# THE REVISIONIST CLARION

# ZIONISM IS A RACIAL SUPREMACISM

Contents 'Let Iraqis speak!', By John Catalinotto Interference of 44 US congressmen rebuffed by Italian court Letter to my Reader, Israel Shamir Difference and indifference, By Israel Adam Shamir Constitution-Shredding in the Jose Padilla Case, Doug Ireland The other Bush disaster cover-up: At least 300 U.S. military deaths from Indian Ocean tsunami The Jewish Police Force in England Interview of Ward Churchill by Joshua Frank Zionism's Failure to Support Resistance, Written by BAZO-PS Treblinka Ground Radar Examination **Finds No Trace of Mass Graves** Oradour-sur-Glane, Synopsis of Revisionist Claims by Vincent Reynouard Fablis: Online Encyclopedia: Holocaust denial The Thirty-Nine Lashes Against the Hoaxacaust, By Eli James CONCERNING THE JEWS, by Mark Twain We, the People vs. Zionism, by Anisa Abd el Fattah NUTS AND BOLTS The Beatification of Mgr. von Galen, Robert Faurisson

Int'l conference says:

# 'Let Iraqis speak!'

### By John Catalinotto

Anti-imperialist organizers from 15 European countries and elsewhere, including the United States, helped build solidarity between the anti-war movement and the Iraqi resistance at a working meeting held here [Rome] on Oct. 1 and at a militant public rally the next day before a standing-room-only crowd.

The Free Iraq Committee of Italy, which organized the events, and its counterparts in other European countries aim at providing a platform in the "West" for authentic representatives of the Iraqi resistance to the U.S.-led occupation.

To move toward that goal, the working meeting decided to organize a European tour for Haj Ali al-Qaysi, the hooded prisoner of Abu Ghraib who has become a symbol of the brutal torture U.S. forces inflict on occupied Iraq.

A full-fledged conference had been planned for Oct. 1-2 in Chianciano, Italy, that would have allowed the Iraqi representatives to speak and have launched Haj Ali's tour. Representatives of six diverse civilian organizations active in Iraq who give political support to the armed resistance had accepted invitations to participate.

The Italian authorities, under pressure from the U.S. government, refused visas to the resistance spokespeople for reasons of alleged national security. They then used a bureaucratic maneuver at the last minute to deny a visa to Haj Ali, claiming he needed a six-month resident permit from Jordan, not the three-month one he had.

The Free Iraq Committee's struggle against these denials of democratic rights aroused sympathy and solidarity for the event from the Italian peace movement, rank-and-file union groups (COBAS) and many progressive individuals. The fight for these rights will continue to be part of the focus of the struggle to hold, as soon as possible, an important conference of that type either in another European country or in Italy.

### Rally applauds resistance

Iraqis who live in Europe and support the resistance spoke at the rally. The key talk was by Awni al-Kalemji, spokesperson of the Iraqi Patriotic Alliance (IPA). To the cheers of the mostly Italian audience, al-Kalemji said that the U.S. had lost the military battle for Iraq and that in the end the Iraqis would defeat the occupation.

Ål-Kalemji also spoke of the attempt to get in touch with the IPA's secretary, Abduljabbar al-Kubaysi, a leading political figure among the over 100,000 Iraqis held in 200 U.S.-run prisons in Iraq. Neither his family nor any legal representatives have been able to visit him, let alone to prepare his defense.

An international team of jurists and attorneys is protesting this illegal seizure of al-Kubaysi by the U.S. occupation forces, and is attempting to get access to him. Kawthar al-Kubaysi, his wife, was scheduled to speak at the Oct. 2 meeting but had to cancel to continue her attempts to reach her husband.

Another important speaker from the Arab countries was Abdulhaleem Kandil, a spokesperson of Kifaya (Enough) in Egypt. This group supports the Iraqi resistance and works in Egypt to extend the democratic rights of the people. There are, he said, 20,000 political prisoners under the pro-U.S. Mubarak regime in that country.

From the United States, the International Action Center participated on the presiding committee of the conference and also described the recent upsurge in struggle in the U.S. following the "Camp Casey" actions and the reaction to Hurricane Katrina.

In addition, a student from San Francisco State College studying in Florence described her group's actions in stopping recruiting on campus.

Among the well-known personalities speaking at the rally was Giovanni Franzoni of the Saint Paul base community in Italy. Franzoni is a former priest and writer known for his contribution to Liberation Theology. Swedish author Jan Myrdal and French philosopher George Labica sent statements of solidarity.

### Impulse for Italian movement

Leonardo Mazzei of the Free Iraq Committee read a statement that the delegations from 15 countries had agreed upon the day before. The goals included the strengthening of a network of groups in the various countries giving political support to the resistance; organizing a coordinated tour for Haj Ali; and going forward with the attempt to hold a conference in Europe like the one originally planned in Italy.

As the committee was making its report, the campaign had already made a big breakthrough in Italy itself. When the conference was first proposed, the Free Iraq Committee was isolated in the anti-war movement and got no favorable publicity, even in the left media. On Oct. 1, however, the independent leftist daily newspaper *II Manifesto* ran a front-page story on the Italian government's refusal to grant a visa to Haj Ali.

The organizers said that this shift meant that the question of solidarity with the Iraqi resistance was now part of the discussion in the anti-war movement and among the political parties. *Catalinotto represented the Interna tional Action Center at the conference.* 

### Rome Published Oct 6, 2005 9:57 PM

This article is copyright under a <u>Creative Commons License</u>. Workers World, 55 W. 17 St., NY, NY 10011. A delegate of the *Revisionist Clarion* attended the Conference.

### http://www.workers.org/2005/world/italy-irag-1013/

### THE 44 MERCENARIES

## Interference of 44 US congressmen rebuffed by Italian court Campaign 10 Euro for the Iraqi resistance ruled legal

On September 3 the court of inquiry of Perugia, Italy, annulated the sequestration warrant against Emanuale Fanesi, militant of the Anti-imperialist Camp, who holds the bank account of the campaign "10 Euro for the Iraqi resistance". His computer and all documents related to this bank account sequestrated by the political police DIGOS on June 30 must be returned to him.

The court argued that there is not sufficient evidence to sustain the prosecutor's claim of "membership in an organisation with the aim of (international) terrorism" (§270 bis of the penal code).

This is a scattering defeat for both the American party in Italy and the US itself. They have been trying to criminalise the Anti-imperialist Camp since the beginning of the occupation of Iraq for its open and declared support for the Iraqi resistance. This drive to impose the tyrannical Patriot act on Europe was expressed in the letter of the 44 US congressmen to the Italian ambassador to Washington demanding to make an example by banning the Anti-imperialist Camp thus dismantling national sovereignty as well as international law. The latter stipulates that resistance including armed one against foreign occupation is legitimate according to § 51 of the UN charter while the US and the Americanist forces around the world try to depict the popular resistance against their usurping empire as "terrorism".

We are faced with a deja-vu: also the arrests of three leaders of the Anti-imperialist Camp on April 1, 2004, and the ensuing indictment for terrorism have ended up in the same limbo. They had to be released despite the objection of the prosecutor and their processes still did not start because they know that the amalgam constructed will not hold.

While we are celebrating the victory in one battle the struggle is continuing. In the case of Emanuale Fanesi the public prosecutor will certainly go into cassation. At the same time the battle with the Italian foreign minister over the conference "Leave Iraq in peace ^ support the legitimate resistance of the Iraqi people" is raging. Abiding by the order in the letter of the 44 US congress members, who had asked to impede the conference, Fini blocked the visas for the Iraqi participants. As reaction the Italian Free Iraq Committee has started a hunger strike in front of the foreign

ministry on August 31to get the visas issued. Among the seven hunger strikers there is also Emanuale Fanesi.

An September 2 the Free Iraq Committee requested visa for Haj Ali to speak at the conference, the man tortured in Abu Ghraib depicted with the hood and the electrodes. If Fini rejects also this request for "security reasons" even the last fool will understand what American-type democracy means.

Assisi, September 4, 2005 Anti-imperialist Camp

BOOKS A,D PAPERS

### Letter to my Reader

### **Israel Shamir**

Last couple of months or so I did not write much to you, and I feel the need to explain it. It's not that I forgot you or gave up, or despaired, or have nothing to say. But the main ideas I promoted are presented in my books available in print, these are the *Pardes*, the *Galilee Flowers* and *Our Lady of Sorrow*. The fourth book of essays will appear later this year, and a volume of your writing called Jewish Power is planned for January 06'. In January, my 800 pages long English translation of Hebrew medieval Chronicles will be completed and published, too.

I give talks at international conferences; this October I am invited to a conference in Havana, Cuba, and I shall send out the talk I am about to give there in a few days. I was also invited to an exciting conference *God, and the right to be Different* at Kaslik Catholic University in Lebanon, opened by the Lebanese President. The Kaslik talk is offered below. On October 29, I shall speak at a venue in Paris defending my French publisher M. Cherifi who is on trial for publishing my book in French. In mid-November I shall speak at a conference in Brussels, and in mid-December, in Madrid and Barcelona in Spain. In January we plan another conference somewhere in Europe to promote the Old World independence of the Judaeo-American Empire. I hope to meet you at these places in order to keep alive the spirit of our enterprise.

Our enemies carry out their plans to marginalise the vast majority of mankind, to reproduce the Sharon's Wall everywhere, to eliminate the spirit and uproot people. We are a band of political, philosophical, theological rangers prowling far away from our (maybe non-existent) base, undermining their consensus and sawing the seeds of discord between the elites and the seeds of peace between the peoples. We are far away from the mainstream, but this is our function, and it is not to be regretted. On the other hand, our enemy did not succeed to marginalise us completely, as you can learn from these important conferences and publications where our ideas are presented.

In Palestine, our adversaries won an important victory. Not in vain mass media produced the deluge of Jewish settlers' tears in the Gaza strip. Now, whenever one mentions the plight of native Palestinians, one is met with bewilderment: come on, they have got their Gaza and the Jews sacrificed their homes and farms for the sake of peace. We were right: the Palestinians have not a single chance to reach a reasonable settlement by the way of partition. Their demand for full equality in all of Palestine was the only way out. But the PNA did not understand it, while our potential allies allowed themselves to be lured into endless discussion whether we are nasty to Jews. Thus our belief in the Jewish hold on the mass media as the main hindrance to peace and to real democracy was proven to be correct. This hold must be undermined.

The last UN summit demonstrated that there are pockets of opposition still at large. Venezuela and Iran, North Korea and Belarus, Malaysia and Cuba are free from the US diktat. Russia and China are not fully subdued. We shall support their independent position. In Europe and the US, there are like-minded intellectuals; Harold Pinter's Nobel and Galloway's elections show that not all is lost. In the US, Cindy Sheehan made an important stand and galvanised the people. T'is true, she retracted some of her statements, but so did Galileo. I fully support our Californian friend James Morris who suffered because of her retraction. His integrity remained as high as ever,

while Cindy already atoned for her weakness by spending the Yom Kippur with Rabbi Lerner: there are not many punishments worse.

So, keep in touch, shamireaders ride on, and so does Togethernet.

Shamir

A Talk at the Kaslik conference on

God, and the right to be Different

By Israel Adam Shamir

### **Difference and indifference**

The right to be different, upheld at this conference, often is understood as indifference to the difference. Our civilisation proclaims this indifference; by the name of Œpolitical correctness' it is elevated to the enlightenment paradigm level. We are supposed to be indifferent to the race, sex, physical abilities, and first of all, to the faith. The religion was considered the most important feature of Man, but now it is relegated to a question of personal choice, like the choice of a tie. This change was supposed to usher us into the world of no wars, for religion was considered a strong reason for confrontations. But wars are still with us, while religion is marginalised. Anti-religious leaders of the World War Two killed more people than all religious wars from Crusades upwards.

In the Byzantine Empire the great confrontations were caused by differing views on the nature of Trinity or on Divine Energy, as in the crisis caused by St Gregory Palamas. In the West, the confrontation between the mainstream church and breakaway movements ^ Albigensians, Lutherans, Calvinists ^ caused great upheavals. Though one regrets that these discussions were solved by administrative or military means, still one can't but feel envy towards a society that cared so much about a way of man to God.

Religious indifference is a greater enemy than the fight over difference. If we fight over our differences ^ and such a fight does not have to lead to bloodshed ^ we still recognise the importance of faith; we recognise our communality of one people under God. When we embrace indifference, we slide into disintegration, into Œeach man for himself'.

Even as a war-limiting device, religious indifference failed, for it brought in unmitigated wars for resources, for domination, for trade privileges. But its more profound failure was the promotion of crass materialism. A Jewish joke tells of a man who had met a wife of his acquaintance whom he did not see for a long time. How is he, he asked, and she replied: he is *niftar*, that is Œrested in peace', in high Hebrew. The man did not want to show he did not understand the word, and laughingly replied: "niftar, shmiftar, who cares? But he makes money, does not he?" This is what happens in our world: we are dead, but still make money; and some people try to convince us this is the only thing that matters.

