part of its European territory settled by several million Germans who found themselves cut off from their mother country, living a miserable life as minorities in foreign countries that only wished to wipe them out. What was left of Germany was totally

demilitarised, and the weight of outrageous claims for reparations put forward by the conquerors caused the most hideous inflation in world history and crushed any possibility of economic recovery. In this situation a small minority of Jewish immigrants from the East slowly gained control of the shattered economy, as well as the entire cultural and political life of the country. Compared to this situation, all talk about a present economic crisis is ludicrous. Materially, any country of the West and most Communist countries are far better off than Germany was in the '20s. Today it is a matter of selling the family car and perhaps moving to a cheaper apartment. In Germany it was simply a question of surviving.



Morally, however, we are faced with a much graver threat than Hitler was. His Germany was still a fairly homogeneous country, where most people shared a set of common values and norms and a common belief in the cultural tradition of the nation. They wanted to regain their former power – they wanted to be strong and respected by other nations. Hitler did not have to tell them to love their people and their race. He could take it for granted that they did. Their values and norms were not necessarily all in accordance with National Socialist philosophy, but they were a sound basis on which a National Socialist state could be built without too many difficulties, and thus Hitler could concentrate his political propaganda on more mundane things.

He was living in thoroughly revolutionary times, in which the need for work and food was of paramount importance, and he knew that a program which could secure these things would give him the support of the voters and thus enable him to gain power so that he could try to realise his political vision which, of course, went much further than the immediate need for material things. However, as we all know, National Socialism was not the only revolutionary force in Germany at that time. The Communists had exactly the same advantages as Hitler: a starving population willing to try almost anything to survive. They also had the

advantage over Hitler that they could point to the successful revolution in Russia. Hitler had nothing of the kind to which he could relate his struggle. And it is noteworthy that he did not link his movement to any of the powerful right-wing ideologies of the past such as the monarchy or the churches. His approach was thoroughly left-wing and equally opposed to the Establishment and the Communist Party. When, at last, he won over the Communists, it was not by beating them into silence, but by draining away their support by taking up the same issues as the Communists and pointing out a better solution – all of which convinced the German worker that he could be a better and more competent leader than Thaelmann, who was head of the German Communist Party, would be. He talked to people about what concerned them in the language of his time and adopted a military style, which was popular in a country full of ex-servicemen who had any reason to feel betrayed by the government and which was also useful in a situation where you had to fight the numerous Communist murder gangs, who used very much the same style and language as Hitler.

To try to imitate Hitler's style today would be political suicide. As a matter of fact it has been the end of every group which has tried it so far. Nor can you take over Hitler's propaganda material. To translate it, reprint it, or imitate it in a different context as anything but historical study material is ridiculous. Hitler was catering to the German masses of the 1930s. Apart from everything else we must realize and accept that there is no way to win the masses in the present situation. Today, we are looking for a small number of idealists. To find them we have to turn our attention to, problems that concern exactly the type of people we are looking for: pollution, the nuclear threat, the curse of multinational Capitalism, etc. Most of these problems were unknown to Hitler's contemporaries—but that is no reason not to tackle them! Our world has become much more complicated than it was 50 years ago, and any political movement that fails to take this into account reduces itself to an anachronistic fossil.

We must admit that generally we have tended to speak and write too much about National Socialist Germany. In spite of all the

good we may be able to show that Hitler did for Germany, the people we are looking for today are not really very interested in what happened 50 years ago. They are concerned about their own time – and the future.

When we cling so desperately to the past, one of the reasons is, of course, that National Socialist Germany is the only example of applied National Socialism the world has ever seen and that those 12 short years represent the only glory and success our Movement has ever had. This is understandable. We need it in these difficult times of humiliation and persecution. We need it to show to ourselves that National Socialism once was victorious – in spite of all adversity. However, it is extremely dangerous when this respect and admiration for the past, instead of being a productive inspiration, becomes a nostalgic fixation on a bygone era, a blind love for the paraphernalia of the NSDAP, the uniforms, the symbols, the ranks, the haircuts, and even for the linguistic style of the '30s. Not the outward appearance but the inherent idea is important, and we have to get on from there. Like Hitler, we must avoid being trapped by history. In other words, we must show how National Socialism can solve the unemployment problem today – not merely how Hitler solved it in 1933.