But even that is not the end of our failure. A godless world is impossible like an airplane without a pilot, engine or fuel. By removing God into the sphere of unimportant and irrelevant differences, we enthroned Mammon, His opponent. The priests of Mammon try to convince us that their god's reign is more benevolent than that of God, but we witness daily it is not so. Mammon is a form of Domination Drive, and he is devastating our material earth as much as he devastated our spiritual world.

That is why I am not sure we should promote the right to difference unless we promote a free discussion of the differences. We may and should open the grand debate stressing the difference between those who believe in God and those who choose Mammon.

Here we should note a special position of our Jewish brothers. As a highly organised worldwide religious community, or a church, they have a very unorthodox position on God and Mammon. While they are divided on the question of God **for them**, and some believe and some do not, both fractions are actively against God **for others**. Those who do not believe, their position is clear. But even the believers, for complicated theological reasons, doubt, or outright deny accessibility of God for the outsiders. "So do we", many of you will say. But for you, every outsider may become insider, and you want it. In the One Thousand and One Night, whenever a good Muslim wins an argument with a Jew, he converts him into Islam. In the West, Antonio baptises the Merchant of Venice who tried to kill him. Even in the days of high religious intolerance, there were many stories of women converted, either to Islam or to Christianity; while in the contemporary Jewish stories, a righteous man preferred to die rather than to cohabit with an outsider woman.

Their position was of no importance for centuries, but now, with their spectacular rise to prominence, this view provides a great ideological support for Mammon. That is why, while recognising the legal right to be different, we should augment this recognition by vigorous dispute, by stressing the difference instead of eliminating or hiding it.

### SHAMIRIANA

Galilee Flowers (new updated edition) is out! If you haven't got the book yet, now you can buy this new updated edition on

http://www.booksurge.com/product.php3?bookID=GPUB02699-00003

### and soon via Amazon.

The French publisher of this book is under trial, while the first print (in French) was burned on the instigation of LICRA, a French Jewish organisation. This is the original English-language version. [The publisher in Paris has been heavily condemned on Nov. 2, 2005: 3 months in jail (suspended) and fines to the amount of US \$ 20,000. Appeal is being lodged. More later]

The new edition is of pocket-size, costs less (\$17.99) and includes some new essays as well as the original ones.

The book has also a new subtitle: if the book of Dershowitz is called "The Case for Israel", and a new book of our good friend Michael Neumann is called The Case against Israel, this book is called The Case for Palestine and Israel united in love to the Holy Land.

One of the latest attacks on Galilee Flowers comes from a German lady: http://www.eussner.net/artikel\_2005-08-07\_02-05-28.html

### Back Cover:

This extremely controversial bestselling book about an Israeli's love to Palestine and Palestinians acquired its cult following when it was published in the Web under the title Galilee Flowers, while its first printed edition was called Flowers of Galilee. This second updated and corrected edition reverts to the original title.

"I am deeply in love with the Holy Land," writes Israel Shamir. "but it is not a dead object. It is a living country. Palestinians are her soul... To love a country and wish away her inhabitants is a kind of necrophiliac's romance."

The war in the Holy Land is presented as the centre-stage of the world-wide struggle of ideas, against a backdrop of such momentous modern developments as the growing influence of American Jewry, the decline of the Left, the ascent of Globalisation, the first steps of the anti-Globalisation movement, and the outbreak of World War Three with America and Israel against the World." While seeking the Liberation of Palestine, the author pursues another broader goal as well: the Liberation of Public Discourse. A great intellectual and spiritual adventure into uncharted waters by the "Khalil Gibran of our time", "a wonderful Hebrew Prophet", Israel Shamir.

It was only through the accidental access to the writings of the prophetic and eloquent Jewish dissident Israel Shamir that I learned the deep, true and historically connected story of Palestine. And why in the words of John Pilger: Palestine Is Still the Issue. Why that is so may be summed up in this quote from Shamir:

"Israel/Palestine is the model of the world the American elites want to create. Its peasants and their flocks die of thirst, while the chosen folk lounge by swimming pools on the hilltop. It has a huge army and it has many imported labourers without any rights. In order to turn all the world into Palestine, they began now their World War Three against the Third World."

Influenced by John Ruskin, Simone Weil, Franz Fanon, T. S. Eliot and Edward Said, Israel Shamir rejects the "twin temptation of Mammon and Zionism" in the name of compassion with "the sturdy peasants" of his adoptive homeland.

### Owen Owens

Also by Israel Shamir:

Pardes, Surge Books 2005, ISBN 1-4196-0601-8

### Our Lady of Sorrow, Surge Books 2005 ISBN 1-4196-0835-5

Israel Adam Shamir is a leading Israeli writer and intellectual turned dissident. A native of Novosibirsk, Siberia, Israel Shamir came to Israel in 1969, served as paratrooper in the army and fought in the 1973 war, but later became disenchanted with Zionism and its Jewish State project. Shamir's literary oeuvres include *The Pine and the Olive*, the story of Palestine/Israel in space and time, and bestselling *Galilee Flowers* (2004). He also translated a medieval treatise on the Talmud into English, and the works of Israeli Nobel Prize winner, S.Y. Agnon, the *Odyssey* of Homer and James Joyce's *Ulysses*, into Russian.

As a journalist, Shamir has reported for Israel Radio, the BBC, and for major newspapers and media services in Israel, Russia, Japan and elsewhere. He was a parliamentary spokesman for Israeli Socialist Party Mapam. In response to the second Palestinian *Intifada*, Shamir has become a leading champion of the "One Man, One Vote, One State" solution in all of Palestine/Israel. Shamir's Internet essays are widely circulated and he is hailed as one of the great humanists of our time. Shami's website is <a href="http://www.israelshamir.net">http://www.israelshamir.net</a>.

He lives in the mixed Jewish-Arab town of Jaffa on the Mediterranean shore described in his stories. In his 50s, Shamir is father of two sons.

Cover art by Pauline Adamova, Tel Aviv, the painting by Suleiman Mansur, Jerusalem

A brilliant storyteller with a vast knowledge of history, Shamir discusses current events and their global implications with brutal honesty and tenderness. Israel Shamir's clarity of insight and lyrical use of language to illustrate social, religious and political complexities make him the Khalil Gibran of our time. His essays portray the peaceful, pastoral landscape of the Holy Land and the humanity of its inhabitants, juxtaposed against the ugliness and inhumanity of state racism. Shamir strives to free readers from both fear and adoration of Jews. He demystifies the threat of "anti-Semitism" as a control mechanism to keep good Jews from confronting the Jewish leaders responsible for promoting war and policies of economic inequality. He dreams of a world where the descendants of Jews and Palestinians will be able to live as equals, intermarry, and create a new race of people. Israel Shamir's proposal is the only viable option for a lasting peace in the Middle East.

Karin M. Friedemann, World View News Service The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences

Shamir, who does not get much mention in the "respectable" press, seems to me a noble spirit and a penetrating analyst of the world scene today. His love of the Holy Land, of the Palestinians, and indeed of his fellow Jews, shines in his writing. I shall be interested to see if my notion of him as a giant of world literature and as a paradigm of virtuous political protest, holds up in the years ahead. My guess is it will.

Tom White, Culture Wars Magazine

TRIAL BY BUSH

### **Constitution-Shredding in the Jose Padilla Case**

### Doug Ireland

When a U.S. district court ruled last week in favor of President Bush in the case of Jose Padilla — the only American imprisoned as an "enemy combatant" by presidential order — it struck a major blow at the Constitution, upholding actions by the administration that Supreme Court Justice John Paul Stevens has said have "created a unique and unprecedented threat to the freedom of every American citizen."Padilla was a Puerto Rican kid, born in New York City, who had drifted from New York to Chicago to Florida, always with the law close at his heels, and who had

converted to Islam (and taken the name Abdullah al Muhajir) while in jail. His arrest in May 2002 was announced with huge media fanfare by Attorney General John Ashcroft in a press conference broadcast by satellite from... Moscow! With Bush's Justice Department under criticism for having failed to make any major arrests of terrorists (at a time when foreign governments from France to Morocco were rolling up al Qaeda-related networks), the traveling Ashcroft interrupted his Russian visit to proclaim that the arrest of Padilla was "a significant step forward in the war on terrorism. We have captured a known terrorist who was exploring a plan to build and explode a radiological device, or 'dirty bomb,' in the United States." Within minutes of Ashcroft's press conference, the allnews cable networks were running scary pictures of mushroom clouds.Shortly after the arrest, President Bush issued an executive order designating Padilla an "enemy combatant" and ordered him locked away in a military brig in South Carolina — where he has languished since in a windowless, 5-by-7-foot cell that is always brightly lit — without an indictment, a trial or access to a lawyer. A coalition of civil-liberties groups from left and right — from the ACLU to the libertarian-conservative Cato Institute — eventually filed a habeas corpus petition on Padilla's behalf.

As the Cato Institute's senior constitutional scholar, Robert Levy has written, "An unambiguous federal statute and the U.S. Constitution both prohibit the executive branch from doing to Padilla what it is now doing. More than three decades ago, Congress passed Title 18, section 4001(a) of the U.S. Code. It states, 'No citizen shall be imprisoned or otherwise detained by the United States except pursuant to an Act of Congress.' Today, we have not had from Congress any statute that authorizes Padilla's detention." And indeed, the U.S. Court of Appeals in the 2nd District in New York City, after hearing the civil-liberties groups' case, ruled that Padilla's detention incommunicado and without trial was illegal and unconstitutional.But the Bush administration appealed that ruling to the Supreme Court. A majority of five Republican Supremes, led by the late Chief Justice William Rehnquist — while refusing to rule on the merits of the case to free Padilla — declared that the 2nd District had no jurisdiction, and ordered that the case be heard by the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals in Virginia, which has jurisdiction over South Carolina, where Padilla is locked away.

The justices ruled that the lawyers pleading for Padilla's release had improperly named Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld as the respondent, instead of the warden of the military brig where Padilla is held. This was pure jurisdiction shopping by the Rehnquist Republican majority, and moved the case from the liberal New York City court to the infinitely more conservative Virginia bench headed by Judge J. Michael Luttig — who, the press reported last week, was on Bush's shortlist for a Supreme Court vacancy. Upholding Bush's power to throw any American citizen into prison — as if this president were the czar of feudal Russia — was certainly a convenient way for Luttig to recommend himself to fill Sandra Day O'Connor's Supreme Court seat. The case against Padilla as an "enemy combatant" has always been extraordinarily flimsy, and based almost entirely on circumstantial evidence. Padilla had been in Afghanistan, and had apparently frequented the Taliban — but there is no evidence that he'd ever fired a single shot at U.S. troops. As the Taliban collapsed, Padilla fled to the northeast corner of Pakistan, carrying a weapon (this frontier province is a particularly violence-ridden section of Pakistan, and anyone who goes there without aweapon to defend himself is taking his life in his hands). But Padilla arrived in the U.S. with no bomb-making materials or equipment or how-to manuals and had no concrete plan to plant a bomb anywhere.

An al Qaeda figure — Abu Zubaydah, a supposed deputy to Osama bin Laden — had been wounded and captured in a firefight, and soon began spinning tales to his U.S. captors. One of them concerned an American who'd offered to build and detonate a dirty bomb in the U.S. But Zubaydah's information often proved unreliable — some of his interrogators thought he'd been spreading disinformation — and, in any case, he never named Padilla, although federal authorities jumped to the conclusion that the supposed volunteer bomb maker Zubaydah claimed to have knowledge of was the Puerto Rican convert to Islam. Newsweek reported that federal authorities said that they hadn't found any of Padilla's alleged "terrorist" contacts in the U.S., and one federal official said that Ashcroft's portrait of Padilla as the key man in a plot to decimate an American city with a dirty bomb "was blown way out of proportion." And Time magazine commented at the time that "The fact that the authorities arrested Padilla immediately on his arrival in Chicago rather than following him around in the hope that he would reveal al-Qaeda operatives already on U.S. soil says volumes about how little Padilla may have known about the organization." Morever, Padilla a former Taco Bell employee and gangbanger — didn't have the technical education necessary to fabricate a dirty mini-nuke. Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld has said of Padilla, "We are not interested in trying him at the moment. We are not interested in punishing him at the moment. We

are interested in finding out what in the world he knows." That's a cute way of avoiding the truth: that the federal government was afraid of bringing Padilla to trial with a case so gauzy that a jury might well say, "Is that all you've got?" and let him go. And what, if anything, the government has learned from Padilla during his three-plus years of detention in isolation — conditions that amount to psychological torture — it hasn't said. WHY IS THE PADILLA CASE SO IMPORTANT? Because the right to freedom from arbitrary detention and to a jury trial is one of the fundamental rights for which the

American Revolution was fought — it is enshrined in our Bill of Rights. As Thomas Jefferson wrote to Tom Paine in 1789, "I consider trial by jury as the only anchor ever yet imagined by man, by which a government can be held to the principles of its constitution." Imprisonment without trial is one of the ways in which the U.S. (through its annual State Department reports on human rights around the world) measures a country's degree of despotism. Bush has asserted his power to keep Padilla in jail "until hostilities are ended" - but since Bush has also proclaimed the struggle against al Qaeda as one without any end in sight, Padilla could rot in prison for 20 years or more.Now the Padilla case will be appealed to a new Supreme Court — presided over by certain-to-be-confirmed conservative Chief Justice John Roberts - and by the time it gets to the Supremes, Bush will have named a new associate justice for the vacant O'Connor seat, giving conservatives a lock on the court for the next several decades. Padilla will be among the first victims of this new Bush court.But in his astonishingly vigorous dissent when the Supreme Court first ruled against the Padilla appeal, Justice Stevens, (with Justices Souter, Ginsburg and Breyer concurring) wrote: "Unconstrained Executive detention for the purpose of investigating and preventing subversive activity is the hallmark of the Star Chamber. Access to counsel for the purpose of protecting the citizen from official mistakes and mistreatment is the hallmark of due process. Executive detention of subversive citizens ... may not be justified by the naked interest in using unlawful procedures to extract information. Incommunicado detention for months on end is such a procedure. Whether the information so procured is more or less reliable than that acquired by more extreme forms of torture is of no consequence. For if this Nation is to remain true to the ideals symbolized by its flag, it must not wield the tools of tyrants even to resist an assault by the forces of tyranny."

Posted by Doug Ireland, September 14, 2005 http://direland.typepad.com/direland/2005/09/index.html

MORE COVER-UP

# The other Bush disaster cover-up: At least 300 U.S. military deaths from Indian Ocean tsunami

Immediately after the destruction of the U.S.-Thai naval installation, three U.S. 7th Fleet Navy ships were dispatched from Hong Kong, where they were docked for Christmas, to the area off Phi Phi island. For three days, U.S. helicopters airlifted the bodies of foreign military personnel to the three offshore Navy ships. In addition, Israel immediately sent a military medical team to Krabi to identify **the bodies of Israeli frogmen** recovered from Phi Phi. It is believed that Israel lost a large number of frogmen in Thailand since just after the tsunami, it warned Egypt to keep all ships away from its Gulf of Aqaba coast, a sign that its naval defenses were weakened by the loss of the frogmen. Britain also sent a team of divers to search for British military personnel lost at the Phi Phi base and the surrounding region. CIA personnel zealously guarded the body storage areas holding the bodies of the American and foreign military personnel not airlifted to the Navy ships.

Although the U.S.-Thai base was secret, the foreign military presence (including mercenaries) was very noticeable to the non-fundamentalist Muslims, because of the physically and verbally abusive tactics of the Americans and other foreigners, especially their harsh treatment of local businessmen and their drunkeness and patronage of prostitutes, notably in Patong Beach. *The Bangkok Post* reported that a large number of "farangs" (ex-patriates) were secretly buried in Takua Pa and it is believed these included some of the U.S. military and mercenary forces in the Phuket region. The paper also reported that 300 U.S. military personnel were killed in the tsunami,

although the story was not picked up by the foreign major media. U.S. "missing in action" (MIA) teams were sent from Hawaii, Laos, and Fort Bragg, North Carolina to exhume the bodies from Takua Pa to identify missing U.S. Special Operations Command personnel. The Bush administration largely succeeded in censoring this story. As for the military deaths, it is common Pentagon practice to label the deaths of military members on covert operations as "training accidents" or "non-combat related deaths."

http://www.waynemadsenreport.com

THEIR OWN KILLERS

# The Jewish Police Force in England

### The Community Security Trust

The CST has a proud heritage dating back to the Jewish defence organisations formed in the 1930s to combat Oswald Moseley and his followers. Today the principal threats to the community are terrorism and antisemitic attacks. As the Jewish community's only defence organisation the CST has grown significantly. We now have four offices and 49 members of staff. As a charity, the CST is a registered charity whose work is provided entirely without charge and is solely funded by donations from the Jewish community.

The ethos of the CST is that the Jewish community is responsible for its own security. The CST draws upon **a network of 3,000 trained volunteers** throughout the country. They are at the heart of the organisation and come from all spheres of communal life, regardless of religious observance, political beliefs, age or gender. These personnel are trained by the CST, and by the Police, so that they can provide the highest level of security for the Jewish community.

http://www.thecst.org.uk/

LITTLE EXEMPLARY STORY : HOW THE KILL INTELLECTUAL OPPOSITION

# Interview of Ward Churchill by Joshua Frank

**JF**: Can you explain a bit about "how the liberal 'left' has actively collaborated in the right's use of 'scholarly standards' as a ploy to accomplish its objectives"?

**WC**: It's been going on for quite a while now. In my view, you can probably date the origin of the technique from the David Abraham case of the mid-80s, when a newly-minted assistant professor at Princeton came out with a really excellent book titled *The Collapse of the Weimar Republic*, in which he not only laid out the role played by German big business in bringing the Nazis to power, but the whole complex range of liberal democratic sociopolitical dynamics that contributed to the same result. The study, which was unabashedly neo-Marxian in approach, was really well received, heralded in some quarters as a major methodological breakthrough.

The problem was that Henry A. Turner, a senior historian at Yale, and an arch-conservative to boot, was just then finishing what he viewed as his summative work, a weighty tome called *German Big Business and the Rise of Hitler*, in which he sought to completely exonerate German industrialists from complicity with Nazism. On its face, the situation would seem to form an ideal basis for colloquy, that is, subjecting the two competing interpretations to the process of discussion and debate that supposedly constitutes the backbone of scholarly life. But Turner elected not to play it that way. Instead, he set out to cast the impression that his opponent was guilty of "research fraud," thereby not only eliminating *Collapse of Weimar* as a competitor to his own book, but destroying the reputation of a junior scholar he considered ideologically objectionable in the

bargain.

Turner's approach is instructive in that it established the template for what the right has been doing ever since. Joined by Berkeley's Gerald Feldman, another senior and very conservative historian specializing in the German interwar period, he drafted graduate students to go through Abraham's annotation, line-by-line, citation-by-citation. And, of course, they found plenty of trivia: quotation marks missing in various places, inserted where they shouldn't have been in others, wrong dates here and there, and so on. These are the sorts of "endless, minor errors" you'll find in any book, including those of such "greats" as Sir Lewis Namier. But Turner and Feldman kept generating "reports" in which they cast Abraham's missing quote marks as examples of "plagiarism", his inserted quote marks, wrong dates and the like as "fabrications", and his resulting conclusions as "fraudulent".

In the end, Turner and Feldman's allegations against Abraham were shown to be sheer nonsense. All of the documents Abraham cited actually existed, although he'd misdated several. His inserted quotes attended accurate paraphrases. Attribution was given to sources quoted where missing quote marks were concerned, etc. Most of all, it was determined that none of his technical errors had any appreciable effect on either the quality of his argument or the validity of the conclusions he'd reached. But all that took years. Meanwhile, he was denied tenure and *Collapse of Weimar* was withdrawn by Princeton University Press. Feldman actively intervened in several search processes to prevent his being hired by other universities, and even attempted to have his Ph.D. revoked by the University of Chicago. The bottom line is that David Abraham was destroyed as an historian.

**JF**: What was the response of left historians while all this was going on?

**WC**: By and large-- there were few exceptions -- they sought to distance themselves from their colleague or, worse, adopted postures of utmost sanctimony, prattling on about the "sloppiness" of Abraham's scholarship and the need to maintain "the highest standards of scholarly integrity." Although Turner and Feldman had openly committed a broad range of professional ethics violations while pursuing their ideological vendetta, the "left-leaning" American Historical Association (AHA) declined to follow through with an investigation and censure of their conduct.

Even when a group of German historians finally took it upon themselves to document how Turner's *German Big Business* displayed a pattern of evidentiary distortion far more pronounced than any of which Abraham was accused, the AHA maintained a discrete silence. Thus was the issue of "academic integrity" gift wrapped for usage by the right.

19 september 2005 http://www.dissidentvoice.org/Sept05/Frank0919.htm

A PIECE OF HARD HISTORY

# Zionism's Failure to Support Resistance

### Written by BAZO-PS

The World Zionist Organization prided itself on its international organisation and especially its intelligence network and so on. It was the only specifically Jewish international organisation which had liaison offices both inside and on the periphery of Nazi Europe, which had direct organisational links with Zionist groups throughout Europe and direct access to and political influence with the Allied powers, and which had been engaged in arms smuggling and financial operations. The only other international Jewish organisation was the Bund, which had far less resources and did far more to publicize the Holocaust and seek support for Jewish resistance in Europe.

The vast apparatus of the World Zionist Organisation, including its illegal armed forces in Palestine etc. was not used to publicize the Holocaust and support resistance, but took part in covering it up until the Allied powers decided to publicize it.

This vast Zionist apparatus was not used either for assisting beleaguered ghetto fighters or aiding rescue activities. Rabbi Michael Dov Weissmandel, organizer of rescue activities in Slovakia asks in his book 'From the Depths':

Why didn't they try, from their place of freedom, to break through to us and send us a secret messenger? This question becomes greater when we see that the governments of Czechoslovakia and Poland, which were in free lands, sent secret messengers daily to their loyal people in the occupied countries. And therefore our amazement grows. Why don't the great organizers of Jewry use these messengers if they have no other way? And during all of the years since we developed this method, those in the free countries did not once attempt to send messengers to us - rather, WE had to send them and to pay for them. How many did we send them only for the to return empty-handed - because those over there did not have time to answer ?" (Quoted in '*The Holocaust Victims Accuse*' by Rabbi Moshe Shonfeld)

The only known assistance from the international Zionist movement to Jewish resistance in Nazi Europe was when the British Royal Air Force parachuted some volunteers from Palestine to make contact with partisan forces. Those who were escorted by Tito's partisans from Yugoslavia to Hungary were handed over to the Gestapo by their Zionist 'liaison' in Budapest - Rudolf Kastner.

Many Zionists in Nazi Europe had no choice but to fight back against the Nazis and some acquitted themselves with honour in ghetto rebellions and partisan warfare, although these resistance activities were generally led by Communists and Bundists rather than by Zionists.

Large masses of Jews organised resistance movements and took part in partisan warfare throughout occupied Europe - usually under Communist leadership, often under direct command of the Red Army, and generally making quite a substantial contribution to the Allied war effort.

Generally, Zionists preferred MASSADA-like last stands to the more effective form of resistance - partisan warfare. But even at Warsaw, where their contribution was greatest, the majority of fighters were Communist, Bundist or unaffiliated. Moreover, although both left-wing Zionists and Revisionists did make a major contribution to the Warsaw ghetto rebellion, their first target was other Zionists, mainly mainstream ones, who were leaders of the Nazi sponsored *Judenrat*, the ghetto police and the Jewish Gestapo.

The leading pro-German, anti-British Zionist theoretician, one of the well known international leaders of a dissident faction in Zionism, Dr. Alfred Nossig, was shot by the Warsaw ghetto fighters as a Gestapo agent. Whatever role some Zionists played in the resistance activities, the plain fact is that they got little or no support from the international Zionist movement, whose leadership was too busy demanding unrestricted immigration and a Jewish Army in Palestine.

That is not to say that Zionists in Palestine were incapable of giving assistance to partisan warfare. On the contrary, both the mainstream Zionists and the Revisionists maintained very efficient clandestine armed forces in Palestine throughout the war, and these both had extensive arms smuggling operations which substantially depleted British armouries and forced the diversion of British troops to guard duty. But these arms were for use against the British and the Arabs, not against the Germans. Details will be found in '*Cross Roads to Israel*' by Christopher Sykes (Nel Mentor, London, 1967).

### Zionist Priorities During the Holocaust

Zionist policy during the Holocaust is best summed up in the words of Yitzhak Greenbaum speaking on 'The Diaspora and the Redemption' at a Tel Aviv meeting in February 1943:

For the rescue of the Jews in the Diaspora, we should consolidate our excess strength and the surplus of powers that we have. When they come to us with two plans - the rescue of the masses of Jews in Europe or the redemption of the land - I vote, without a second thought, for the redemption of the land. The more said about the slaughter of our people, the greater the minimization of our efforts to strengthen and promote the Hebraization of the land. If there would be a possibility today of buying packages of food with the money of the 'Keren Hayesod' (United Jewish Appeal) to send it through Lisbon, would we do such a thing? No! And once again No!" (Shonfeld, op. cit., p.26)

Greenbaum confirms this in his postwar book 'In Days of Holocaust and Destruction':

...When they asked me, couldn't you give money out of the United Jewish Appeal funds for the rescue of Jews in Europe, I said, 'NO!' and I say again 'NO!'...one should resist this wave which pushes the Zionist activities to secondary importance." (ibid., p.26)

Notice that Greenbaum had not only insisted that buying land from the Arabs was more important than rescuing Jews in Europe, as he admitted after the war, but he had even called for less to be SAID about the slaughter, so as not to distract attention from buying land! It was an explicit call for a conspiracy of silence.