The idea behind National Socialism transcends Hitler and National Socialism itself. Hitler applied it to an earlier time and place; we have to apply it to our own. It is timeless, because it represents the very principle according to which Nature lives and creates. It has existed since the beginning of time and is going to exist forever, as long as the universe exists, no matter whether the Aryan race – or mankind as such, for that matter – exists or not. Man has abandoned this principle, and it is our task to show him that he cannot do so unpunished, and that all his present problems are caused by the insane belief that man is elevated above Nature. In doing so we should be as little tied with National Socialist Germany as the other leftists are tied up with the Soviet Union.

We should also learn a great deal from the way the other leftist groups are organised. It is commonplace to claim that Marxist organisations consist of human waste. This may, of course, be true of the followership of some groups, but the hard core of the serious Marxist organisations is organised along lines that are certain to secure quality and devotion. Members are very often tithed, and they are required to spend a certain number of nights every week with ideological training and practical activities. Altogether, the demands put on a Marxist far exceed anything we have ever dared to expect of our members. This says something about quality, and it also explains why the Marxists are doing so much better than we are – despite the fact that what they preach is utter nonsense.

Among National Socialists, however, taking stands or adopting methods which are normally considered to be Marxist seems to be met with a good deal of fear of somehow being "contaminated" by Marxism. It would give us a bad name among both friends and enemies, they claim. Now, we certainly have a bad name already - to be quite honest, it could hardly get any worse. But as we are not too concerned about winning over traditional conservatives, what does it matter? If they cannot tell the difference between Communism and National Socialism, it is their problem, not ours! In that case, they show a lack of intelligence that makes them useless for us in any event. We cannot allow our enemies to determine what is a National Socialist viewpoint and what is not, and we sure cannot leave all the good causes to the Marxists, just to please people who have proven to be totally useless to us anyway. We have already done that for far too long— and that is another reason why Marxists have been so successful and have been able to take over vast segments of our culture and intellectual life, while National Socialists have allowed themselves to feel obligated to say "yes" to NATO, the European Common Market, the bomb, Capitalism, unlimited "free enterprise," etc. It is time that this be changed! Let us not continue to do what people have been led to expect of us. Let us do what National Socialism teaches us to do – not what people think it ought to teach us.

In this connection the sad truth is that many National Socialists in their traditional thinking have fallen victims of the worst kind

of right-wing, anti-Communist propaganda. The main threat against Man most certainly does not emanate from Moscow, Peking or Havana. There is absolutely no way you can blame the Communists for the sorry state of the world today—for drug pornography, nuclear rearmament. crime. integration, pollution and so on. It is our own present system of government that is to blame – neither the Communists, nor the Jews! We have to realise that these evils have been created by our own corrupt and morally depraved politicians and stockbrokers and that we, the Aryan peoples of the world, have ourselves allowed it to get this bad. It is not a foreign government but this present system which is a threat to the existence of the planet, and without this system there would not be any Soviet Union or any other Communist state today. Communism would have been wiped out during World War II if the West had not given the Soviet Union the necessary arms and technology. Even today, all Communist states would immediately disintegrate if they were not constantly supported by Western governments and bankers, who earn fortunes on trade with these countries – and on lending them money. The Communists certainly know this, and they have more than enough to do keeping Eastern Europe in line and securing the Communist regime in Afghanistan to constitute a serious danger to the West. Interestingly, the same multinational corporations which generate huge profits on trade with the Communist bloc, make another fortune on the production of arms our politicians try to persuade us are necessary to defend ourselves against the Communists – as if you can defend anything by destroying all life in a nuclear war. This is, of course, utterly ridiculous—but it is nonetheless the political and financial system so vigorously supported by the right-wing.