Greenbaum, whose son was an exceptionally notorious Kapo at Auschwitz, was not just some insignificant Zionist functionary shooting his mouth off. He was Kastner's immediate superior in the Jewish Agency, as head of the Rescue Committee for European Jewry, and he became a cabinet minister in Israel's first Government.

Greenbaum's policy was the Zionist movement's policy (Greenbaum was actually in a minority in the Zionist leadership on this question. The damning fact is that he was left in charge of the 'rescue committee' after openly expressing his opposition to the use of Zionist money for rescue activities). Kastner was only carrying out an agreed policy.

This policy was summed up in the slogan 'one goat in Eretz Israel (Hebrew name for historic Palestine) is worth an entire community in the Diaspora"

As Rabbi Shonfeld comments:

The rescue committee of the Jewish Agency falsely bore the name 'rescue'. It would be more appropriate to call it the Committee for Covering Up, Ignoring and Silencing...the thoughts of Zionist officials and especially the chairman, Greenbaum, were steeped in plots and schemes to use the holocaust and its consequences to build up the national home and to realize the demands for establishing a Jewish State." (Shonfeld, op.cit., p. 56)

This attitude was further demonstrated in a letter from Nathan Schwalb, representative of the Jewish Agency in Switzerland, to the Rescue Committee for Czech Jewry:

Since we have the opportunity of this courier, we are writing to the group that they must always remember that matter which is the most important, which is the main issue that must always be before our eyes. After all, the allies will be victorious. After the victory, they will once again divide up the world between the nations as they did at the end of first war. Then they opened the way for us for the first step and now, as the war ends, we must do everything so that Eretz Yisroel should become a Jewish state. Important steps have already been taken in this matter. As to the cry that comes from your country, we must be aware that all the nations of the Allies are spilling much blood and if we do not bring sacrifices, with what will we achieve the right to sit at the table when they make the distribution of nations and territories after the war? And so it would be foolish and impertinent on our side to ask the nations whose blood is being spilled in order to protect our own blood. Because 'rak b'dam tihyu lanu haaretz' (only through blood will the land be ours). As to yourselves - members of the group - you will get out, and for this purpose we are providing you with funds by this courier." (Ibid., pp. 26-28).

As Rabbi Shonfeld comments:

Here Mr. Schwalb expressed the complete Zionist ideology and stated clearly and openly the politics of the Zionist leaders in the area of rescue: **The shedding of Jewish blood in the Diaspora is necessary in order for us to demand the establishment of a 'Jewish' state before a peace commission**. Money will be sent to save a group of 'chalutzim' (pioneers, while the remainder of Czech Jewry must resign itself to annihilation in the Auschwitz crematoria." (Ibid., p. 28)

### Suppressing the News

There is no doubt about the fact that the Zionist leadership kept quiet about the Holocaust while it was going on. Kastner was able to excuse his own silence about Auschwitz by telling the

Court that other Jewish Agency representatives suppressed the news he sent out while negotiations proceeded:

I learned that the Jewish Agency and Joint Distribution Committee representatives in Switzerland, Moshe Shwalb and Saly Mayer, did not give out information to the press about the mass killings. They failed to give the press the news I sent from Budapest. I sent cables also to the Istanbul Rescue Committee (of the Jewish Agency). They were also kept secret from the press. I informed them almost daily by cables about the pace of the extermination. My cables were never published anywhere."(Ben Hecht, *Perfidy*, Julian Messner, New York, 1961, p.91).

Indeed, as Ben Hecht explains:

There will be many witnesses to testify about this silence during Greenwald's trial, among them Professor Aktzin, dean of the Law Faculty of the Hebrew University in Jerusalem. I quote from the trial record:

'Tamir: Is it true that the Joint Distribution Committee and the Jewish Agency did suppress the news of the extermination in the United States up to and through 1941?

"Professor Aktzin: The Zionists, Jewish Agency and Joint Distribution Committee did refrain from publicizing in the American press the massacre of Jews.'

While the war was still on in 1945, a Jewish mission of survivors from Poland came to the annual meeting of the World Jewish Congress. They came with accusations, and the leaders of the Jewish Congress listened stoically to their plaint. The survivors from Poland accused these leaders of Zion of having failed to arouse the nations of the world to the fact that the Jews were being exterminated. The mission accused the leaders of Jewry of having neglected practical possibilities of rescue and help. The leaders stated that the omissions were the result of a deliberate decision. They offered as explanation 'the opinion of the executive board was that it was inadvisable because of own diplomatic ties with these governments' (Of the Free World). (ibid., pp. 92-3).

Greenbaum justified the Zionist leaders policy of hiding the facts about the Holocaust from the public, in a speech he made at Sokolov House on 1 January 1964. He said:

Whoever is building the homeland and is battling for the very existence of the homeland, is excused from knowing; for he has another, greater obligation." (Shonfeld, op.cit, p.79)

Thus after the war too, Greenbaum reaffirms that the Holocaust had to be covered up because the knowledge that European Jewry was being exterminated would have distracted attention from the more important question of building a Jewish State in Palestine. This was also the occasion on which Greenbaum produced another gem:

It would have been worthwhile to sacrifice another million Jews for the glory of the Warsaw Ghetto revolt" (ibid., p.79)

### Zionist Leaders Admit Inactivity

Despite the popular impression, Zionist leaders do not seriously contest that they were inactive during the holocaust. Here is Dr. Nahum Goldmann, President of the World Jewish Congress speaking at a commemorative meeting on 4 March, 1962:

There is no doubt that future Jewish history will judge the generation of the Holocaust which lived in free lands as guilty. It will accuse it of failing to adequately prepare for the Nazi danger in its beginning stages, and of not daring to fight desperately

the annihilation in this period. I do not know whether, in the time of the war, the Allies could have prevented the death of millions of Jews. But there is no doubt in my heart that it was possible to save tens of thousands of Jews with active, daring measures by the democratic governments. But most of the responsibility lies upon us, due to our selfsatisfaction with requests and routine demands and to the fact that groups of Jews did not have enough courage to pressure the democratic governments with dramatic means and motivate them to act drastically. I will never forget the day on which a telegram from the Warsaw ghetto was delivered to me, it was addressed to Rabbi Stephen Wise and to myself. We were asked why Jewish leaders in America do not protest day and night on the stairs of the White House until the President orders the bombing of the concentration camps and the railway tracks leading to them. We did not do so because the majority of Jewish leaders then were of the opinion that they should not interfere with the free world's war effort against the Nazis with stormy protests. Therefore we should not transfer the guilt to those who suffered and paid with their lives. If there is a basis to the historical 'I accuse', let us have the courage now to direct it against that part of the generation which was lucky enough to be outside of the Nazi domination and did not fulfil its obligation toward the millions killed." (reported in the Israeli daily paper Davar, 22 April 1964).

While admitting most of the responsibility for the deaths of tens of thousands, if not millions of Jews who could have been saved, Goldmann tries to spread the blame around a bit, to include everyone who was not actually a victim of the holocaust.

As Rabbi Shonfeld comments on this speech:

Today all have regrets: the past Nazis, the good Germans, the merciful Catholics, the very democratic British and Americans, and even the Jewish secular leaders. However, as we said, the statute of limitations against war crimes is not to apply to the Nazis and their accomplices, whether non-Jews or Jews..." (Ibid., p. 70)

Actually, Nahum Goldmann received and ignored so many similar messages during the holocaust that he was bound to become confused after 20 years.

The telegram from the Warsaw ghetto did not refer to bombing concentration camps and railway tracks.

It came from the 'Jewish National Committee' in Warsaw, via the Polish underground, on 21 January 1943, and simply read as follows:

We notify you of the greatest crime of all times, about the murder of millions of Jews in Poland. Poised at the brink of the annihilation of the still surviving Jews, we ask you:

- 1. Revenge against the Germans
- 2. Force the Hitlerites to halt the murders
- 3. Fight for our lives and our honour
- 4. Contact the neutral countries

5. Rescue 10,000 children through exchange

6. 500,000 dollars for purposes of aid

Brothers - the remaining Jews in Poland live with the awareness that in the most terrible days of our history you did not come to our aid. Respond, at least in the last days of our life." (quoted in Lucy Dawidowicz, *The War Against the Jews 1933-45*. Penguin Books, Middlesex, 1977, p.403)

Nor did all "that part of the generation which was lucky enough to be outside of the Nazi domination" fall to "fulfil its obligation toward the millions killed". Not even all Jewish nationalists took Nahum Goldmann's Zionist stand that they "should not interfere with the free world's war effort against the Nazis with stormy protests" (a pathetic lie considering the Zionist decision to 'fight the White Paper as though there was no war' in Palestine).

The Bundist member of the Polish Government in exile (The Bund was a nationalist, but not Zionist, Social Democratic party of Jewish workers in Russia and Poland), Artur Zygelbojm committed suicide as a public gesture to draw attention to what was happening in Warsaw. Despite the Zionist fears, he has never been accused in 'interfering with the free world's war effort against the Nazis with stormy protests'.

#### A Message Zionist Leaders Ignored

The message Nahum Goldmann thought he remembered from Warsaw about bombing concentration camps and railways, actually came more than a year later from Rabbi Michael Dov Weissmandel, a rescue worker operating in Slovakia. If anyone thinks some 3CR programs speak too strongly, let them study this message:

May 15, 1944 - In a cave near Lublin. Sholom and Greetings. We send you this special message to inform you that yesterday the Germans began the deportation of Jews from Hungary. It is the beginning of deportation of all the Hungarian Jews.

Every day, twelve thousand souls are being taken off. Four deportations of forty-five such train-loads move daily out of Hungary. Within twenty-six days all that area will have been deported.

The deported ones go to Auschwitz to be put to death by cyanide gas. A great number are dead on arrival. The Germans allow a few of the strongest to stay alive. Those who are allowed to live are branded with a number burned into their arm and the Star of David burned into their chest.

Most of these privileged ones die within a month. Others take their place.

Those who go directly from the train to the gas chambers to be suffocated are not branded. They are completely consumed in the ovens and leave no evidence behind. These are 95% of each transport.

The dead bodies are burned in specially made ovens. Each oven burns 12 bodies an hour. In February there were 36 ovens burning. We have learned that more have been built.

Information supplied us by a few eyewitnesses reveals that in February there were four disposal buildings. We have learned that more have been built since then.

Formerly, the Germans killed and burned the Jews in the Forest of Birkenwald, near Auschwitz. Now the killing and burning take place in the buildings shown on the enclosed map.

In December, the Germans built special trains to transport the Jews of Hungary to their extermination. This is the schedule of Auschwitz, from yesterday to the end; twelve thousand Jews - men, women and children, old men, infants, healthy and sick ones - are to be suffocated daily and their bones and ashes are to be used to fertilize the German fields.

And you - our brothers in Palestine, in all the countries of freedom, and you, ministers of all the kingdom - how do you keep silent in the face of this great murder ? Silent while thousand on thousands, reaching now to six million Jews, were murdered. And silent now while tens of thousands are still being murdered and waiting to be murdered? Their destroyed hearts cry to you for help as they bewail your cruelty. Brutal you are and murderers too you are, because of the cold-bloodedness of the silence in which you watch.

Because you sit with folded arms and you do nothing, though you could stop or delay the murder of Jews at this very hour.

In the name of the blood of the thousands on thousands who have been murdered we beg, we plead, we cry out and demand that you take action, that you do deeds now - at once !

That the Ministers of Kingdoms and all the Lands raise a loud and piercing outcry that must enter the ears of the world, the ears of the German people, the ears of the Hungarian people. Let them cry out a warning to the German murderers. Let them proclaim that they know all that has been done in the past, and that which is still being done. And the Pope, himself, should join in this cry of outrage against the German murderers.

Let this outcry be heard over all the radios and read in all the newspapers of the world, that unless they stop at once the deportations of Hungary's Jews - then will Germany be forever exiled from civilisation. We ask that the crematoria in Auschwitz be bombed from the air. They are sharply visible, as shown on the enclosed map.

Such bombing will delay the work of the German murderers.

What is more important - to bomb persistently all the roads leading from Eastern Hungary to Poland and to bomb persistently the bridges in the neighborhood of Karpatarus. Drop all other business to get this done. Remember that one day of your idleness kills twelve thousand souls.

You, our brothers, sons of Israel, are you insane? Don't you know the Hell around us? For whom are you saving your money?

How is it that all our pleadings affect you less than the whimpering of a beggar standing in your doorway? Murderers! Madmen! Who is it that gives charity? You who toss a few pennies from your safe homes? Or we who give our blood in the depths of Hell?

There is only one thing that may be said in your exoneration - that you do not know the truth. This is possible. The villain does his job so shrewdly that only a few guess the truth. We have told you the truth several times. Is it possible that you believe our murderers more than you believe us? May God open your eyes and give you heart to rescue in these last hours the remainder.

Most important is that which I write about the bombing of the Auschwitz Crematoria and the bridges leading to them.

Such bombing can vitally delay the evil work of our slaughterers. And God who keeps alive the last remnant of Israel will show His mercy for which I pray. I pray as I write out of the sea of tears of the people of Israel. We wait God's help.

One from the Market who witnesses the woes of his people"

(Rabbi Michael Dov Weissmandel, Exhibit of the Defence, no. 36, State Attorney v. M. Greenwald, District Court, Jerusalem, CC124/53)

During the Kastner case, Menachem Bader of the Jewish Agency was asked 'Did you receive this letter from Rabbi Weissmandel?"

He answered:

"Letters like this came to us every day".

But Auschwitz was not bombed. Despite receiving these heart rending messages, the Zionist leaders contended themselves with routine requests. Presumably the point was they could not initiate 'stormy protests' without endangering the 'deals' that their representative Kastner was making to rescue a few Zionists and bring them to Palestine - and from the Zionist point of view, that was more important.

As well as the myth about not wanting to interfere with the Allied war effort, Zionist leaders have attempted to excuse their inactivity during the holocaust by pretending that they did not really know what was happening (see, for example, Nahum Goldmann's speech of Feb. 1968).

But this excuse is refuted by numerous documents. The whole world knew about Hitler's extermination policy after the formal Allied declaration about it in December 1942, and the Zionist leaders knew from their own sources long before then. See for example the speech of Knesset member Chaim Landau at a symposium held by the Israeli newspaper Ma'ariv on 24 April, 1966 (cited in V. Bolshakov, *Anti-Communism, the Main Line of Zionism*, Novosti Press Agency Publ. House, Moscow,, 1972, p.35)

### **Other Zionists Accuse**

The worst that Zionists will admit to, and this interpretation is widely accepted, is that they deliberately refrained from putting sufficient pressure on the Allies to intervene to rescue Jews, because they did not want to prejudice friendly relations and the future establishment of a State of Israel with British and American support.

That admission is damning enough, and has been quite sufficient to justify the use of the term 'collaboration' by Zionist Revisionists who themselves accept the theory that the conspiracy of silence was in response to British pressure, and who naturally regard immigration to Palestine as the central question in rescuing Jews.

A great deal of the exposure of Nazi collaboration by the mainstream Zionist leadership was carried out for political reasons by Revisionists who rightly say that agreeing to remain silent about the holocaust, while millions were being murdered, amounts to collaboration with the murderers.

Thus Greenwald's defence counsel in the Kastner case, Shmuel Tamir, formerly Minister for Justice in the State of Israel, points out that *Davar*, the official Zionist Labour Federation newspaper, did not publicize the holocaust and even ran an editorial saying:

# The Nazi denial of extermination has a good foundation. Not as many were annihilated as was feared." (quoted in Hecht, op.cit. p.145)

Tamir says:

Until mid-July, six weeks after the killing of twelve thousand a day had begun, still not a single authoritative word is uttered by the Jewish Agency or any Zionist officials that the deportation had started - that already half a million were exterminated.

The Jewish Agency had by then the best and most exact informative source on the fate of the Jews of Hungary, and on the deportation, and there was no British censorship of such items, as was proven in Court. ...For a full month and a half, Mr. Sharett and the Jewish Agency are knowingly and wilfully suppressing all the news known to them." (ibid., p.147)

He continues:

And why this suppression of the dreadful news by Ben-Gurion, Sharett, Weizmann and all the official leaders of Jewry? Because, had the masses in Palestine known then what was happening in Hungary, and known then the stony hearts of their leaders, a storm would have risen in our land. Power would have fallen out of their hands. And this, it seems, was more important to them.

There is no other explanation. Therefore I said: "Collaboration here, parallel to collaboration there. But if the collaboration there has developed under German pressure, here we talk of men who lived in the free world, whose discretion could be more balanced, who were in control of good youth, wonderful youth, which awaited a command. The fact remains that the moral and historical responsibility, as far as Jews are concerned, lies first and foremost on those who lived in the free world. And though I am here to prove the guilt of Kastner, I say that his responsibility is lesser than that of the leaders of our free Jewish world" (ibid., p.148)

Ben Hecht, a supporter of the same Zionist party sums up:

These organizations, these philanthropists, these timorous Jewish lodge members in Zion, London and America, these Zionist leaders who let their six million kinsmen burn, choke, hang, without protest, with indifference and even with a glint of anti-Semitic cunning in their political planning, I sum up against them...

...My faith says that nearly all the six million Jews could have been saved and the horror of our century saved with them - had the powerful American Jewry alone united in a campaign to save them. And had those Palestine leaders who stayed mum on the slaughter and were garrulous as geese on the needs of Zionism in Palestine - had they cried out - would they have survived as leaders ? Would the British have ousted them, and gutted the 'dream of Zionism'?

Again, I do not know, I know only that, by my measure, such honorable human behaviour would have been of deeper worth to the world than a dozen States of Israel." (ibid., p. 193)

But the truth is far worse than what Zionists will admit to joining a conspiracy of silence under British pressure. As will be shown shortly, the only British pressure was against immigration to Palestine, and it was Zionists who were exerting pressure on Britain not to rescue Jews from the holocaust. A fairly accurate account of Zionist thinking at the time is given by Mapai leader Eliezer Livneh, expressing his regrets in a column entitled 'Thoughts on the Holocaust' in the newspaper *Yedi'ot Aharonot* (Shonfeld, op cit., pp.24-25):

Our Zionist orientation educated us to see the growing land of Israel as the prime goal and the Jewish nation only in relation to its building the land. With each tragedy befalling the Jews in the Diaspora, we saw the state as the evident solution. We continued employing this principle even during the holocaust, saving only those who could be brought to Israel. The mandate's limitation on immigration served as a political factor in our battle to open the doors to aliya (immigration) and to establishing the state. Our programs were geared to this aim and for this we were prepared to sacrifice or endanger lives. Everything outside of this goal, including the rescue of European Jewry for its own sake, was a secondary goal. 'If there can be no people without a country', Rabbi Weissmandel exclaimed, 'then surely there can be no country without a people. And where are the living Jewish people, if not in Europe?'

### The Very Existence of the 'Jewish Agency' Helped the Nazis

As the Revisionist newspaper Herut asks:

How are we to explain the fact, that the leaders of the Jewish Agency and the chiefs of the Zionist movement in Palestine kept silent? Why didn't they raise their voices? Why didn't they shout about it over the whole wide world? Why didn't they appeal in broadcasts of their 'secret' Haganah radio station to Jews in ghettos, camps and villages to flee to the woods, to mutiny and fight, to try to save themselves? By silence they collaborated with the German to no less extent than the scoundrels who provided the Germans with the death lists. History will yet pronounce its verdict against them. Was not the very existence of the Jewish Agency a help for the Nazis? When history tries the so-called Judenrat and the Jewish police, she will also condemn the leaders of the Agency and the leaders of the Zionist movement" (Herut, 25 May 1964, Cited in Bolshakov, op.cit., p.40)

And that really is the verdict of history.

Just as Judge Benjamin Halevi found that the Zionist Jewish Agency's 'Relief and Rescue Committee' in Budapest was a department of the Nazi SS, alongside the departments for extermination and looting, so we must find that the very existence of the Jewish Agency (the World Zionist Organisation) was a help to the Nazis in carrying out and covering up their crimes.

Excerpted from *Nazi-Zionist Collaboration*, pamphlet published in 1981 by BAZO-PS - British Anti-Zionist Organisation/Palestine Solidarity, London, Glasgow.

http://www.aldeilis.net/aldeilis/content/view/47/113/

NO TRACES ?

### The National Association of Forensic Criminologists, Archeologists, Skeptics and Historians ™ Exposing Historical Fraud via The Scientific Method ™

### Treblinka Ground Radar Examination Finds No Trace of Mass Graves

A detailed forensic examination of the site of the wartime Treblinka camp, using sophisticated electronic ground radar, has found no evidence of mass graves there. For six days in October 1999, an Australian team headed by Richard Krege, a qualified electronics engineer, carried out an examination of the soil at the site of the former Treblinka II camp in Poland, where, Holocaust historians say, more than half a million Jews were put to death in gas chambers and then buried in mass graves.

According to the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* (1997), for example, "a total of 870,000 people" were killed and buried at Treblinka between July 1942 and April 1943. Then, between April and July 1943, the hundreds of thousands of corpses were allegedly dug up and BURNED in batches of 2,000 or 2,500 on large grids made of railway ties. Krege's team used an \$80,000 Ground Penetration Radar (GPR) device, which sends out vertical radar signals that are visible on a computer monitor. GPR detects any large-scale disturbances in the soil structure to a normal effective depth of four or five meters, and sometimes up to ten meters. (GPR devices are routinely used around the world by geologists, archeologists, and police.) In its Treblinka investigation, Krege's team also carried out visual soil inspections, and used an auger to take numerous soil core samples. The team carefully examined the entire Treblinka II site, especially the alleged "mass graves" portion, and carried out control examinations of the surrounding area. They found no soil disturbance consistent with the burial of hundreds of thousands of bodies, or even evidence that the ground had ever been disturbed. In addition, Krege and his team found no evidence of individual graves, bone remains, human ashes, or wood ashes.

"From these scans we could clearly identify the largely undisturbed horizontal stratigraphic layering, better known as horizons, of the soil under the camp site," says the 30-year old Krege, who lives in Canberra. "We know from scans of grave sites, and other sites with known soil disturbances, such as quarries, when this natural layering is massively disrupted or missing altogether." Because normal geological processes are very slow acting, disruption of the soil structure would have been detectable even after 60 years, Krege noted.

While his initial investigation suggests that there were never any mass graves at the Treblinka camp site, Krege believes that further work is still called for. "Historians say that the bodies were exhumed and CREMATED toward the end of the Treblinka camp's use in 1943, but we found no indication that any mass graves ever existed," he says. "Personally, I don't think there was an extermination camp there at all."

Krege is preparing a detailed report on his Treblinka investigation. He says that he would welcome the formation, possibly under United Nations auspices, of an international team of neutral, qualified specialists, to carry out similar investigations at the sites of all the wartime German camps.

Krege and his team are associated with, and funded by, the Adelaide Institute, a South Australia revisionist "think tank." Its director, Dr. FREDRICK TOBEN, was jailed in Germany for seven months in 1999 for disputing Holocaust extermination claims.

**Sources**: "'Vernichtungslager' Treblinka: archaelogisch betrachtet," by Ing. Richard Krege, in *Vierteljarhreshefte für freie Geschichtsforschung*, June 2000 [4. Jg., Heft 1], pp. 62-64; "'No Jewish mass grave' in Poland," *The Canberra Times*, Jan. 24, 2000, p. 6; "Poland's Jews 'not buried at Treblinka'," *The Examiner* [Australia], Jan. 24, 2000. [The latter two newspaper items are reprinted in facsimile in VHO-info, May 2000, p. 30.]; Information provided by Richard Krege; M. Weber and A. Allen, "Treblinka," *The Journal of Historical Review*, Summer 1992, pp. 133-158; "German Court Sentences Australian Holocaust Skeptic," *The Journal of Historical Review*, July-August 1999, pp. 2-5; Y. Arad, "Treblinka," in I. Gutman, ed., *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* [New York: 1997], pp. 1481-1488)

http://www.nafcash.com/

FOR WHOM THE BELL TOLLS

### **Oradour-sur-Glane**

### Synopsis of Revisionist Claims by Vincent Reynouard

Vincent Reynouard is a French revisionist who disputes the official version of the massacre at Oradour-sur-Glane. On 9 June 2004, his previous conviction on a charge of "approval of a war crime" was upheld on appeal and Reynouard was sentenced to two years in prison with 18 months of that time on probation, plus a 3,000 euro fine. The court also upheld the confiscation of his research papers which had been seized in May 2001.

Essentially, Reynouard's crime was that he claimed that survivors of the Oradour massacre lied about the tragedy, and that the women and children were killed by an explosion in the church which was not set off by the Waffen-SS soldiers who were in the village that day. Contrary to Reynouard's revisionist claims, the women and children were burned alive by a fire that was set in the church by the Waffen-SS soldiers, according to the official story.

Reynouard wrote an article which was published in German on this web site:

http://www.deutsche-stimme.com/Ausgaben2004/Sites/10-04-Oradour.html

In the article on this web site, Reynouard claims that Mathieu Borie, one of the survivors of the massacre in the Laudy barn, was a member of the FTP, the Communist Résistance organization, and that his friend Maurice Beaubreuil was also connected with the French Résistance. He claims that Monsieur Dupic belonged to the French Secret Army and that Paul Doutre was a supporter of the partisans.

In his Internet article, Reynouard said that he wrote, in his revisionist book about Oradoursur-Glane, that he had checked the government archives and had found that partisans were regularly active in Oradour, as evidenced by records of thefts of cigarettes and gasoline. This partisan activity was contained in a government report by Guy Pauchou, who later co-authored the Official Report in which he stated that Oradour had been a perfectly peaceful village.