No doubt about it: Communism would most certainly be a very unpleasant thing, but it would not be the end of the world. Christian liberal democracy most likely would! There is far more racial purity in Eastern Europe than anywhere in the West – simply because their inefficient economic system does not attract large-scale Third World immigration; and the racial consciousness of the Russians, who are the dominant nation in the

Soviet Union, definitely promises a better prospect for the survival of the Aryan race than the visions of liberal and conservative American politicians. It is true, of course, that Communism does not support racial principles in theory – but with Communism theory and practice are two very different things. No doubt the materialism offered by the West is more attractive here and now, but this pleasant life is more likely to be followed by a deluge that might well wipe out all life on earth. However, with a sound political system in the West, Communist states could not exist. They simply would not be able to survive on their own, and their hungry populations would rebel. Very much the same thing would happen if the Communists were to take over the West—their regime would not last very long.



At this point someone might venture to ask whether we should not then abolish all talk about National Socialism and the Swastika and disguise ourselves as "real" left-wingers with a new idea that could easily be sold to people under a different name. This, of course, is not possible. To try such an approach is to underestimate our enemies. They really do not mind the name or the symbol. What they mind is the idea, and you could not disguise that beyond recognition. Our enemy will always oppose anything that is good for our people, and they would – rightfully! – claim that we are just "Nazis." Then we would have to devote a lot of effort to "proving" that we are not. This would be ridiculous. Many organisations have tried it; none has ever succeeded.

There is only the difficult way: to prove that National Socialism is not what people think it is and that it is the only way to secure the survival of life on this planet. We know that we are in a weak position, but quite frankly, we should rather be glad that we do not have to worry about taking over power right here and now. We simply would not be able to handle it. This is one of the unpleasant facts that many National Socialists – and people who call themselves National Socialists – seem to overlook completely. It would be of little use to us to win over the masses tomorrow, when

we do not have the necessary number of National Socialist experts to make a National Socialist state work. We need economists, jurists, administrators, biologists, etc., who are also National Socialists. Power must never be an aim in itself. We want to create a New Order because we want a better world, but a better world cannot be created just out of the blue sky. It takes a lot of dedicated people with thoroughgoing education to carry out such a task: and right now it is more important to win over a number of these people, than to fight a losing battle in the streets to impress a number of bigots and losers.

Again National Socialist Germany furnishes us with a very instructive example. One of the reasons why the experiment to create a National Socialist order right from the beginning could not be completed and a new state could not survive the pressure from the outside world was that Adolf Hitler had to rely on a large number of experts who had nothing but disdain for National Socialism. He simply did not have the time to train and educate enough National Socialists because he had to concentrate on winning the people before the Communists could take over. We should be grateful that we have no such worry. We could never win a revolutionary victory under the present circumstances anyway, so let us concentrate on establishing a sound foundation for a future mass movement.

However, let us be realists. This, too, could be a losing battle. We have no guarantee that we are ever going to win. To be quite honest, we have nothing but a very vague hope to support the belief that we can win. However, Nature herself may wipe mankind from the surface of the earth because it has neglected the laws of the universe. This may be what is in store for us. We National Socialists, however, have decided not to accept such a development without a fight – even against all odds! But we have no desire to be martyrs for a lost cause – and that is exactly what we are going to be if we stick to the old ways. As a Movement, we have been notably unsuccessful so far. It is time to wake up and recognise the true significance of our ideas. A first step is to become revolutionary professionals. We must leave all half-cocked right-wing attitudes behind us and realise that we are left-

wingers. Furthermore, we should stop blaming others for our misery. We have been our own worst enemies in all respects, and we need no scapegoats! Any change we desire has to start as a change in the basic attitude of our own people. This is a heavy task – but the thought of what is going to happen if we do not win makes it worthwhile to give it a try!