In his most ridiculous statement, Reynouard claims that Madame Rouffanche, the lone survivor of the church, could not have jumped out of a window in the church because it was a 12 foot drop and then another 7.5 feet from the top of the retaining wall to the road where she was shot 5 times in the legs by the Waffen-SS soldiers. Reynouard points out that Madame Rouffanche was 47 years old, implying that a woman that age could not have jumped out of a window from that height. If he had carefully studied the testimony of Madame Rouffanche, he would have known that she didn't jump down to the road from the top of the retaining wall, but rather crawled around the church to the garden behind the presbyterie after she was shot 4 times in the legs and once in the shoulder as she stood on the ground underneath the window. His measurements are all wrong: the window is less than 12 feet from the ground, and the retaining wall is around 10 feet high.

He points out that Madame Rouffanche testified that there was no explosion inside the church the whole time she was there, although other witnesses stated that they heard several loud explosions. Reynouard accuses Madame Rouffanche of giving false testimony at the military tribunal held in Bordeaux in 1953. Reynouard doesn't believe that Madame Rouffanche was even in the church. He claims that she gave conflicting statements over the years about a crate or box that was brought into the church by two SS soldiers. This was the "smoke bomb" that was allegedly set off by means of lighting a fuse.

Reynouard bluntly calls Madame Rouffanche a liar. He claims that her daughter was a member of the Résistance, using the code name "Danielle." In 1996, Reynouard learned that a British RAF flier named Len Cotton was hidden for three days in the vestry of the Oradour church where "Danielle" brought him food. Reynouard claims that Len Cotton told him in a telephone conversation that Oradour had been a large base of the Résistance. For Reynouard, this is proof that Madame Rouffanche lied in her court testimony because of her connections to the Résistance. Reynouard wrote that Madame Rouffanche, with her improbable story of the "crate" and her jump from the church window, which bordered on a miracle, had tried to put the entire blame onto the Waffen-SS in order to white-wash the Résistance of any responsibility.

More about Len Cotton can be found on this web site:

http://www.oradour.info/images/rafman01.htm

Reynouard wrote that he had already published the story about Len Cotton seven years ago, but there had been no statement by representatives of the official version regarding this story.

Reynouard claims that, with the help of an attorney, he studied the trial testimony which was taken down in shorthand by the court reporter during the war crimes trial held in Bordeaux in 1953. From these shorthand notes, he learned that Mrs. Renaud testified that "there was a large explosion in the church." Mr. Petit testified during the trial that he had entered the church briefly after the tragedy and "it was a terrible picture. There was no intact body. Some had been torn into two pieces." Some of the Waffen-SS soldiers had also testified during the trial about an explosion in the church, according to the notes taken by the court reporter.

Reynouard wrote that he had conducted his research like a Criminal Investigation, examining photos of the corpses found after the massacre. The corpses of the men were burned beyond recognition, but the corpses of the women and children in the church were torn apart with arms,

legs and heads severed from the torsos; the clothing on some of the corpses of the women was not burned. The faces on the severed heads were recognizable. According to Reynouard, the corpses of the women and children looked like the typical victims of an explosion, and the church looked like the ruins of a church that had been the victim of a bombardment.

Reynouard points out that a reporter, Pierre Poitevin, who saw the church only hours after the massacre, observed that the fabric flowers (Stoffblumen) on the altar had not burned. Those same flowers are still in the church today, according to Reynouard. The tragedy took place in June when plenty of real flowers would have been available, so why would they have put silk flowers on the altar?

As proof that there was an explosion in the church, Reynouard points out in his article that the roof was blown off, but there does not seem to be much damage caused by a fire inside the church. The wooden confessional did not burn, for example. A brass ball on the roof of the tower did not melt, according to Reynouard, indicating that the roof was blown off, rather than burned. An engraved inscription on the melted bronze bells can still be seen. This proves that the fire in the tower did not burn very long, according to Reynouard. The implication is that a flash fire caused by an explosion partially melted the bells. A Waffen-SS soldier was killed by a stone falling from the church, which is further proof of an explosion in Reynouard's opinion.

Reynouard wrote that he became interested in the Oradour tragedy in 1989. In August 1990, he met Mr. Renaud, one of the survivors of the village and the husband of the woman who testified in court about an explosion in the church. Mr. Renaud told him that he had witnessed an explosion in the church tower and felt the shock waves. Reynouard also claims that he spoke with Maurice Beaubreuil, a survivor who hid with his aunt in a house near the church; Beaubreuil told him about hearing a strong explosion. Today these two men deny that they ever spoke with Reynouard. Reynouard claims that he took notes in a small red notebook in 1990, but it was confiscated and he could not prove in court that he had spoken with Renaud and Beaubreuil.

Reynouard points out that in Oradour-sur-Glane, there were refugees who were Spanish soldiers that had fought against Franco in the Civil War in Spain. He claims that these soldiers would have recruited the villagers to fight along with them in the French Résistance. He points out that the Spanish refugees are never mentioned in the official story. On the contrary, the 26 Spaniards who had been living in Oradour-sur-Glane since 1939, when the Spanish Civil War ended, were most certainly mentioned in the official stories that I read.

Reynouard makes the outrageous claim that the burned bodies found inside the bakery and the bodies that had been thrown into a well were those of German soldiers who had been previously killed by the partisans in the village. If this is true, why didn't the Waffen-SS soldiers take these bodies with them for a proper burial instead of leaving them to be found by the survivors after the destruction of the village?

According to Reynouard's article, the German version of the story, which Reynouard agrees with, is that 120 to 150 members of the Waffen-SS had gone to Oradour-sur-Glane to look for the German soldier, H. Kaempfe, who had been kidnapped by Communist partisans under the direction of Jean Canou. Canou was a Sargeant in the FTP, the Communist resistance army. Canou testified at the 1953 trial of 21 of the SS perpetrators that Kämpfe had been kidnapped and was first taken to the village of Cheissoux; then he was turned over to Canou's "chief" in the FTP, Georges Guingouin. Canou was the only resistance fighter to testify at the trial; his sworn testimony was that there was no resistance activity of any kind in Oradour-sur-Glane.

Reynouard's Internet article continues the German version of the story: The men were separated from the women and children; they were taken to several barns, while the women and children were taken to the church. Then the Waffen-SS soldiers made a search of the houses, whereby they found many weapons and ammunition. Then there was a large explosion in the church, which tore up the women and children, who were inside. The SS thought they were being attacked and therefore opened fire on the men in the barns.

The French always rejected this German version with its own thesis of the peaceful villagers, according to Reynouard; he wrote that the French version of the story is a poor attempt to present the French as innocent, or at least, to justify their innocence. Reynouard reasons that if the SS had wanted to terrorize and demoralize the population of France, they would have destroyed ten, twenty or fifty villages in a similar manner. He points out that the SS first demanded hostages and then made a search of the town. He asks, rhetoriclly: Why would the SS have wasted all this time in doing a search if they had come into the village only to massacre the population?

Reynouard points out in his article that the Germans had had a perfect excuse to answer the actions of the partisans and to spread "senseless terror" in Tulle where, the day before, 40 German soldiers had been killed by the Resistance and their bodies terribly mutilated. Reynouard explains that, in Tulle, the Waffen-SS left the women and children unharmed, in accordance with their actual custom, while 99 men were hanged. From this, Reynouard concludes that the separation of the women and children from the men in Oradour proves that the SS did not have the intention of killing everyone in the village. Their task, according to Reynouard, was to find the German soldier, H. Kaempfe, and to destory the partisan base in Oradour, but it had inadvertantly ended tragically. Reynouard thinks that the German commander made an error in not searching the church for weapons before the women and children were taken there.

The official transcripts from the trial in Bordeaux have been sealed until 2053. Without having any proof, Reynouard has concocted a scenario in which he theorizes that some of the partisans in Oradour-sur-Glane hid inside the church when they saw the SS men enter the village. When the women and children were taken to the church, the SS soldiers discovered the partisans hiding there, and possibly there was an exchange of gunfire which caused the ammunition hidden in the church to explode.

Reynouard speculates that not all the women and children died in the church as a consequence of the disaster, since parts of the church were not destroyed. He thinks that the women and children who were in the proximity of the wooden confessional and the silk flowers must have survived the drama and that Mrs. Rouffanche was not the only survivor of the church.

In support of his theory, Reynouard mentions the story told by a German soldier, Eberhard Matthes, who visited the ruined village in 1963 and spoke with two women who claimed to have survived the destruction in the church. Why didn't these two women testify during the trial in Bordeaux in 1953? Maybe they did, but we won't know until 2053 when the court records will be open to the public. Until then, Reynouard has no proof of his revisionist claims.

In June 1997, Reynouard published a 450-page book in Belgium; its title was "Le measure acre l'Oradour." This same book was also published in Germany. Reynouard says that he wrote in the preface to the book that he would gladly invite critics to have an honest discussion about what he had written. He claims that the representatives of the historical version would have dismantled his theories in a public argument if their official historical version were correct, but his opponents never noticed this offer in his book. Instead of discussing the matter, they preferred brutal censorship, Reynouard says.

In his article on the Internet, Reynouard says that, after his book was published, an intense media campaign against him began in the Limousin region where Oradour-sur-Glane is located. He claims that he was insulted, dragged into the dirt, and called a liar and a counterfeiter; he was never interviewed by the press and his answers to the accusations were never published. Only his opponents were heard. By September 1997, the sale of his book was forbidden in France by a decree of the Minister of the Interior, who was at that time, Jean- Pierre Chevènement.

Contrary to news reports about the case, Reynouard claims that he did not make a video about Oradour-sur-Glane, and that he did not send the video to two survivors of the massacre. The video was made by a group of activists in 1998 and 1999 to illustrate the arguments that Reynouard had made in his book. One month after it went on the market in January 2001, the video was banned in France. A friend of his had sent a copy of the video to the only two living survivors of the shooting in the barns, Robert Hebras and Marcel Darthout. This was proved in court by a handwriting analysis of the address on the envelope and a DNA analysis of the saliva on the stamps.

Reynouard says that the French authorities tried to accuse him of "denying a war crime," but the accusation had to be amended to "approval of a war crime." On May 16, 2001 his residence in Brussels was searched by Belgian policemen on orders from the French. They seized approximately 60 cardboard boxes containing books, papers and recordings. The offices of his publisher in Antwerp were also searched. Reynouard's passport was confiscated and he was forbidden to visit the area of France where Oradour is located.

On September 27, 2001, four years after Reynouard's book was banned, the French Minister of the Interior issued a decree which also banned the video in France.

The first court proceedings against Reynouard took place on November 18, 2003. During the proceedings, the judge refused to allow the video to be shown. Reynouard claims that the judge insured that he could not defend himself by interrupting him continuously. On December 12th, he

was convicted of "approval of a war crime," and sentenced to one year in prison with nine months of that time on proabation; he was also fined 10,000 euro.

His appeal was heard in court, starting on April 14, 2004, and on June 9, 2004, the final judgement was announced. The judges changed the original sentence, going beyond the demand of the prosecutor, and condemned Reynouard to two years in prison with 18 months of that time on probation. The fine was reduced to 3,000 euro with the money going to the three civil parties involved in the case: Marcel Darthout, a survivor of the massacre, the "Internation! League against Racism and Antisemitism," and the "Friends of the Association of the Memory of the Deportation." The Deportation was the name given to the sending of captured French resistance heroes to such Nazi concentration camps as Natzweiler-Struthof, Buchenwald and Dachau, where they were denied the rights of POWs, since they were illegal combatants under the Geneva Convention of 1929.

Reynouard ended his Internet article by claiming that his "enemies" had won legally, but they had lost intellectually because, in the seven years since he wrote his book, they have not answered his arguments, nor openly discussed the contents of the book with him. Instead, they preferred the protection of the law, prohibiting his work and demanding his arrest. Reynouard says that these circles would like to silence him, but they have inadvertantly caused the spreading of his arguments. In that, he is correct: the world-wide publicity about his case has cerainly spread his revisonist story about Oradour-sur-Glane.

http://www.scrapbookpages.com/Oradour-sur-Glane/Story/VincentReynouard.html

SUMMARIZATION

### Fablis: Online Encyclopedia: Holocaust denial

**Holocaust denial** is the claim that the Holocaust—the genocide committed against millions of people by Nazi Germany during World War II—either did not occur, was not nearly as great in magnitude as mainstream historians claim, was not purposely and centrally organized by the Nazi government, or did not particularly target Jews.

Holocaust denial is a criminal offense in Israel, France, Germany and Austria, punishable by fines and jail sentences.

### Holocaust denial and Holocaust revisionism

The term *Holocaust revisionism* is often used to describe Holocaust denial, but Holocaust denial is only a particular sort of Holocaust revisionism. *Historical revisionism* is an academic term used by historians to describe the reexamination and reviewing of the stories told as history; this is done with an eye to updating them with more recently discovered, more unbiased, or more accurate information. Mainstream historians are open to the possibility that history, as it has been traditionally told, may not be entirely accurate and it thus is subject to review. Historical revisionism in this sense is a well-accepted and mainstream part of history studies. It may be applied to the Holocaust as well as new facts emerge and change our understanding of its events.

The term *Holocaust revisionism* has relatively recently been adopted by many people that question the "official" Holocaust story. Their critics state that Holocaust deniers are not applying genuine historical revisionism. For example, Gordon McFee writes in his essay "Why Revisionism isn't" that:

"Revisionists" depart from the conclusion that the Holocaust did not occur and work backwards through the facts to adapt them to that preordained conclusion. Put another way, they reverse the proper methodology [...], thus turning the proper historical method of investigation and analysis on its head." [1]

In short, Holocaust revisionism is any revision of the current understanding of the Holocaust, and is done commonly by historians of the period; Holocaust *denial* is a particular type of drastic revisionism that denies either all or a substantial portion of the current understanding of the Holocaust, and is much less widely accepted. The usage of the two terms is further complicated by the fact that some Holocaust deniers also put forth other, less extreme, revisionist claims that may in fact turn out to be accepted by other historians.

### Beliefs of Holocaust deniers

Holocaust deniers make some or all of the following claims:

1. There was no specific order by Adolf Hitler or other top Nazi officials to exterminate the Jews, although their incarceration in concentration camps and use as slave labor in factories had that effect.

2. The Nazis did not use gas chambers to mass murder Jews.

3. The figure of six million Jewish deaths is an irresponsible exaggeration.

4. The film footage shown after the War was all specially manufactured as propaganda against the Nazis by the Allied forces;

5. That the claims of what the Nazis supposedly did to the Jews were all intended to facilitate the Allies in their intention to enable the creation of a Jewish homeland in Palestine;

6. That though crimes were committed, they were not centrally orchestrated and thus the Nazi leadership bore no responsibility for the implementation of such a policy.

The historical proof for the Holocaust is falsified or deliberately misinterpreted

8. There is an American, British or Jewish conspiracy to make Jews look like victims and to demonize Germans.

9. The overwhelming number of biased academics and historians are too afraid to actually admit that the Holocaust was a fiction; they know they will lose their jobs if they speak up.

### Holocaust denial examined

7.

Much of the controversy surrounding the claims of Holocaust deniers centers upon the methods used to present arguments that the Holocaust allegedly never happened. Numerous accounts have been given (including evidence presented in court cases) of claimed "facts" and "evidence;" however, independent research has shown these claims to be based upon flawed research, biased statements, and even deliberately falisified evidence. Opponents of Holocaust denial have compiled detailed, numerous accounts instances where this evidence has been altered or manufactured (see below, also see Nizkor Project and David Irving). Evidence presented by Holocaust deniers has also failed to stand up to scrutiny in courts (see Fred A. Leuchter) of law, further questioning its veracity.

Ken McVay, an activist who works to counter such claims on the Internet, described the *modus* operandi of Holocaust deniers in a 1994 interview:

"They'll cite a historical text: 'K.K. Campbell says on page 82 of his famous book that nobody died at Auschwitz.' Then you go to the Library of Congress and look up K.K. Campbell, page 82, and what you find he really said was, 'It was a nice day at Dachau.' They get away with this because they know goddamn well most people don't have time to rush off to the Library of Congress. But people read that and say to themselves, 'Who would lie about such a thing when it's so easy to prove them wrong? They must be telling the truth.' "- *Eye* magazine (online Web-based magazine), November 10, 1994

In some cases, while some facts presented are sound, the application of those facts to specific arguments is meaningless, and are simply used to bolster other arguments (in spite of their irrelevance). For example, in the *Leuchter report* (see below), a lack of significant cyanide traces in some gas chambers is measured some 50 years later, after 50 years of open-air weathering. While it is indeed factual, it is meaningless as an indicator of whether or not cyanide gas was ever used there.

In other cases, conflation of facts is used to mislead. A frequently-used photo shows a fairly flimsy gas chamber door. The intent is to confuse the reader into believing that gas chambers could not be practically used for extermination, because the victims would break down the door rather than be executed. While the photo is a real gas chamber door, it is not a door that was used on an extermination gas chamber; it is a door used on a de-lousing gas chamber.

Finally, many publications and statements by Holocaust deniers have been tainted by anti-Semitism. Critics of Holocaust denial have cited many examples where the arguments and proffered evidence have moved from neutral, scholarly presentations to blatant, biased personal attacks. Holocaust deniers have frequently used anti-Semitic terms such as "Zionist," "Jew-lover," and similar smears to describe their opponents.

### **Evidence of the Holocaust**

Evidence of the existence of the Holocaust was well documented by the German government itself. It was further well documented by the Allied forces who entered Germany and its associated Axis states towards the end of World War II. Among the evidence produced was film and stills of the existence of prisoner camps, as well as the testimony of those freed when the camps were entered.

The Holocaust was a massive undertaking that lasted for years across several countries, with its own command and control infrastructure. Although the Nazis made attempts to destroy the evidence of the Holocaust when they could see that their defeat was imminent, they left many tons of documents relating to the Holocaust. Due to the extremely rapid collapse of the Nazi forces at the end of the war, attempts to destroy evidence in Germany were for the most part unsuccessful.

After their defeat, many tons of documents were recovered, and many thousands of bodies were found not yet completely decomposed, in mass graves near many concentration camps. The physical evidence and the documentary proof included records of train shipments of Jews to the camps, orders for tons of cyanide and other poisons, and the remaining concentration camp structures. Interviews with survivors completed the picture.

As a result of the records produced, all mainstream historians agree that Holocaust denial is contrary to the facts of history.

### **Evidence for Hitler's complicity in the Holocaust**

Holocaust deniers cite the fact that there was never a blatant, unquestionable order written or signed by Adolf Hitler that specifically ordered the death of the Jewish populations of Germany or Poland. Critics counter this argument by noting that very few Nazi documents used such obvious terms as "murder" or "death" when addressing their actions. Almost always, they spoke and wrote with suggestive phrases such as "the final solution to the Jewish question" rather than "the destruction of the Jewish people." The most often-cited quote from Hitler regarding the elimination of the Jews in Europe comes from a 1939 speech, where he is quoted as saying:

"Today I want to be a prophet once more: If international finance Jewry inside and outside of Europe should succeed once more in plunging nations into another world war, the consequence will not be the Bolshevization of the earth and thereby the victory of Jewry, but the annihilation of the Jewish race in Europe."

Provided here is a photographic image of a report from Himmler to Hitler regarding the executions of prisoners in Nazi-occupied Russia. This was presented as evidence during the *Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuernberg Military Tribunals*, of Hitler's knowledge and approval of the executions of Jews and other targeted groups. A translation of the report can be found by clicking on the image.

### Evidence that gas chambers were used for killing

There have been claims by Holocaust deniers that the gas chambers built to massacre civilians never existed, and the structures identified as gas chambers actually served other purposes. However, the more common argument has been to claim that gas was not used to murder Jews and other victims, and that many gas chambers were also built after the war just for show. An often-quoted document advancing this theory is the "Leuchter Report" by Fred A. Leuchter, a scientific paper stating that no traces of cyanide were found when he examined samples taken from one of the Auschwitz gas chambers in 1999. This paper is used to further a common debating tactic, namely the suggestion that because no traces of cyanide were found in 1999, then no cyanide was used at all in Auschwitz, over fifty years earlier.

The cyanide used in Auschwitz and other extermination camps was created through activation of the pesticide Zyklon-B, which was used to exterminate prisoners by the thousands. Further investigation into the horrors of the death camps revealed that the most difficult part of the operation was the disposal of thousands of corpses after the executions had taken place; this required the construction of huge ovens to cremate the corpses.

Another piece of evidence Holocaust deniers frequently cite is the question of what happened to the ash after the bodies were cremated. Some speculate that some ash could have been used in fertilization experiments in crop fields, by the Germans.

The Institute for Historical Review publicly offered a reward of \$50,000 for verifiable "proof that gas chambers for the purpose of killing human beings existed at or in Auschwitz." Mel Mermelstein, a survivor of Auschwitz, submitted proof, which was then ignored. He then sued IHR and won the \$50,000 reward, plus \$40,000 in damages for personal suffering.

Nonetheless, holocause deniers still the question of gas chambers in spite of overwhelming evidence. Arno Mayer stated in *Why Did the Heavens Not Darken?:* "Sources for the study of the gas chambers are at once rare and unreliable."

### Evidence for the death toll

The figure "six million" (which is actually closer to *eleven* million, when counting the other ethnic, religious, and minority groups targeted for extinction) is often downgraded by claims to a figure of "only" one million deaths, or only three hundred thousand "casualties." Numerous documents archived and discovered after the war gave meticulous accounts of the exterminations that took place at the "death camps" (such as Auschwitz and Treblinka).

The most telling evidence is the testimony of thousands of survivors of the Holocaust, including the testimony of captured Nazi officers at the Nuremberg Trials. Holocaust deniers discount these accounts claiming that these witnesses were tortured. When asked to refute the numerous individual stories and official testimonies, the argument has been to construct an elaborate conspiracy theory involving a massive "Jewish plan" to plant forged documents across the continent of Europe, aided by the torture and forced confession of every single captured Nazi officer, soldier, and worker who testified at the war crimes tribunal.

Complicating the matter is the fact that various actual instances have been reported where the death toll of the death camps was overstated. The "Breitbard Document" describes a commemorative plaque at Auschwitz to the victims that died there, which read, *Four million people suffered and died here at the hands of the Nazi murderers between the years 1940 and 1945.* In 1990, a new plaque replaced the old one. It now says, *May this place where the Nazis assassinated 1,500,000 men, women and children, a majority of them Jews from diverse European countries, be forever for mankind a cry of despair and of warning.* The lower numbers are due to the fact that the Soviets "purposely overstated the number of non-Jewish casualties at Auschwitz-Birkenau", according to the Simon Wiesenthal Center (as printed in the Breitbard Document).

### Public reactions to Holocaust denial

France and Germany have passed legislation making it illegal to make claims equivalent to those of Holocaust denial. Many people who do not deny that the Holocaust occurred nevertheless oppose such restrictions of free speech, including Noam Chomsky. An uproar resulted when Serge Thion used one of Chomsky's essays as a foreword to a book of holocaust denial essays. Many Holocaust deniers see these laws as a confirmation of their own beliefs, arguing that the truth does not need to be legally enforced.

In the Middle East, the Syrian government, as well as the Palestinian Authority publish holocaust denial literature. These works are popular sellers in several Arab nations.

Many Neo-Nazi groups and people associated with them believe that the Holocaust never occurred.

Many Jews protest that Holocaust denial trivializes the suffering caused to victims of the Holocaust when it juxtaposes it with accounts of the millions (most popular estimate is 2.4 million, but some Holocaust deniers put the figure as high as 10 million) of Germans who died of starvation and from Russian pogroms immediately after WWII. They feel this is an attempt to make the Germans feel they don't deserve full blame for the war crimes of the Nazis, on the basis that the Soviets, British, and Americans committed similar war crimes without repercussions. This position is based on the work of James Bacque, Ernst Mayo, and others.

Recently the terms *Holocaust industry* and Shoah business, have come into vogue among those who believe Jewish leaders use the Holocaust for financial and political gain. The term *Holocaust industry* was coined by Norman Finkelstein, a Jew and the son of Auschwitz survivors who very much believes the Holocaust occurred, but also believes that its memory is being dishonestly exploited. However, the term has also been picked up by Holocaust deniers who believe the Holocaust was actually manufactured for the purpose of financial and political gain, although that usage is much less frequent.

### The Zündel trial

Canadian resident Ernst Zndel operates a small-press publishing house called Samisdat Publishing, which publishes and distributes Holocaust-denial material such as *Did Six Million Really Die?* by Richard Harwood aka Richard Verrall (a British neo-Nazi leader). In 1985, he was tried and convicted under a "false news" law and sentenced to 15 months imprisonment by an Ontario court for "disseminating and publishing material denying the Holocaust." Zündel gained considerable notoriety after this conviction, and a number of free-speech activists stepped forward to defend his right to publish his opinion. His conviction was overturned in 1992 when the Supreme Court of Canada declared the "false news" law unconstitutional.

Zündel established his own Web site to publicize his viewpoints.

### Ken McVay and *alt.revisionism*

In the mid-1990s, the popularity of the Internet brought new international exposure to many organizations, including Holocaust deniers and other groups. A number of authority figures stated publicly that the Internet allowed hate groups to introduce their messages to a widespread audience, and it was feared that Holocaust denial would gain in popularity as a result. But this was not the case, largely due to the efforts of Ken McVay and the participants in the Usenet newsgroup *alt.revisionism*.

McVay, a Canadian resident, was disturbed by the efforts of organizations like the Simon Wiesenthal Center to suppress the speech of the Holocaust deniers. On *alt.revisionism* he began a campaign of "truth, fact, and evidence," working with other participants on the newsgroup to uncover factual information about the Holocaust and counter the arguments of the deniers by proving them to be based upon misleading evidence, false statements, and outright lies. He founded the Nizkor Project to expose the activities of the Holocaust deniers, who responded to McVay with personal attacks and slander. McVay received a number of death threats, and the Nizkor Project soon became the number-one online foe of many Holocaust deniers, some of whom were neo-Nazis and white supremacists.

### The Irving affair

In 1998, the best-selling British historian David Irving filed suit against American author Deborah Lipstadt and her publisher Penguin Books, claiming that Lipstadt had libeled him in her book *Denying the Holocaust*. The statements made by Lipstadt included the accusation that Irving deliberately twisted and misrepresented evidence to conform to his ideological viewpoint. Under British law, which seeks primarily to protect the reputation of an individual, Lipstadt and her publisher bore the full burden of demonstrating not only that they had not shown "reckless disregard" for the truth (as would be the case in America), but also that the statements made were true.

Lipstadt and Penguin hired British lawyer Anthony Julius and Cambridge historian Richard J. Evans to present her case. Evans spent two years examining Irving's work, and presented evidence of Irving's misrepresentations, including that Irving had knowingly used forged documents as a source. The presiding judge, Charles Gray, was persuaded by the evidence presented by Evans and others and wrote a long and decisive verdict in favor of Lipstadt, calling Irving a "right-wing pro-Nazi polemicist", and confirming the accusations of Lipstadt and Evans.

Some journalists called the verdict a blow to free speech, although others said that it was Irving who had initiated legal action for damages from the publication of Lipstadt's work, and hence no one's speech was restricted.

http://encyclopedia.fablis.com/index.php/Holocaust\_denial

XXth CENTURY HOAX NEWS

# The Thirty-Nine Lashes Against the Hoaxacaust

### By Eli James

The following are 39 good reasons why you should not believe in the biggest myth of the Twentieth Century:

1.) Consider the source. All of the "evidence" presented at the Nuremberg trials came from two sources: Communists and Zionists. Neither of these groups could be considered impartial. All communists are liars; and all Zionists are tyrants who believe that critics of Zionism should have no public say. Many of these people, such as Leon Trotsky and David Ben-Gurion, were both communists and Zionists! Since the myth-makers cannot prove their contentions in an open, public, discussion, the only choice they have is to quash dissent by making "questioning the holocaust" a crime in every country in the world, except the USA, where freedom of speech is hanging by a thread.

2.) Zyklon B delousing gas was just that. In the days of World War II, typhus was a scourge which was transmitted by fleas and lice. Zyklon B was the treatment given to all prison internees, no matter what their religious background, because typhus had to be controlled. The claim that it was used to poison people is absurd because it was highly flammable. To use it for the purposes described by the hoaxers would have put all of the camp facilities at risk of fire and explosion. Signed confessions, extracted by Jewish and communist interrogators, say that the dead bodies, after death by gassing, were extracted by workers who were "drinking and smoking." Rudolph Hoess signed such a document, which was written for him. Hmm. Would you turn the gas on in your oven without lighting it, wait a while, and then walk into the room with a lit cigarette in your mouth? Obviously, the hoaxers had little technical knowledge when they made their ridiculous claims. In addition, the manufacturer of Zyklon B gas stated that, due to the adhesive qualities of the gas, it would require a 24-hour ventilation period before anyone could safely enter a room which had been used for such a purpose.

In addition, shaving heads was necessary in order to remove the breeding grounds of the lice.

3.) Killing six million people and disposing of their bodies is no small order for an army trying to conduct a war. Can you imagine the waste of fuel and other resources that would otherwise go to the war effort? Of the thousands of reconnaissance photos available from World War II, why are there no pictures of the fuel tanker convoys which would be needed to deliver the fuel for the crematoria? Why are there no pictures of the smokestacks, which were supposedly belching smoke day and night? The reality is that the Germans could hardly afford to waste fuel for such a purpose. Everyone agrees that the Germans kept tremendous records for efficiency and documentation purposes. Where are the records of these fuel shipments?

Think, think, think, you gullible Christian! The Zionists are laughing at you!

4.) Auschwitz, one of the largest concentration camps, had only about twenty ovens in its crematory. The crematoria at the various concentration camps were very crude and required lots of fuel and many hours to consume one body. One researcher has calculated that, given the exact number of ovens available and hours per body, the ovens would have to be going day and night from the War's beginning until 1975 before that many bodies could be cremated. Are you beginning to comprehend the absurdity of such a claim? Yet, it is repeated by the Zionist-dominated bias machine on an almost daily basis, and it is force-fed to our school children at taxpayer expense and Zionist urging.

5.) If the bodies were not cremated as many hoaxers suggest, then where are the skeletons of all these bodies? Surely a few dozen would have turned up by now. Or did the Germans find some super-secret body disposal system that no one yet knows of?

6.) Would the Germans go out of their way to provide fuel for the crematoria when their airplanes and tanks were desperately in need of fuel? Not once have I heard anyone accuse the Germans of being stupid. Toward the end of the war, the Germans lost battles because lack of fuel. Would they be so stupid is to redirect fuel to the camps for "the ovens" when battles are at stake?

7.) Jews were singled out for special interrogation because so many of them were communists. The camp directors had to determine whether these Jews were harmless or dangerous - whether they would make reliable workers or just be troublemakers. Those who were determined to be useful workers were allowed many privileges, including the formation of orchestras. If the Jews were automatically gassed (killed), how would any of these privileges be considered, let alone established? The mere fact that there are so many Jewish survivors of concentration camps is evidence against the hoax.

8.) During the entire length of the War, the International Red Cross had constant access to the concentration camps. They delivered mail and packages containing food and clothing. The International Red Cross, despite this constant presence, never once filed a complaint or report about "the ovens of Auschwitz" or any other camp. It truly begs credulity to think that not a single Red Cross agent ever became suspicious, unless, of course, there was nothing to be suspicious about.

9.) The Nuremberg trials were conducted by the OSS (Office of Strategic Services, forerunner of the CIA) at the behest of the Zionist International. The Israeli State did not yet exist. The vast bulk of "evidence" was provided by the pro-Zionist Soviet Union. Neither of these two organizations could possibly be considered fair or trustworthy. Again, consider the source.

10.) Confessions were obtained under duress and torture. "It was this same War Crimes Branch which itself administered the nightmarish trials at Dachau, where prisoners were beaten, kicked, starved, and brutalised by Jewish-American jailers." -- Richard Harwood, from Nuremberg and Other War Crimes Trials, p. 14. In true gangland style, the accused were told that their family members would be hurt or killed if they did not cooperate. Techniques of interrogation included beatings, starvation, testicle smashing and other forms of torture. The German prisoners were often so badly beaten that they could not be brought into the courtroom for fear of demonstrating their adverse treatment. The State of Israel today continues to use torture and murder as part of their terrorist campaign. Amnesty International has repeatedly cited Israel for such violations of human rights.

11.) There were no more than 4 million Jews living in Nazi-occupied territory. As the Nazi army advanced, the Jews fled rather than be captured. On June 30, 1965, the West German government announced that 3,375,000 Jewish "holocaust survivors" had applied for reparations. Now, if we subtract 3,375,000 from 4,000,000, that leaves 625,000 unaccounted for. If we take 625,000 and add a zero to the figure, we arrive at the fictitious and truly fantastic six million lie.

12.) According to the World Almanac of 1947, the world population of Jews in 1939 was 15,688,259. These figures were supplied to the Almanac by the American Jewish Committee. Then, the Jewish-owned New York Times of Feb. 22, 1948 listed the Jewish population in Palestine as 600,000 to 700,000; and for the rest of the world, the Jewish population is listed as 15,600,000 to 18,700,000. If we add the two lower figures together, we get a minimum total of 16,200,000 Jews world-wide, which is still a net increase in the world Jewish population of at least 500,000. If six million Jews had really died, then the total should have been 10,200,000.

But since the whole six million myth was just in the process of being fabricated by the world Zionist propaganda machine, these accurate figures came out before the hoaxacaust figures could be subtracted from the equation. Considering the fact that populations generally decrease during times of war - which it most certainly did for the German people and other nationalities - it is quite astounding that the Jewish population actually increased during this time. The fact is that the vast majority of Jews simply relocated to other parts of the world: Palestine, Russia, America, South America, etc.

13.) According to a pamphlet by Dr. E.R. Fields, entitled Was There Really a Holocaust?, the Red Cross reported in 1946 that of registered Jewish camp inmates, no more than 300,000 could have died. In item #11 above, we were willing to grant that possibly 625,000 were unaccounted for. If half of them died in the concentration camps and the other half died or disappeared to some other country, then our figures agree.

14.) Heinrich Himmler, Chief Commandant of the Concentration Camps, issued an order, dated Dec. 28, 1942, stating: "The death rate in the concentration camps must be reduced at all costs." - from Reitlinger's book, The Final Solution. Ladies and gentlemen, the Germans were fighting a war, and they needed the productive capacity of the inmates of the camps to produce war material. An extermination policy would have shifted vital resources away from production to waste. Isn't this holocaust claim incredible just from this consideration alone?

15.) One of the Nuremberg documents, a letter of April 10, 1943, was issued by Oswald Pohl, the head of the Economic Administration of the concentration camps. After addressing the above-cited order by Himmler, the mortality rate of the camps had been reduced from 8.5% in July, 1942 to 2.8% in June, 1943. Given an extermination policy, why would there be any concern for mortality rates?

16.) Numerous claims of the hoaxers have been amended over time because the claims were obviously exaggerated or invented. In April 1975, Simon Wiesenthal of the Los Angeles Holocaust Center was forced to admit in the paper, Books and Bookmen, "No gassing took place in any camp on German soil." At that time, Auschwitz and other camps were still in the possession of the Soviets, who would not allow serious researchers into these camps to validate holocaust claims. We expect that, with full disclosure, Mr. Wiesenthal will be forced to admit that no gassing ever took place in any camp.

17.) The Red Cross interviewed thousands of freed camp inmates at the end of the war. When asked if they witnessed any alleged "gassings," the response was negative. From Red Cross document #9925, June, 1946, the entry states: "The detainees themselves have not spoken of them." How can you gas six million Jews and nobody notices?

18.) Photos of emaciated bodies, both alive and dead, are not proof of an extermination campaign. The fact is that toward the end of the war, the Allies were bombing railroads and roads to the point of obliteration. This meant that valuable food, medicine, Red Cross packages, and other supplies were no longer reaching the camps. This was the real reason for the malnutrition, not to mention the serious side effects of malnutrition, which would be an increase in all types of disease.

The same thing happened in America during the Civil War. Northern prisoners in the Southern camp of Andersonville were also emaciated to the point of being only skin and bone. The commander of the camp, at his trial, said that he simply had no supplies with which to feed the inmates and he was not allowed to set them free. Pictures of these prisoners could easily be substituted for WWII inmates and no one would know the difference.

One thing we know for sure: The Jews are good at writing captions.

Please note that the published photos of concentration camp inmates show relatively well-fed people lining up for the showers. All of the photos of dead bodies being bulldozed show emaciated bodies. If they were gassed and killed immediately, as the hoaxers claim, there would be some photographic evidence of well-fed people being bulldozed into graves. There is none.

19.) In 1943, the SS arrested Buchenwald Commandant Karl Koch for mistreating and executing prisoners. He was found guilty by SS Judge Konrad Morgan and was executed for his crimes. If there were an extermination policy in force, would they bother trying and executing Commandant Koch? Again, these were work camps designed to assist the war effort. An extermination policy would have required tremendous resources and effort. It would have disrupted the crucial work that was going on and it would have risked undermining the war effort.

20.) Cremating 6,000,000 bodies in order to dispose of the evidence would have left 15,000 tons of ashes. No such volume of ashes was ever found, and no air reconnaissance ever revealed enormous clouds of smoke, and certainly there was never any such total of bodies found. It is only when one considers the enormous lack of evidenceof a holocaust - all the physical matter that would have been left over from such a campaign (six million corpses?!!!!) and the sheer magnitude of such an undertaking - then the hoax becomes obvious. It is only the hoaxers themselves and those who have not really thought about the physical details who believe in this tragic farce.

It is quite certain that the Germans did not have enough fuel to consume anywhere near that amount of bodies. One of the hoaxers, Mr. Henri Michel, editor-in-chief of the Revue d'Histoire De La Seconde Guerre Mondial, exclaimed of Auschwitz: "This camp was the most international and the most

western of the death factories, and its soil is enriched with the ashes of 4,000,000 corpses." -- p. 3. The dust cloud of crematoria smoke from such a volume of corpses would certainly have prompted some aerial reconnaissance photos, don't you think? Where is the evidence? Why is there not one single photo of the crematoria smokestacks belching out their tons of ashes?

21.) William L. Shirer, one of those authors who continued the hoaxacaust story in his book, The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich, was forced to admit in a footnote on the crematoria: "... the measurements are those which are standard for a crematory oven of not very modern design intended for small cemeteries, and would be unsuitable for mass burning." This footnote occurs on p. 972 of the German edition only. The rest of the world is still in the midst of the Zionist Dark Age.

22.) Thies Christopherson, a German soldier and author stated: "I was at Auschwitz! There was no gas chamber there." Now, remember, this man does not practice the religion of lies. His statement was proven to be true when, in 1960, Auschwitz was opened to tourists and no gas chamber could be found. What a disappointment this must have been for the tourists! Later, in order to make up for this communist oversight (they didn't have much experience at developing capitalist-style theme parks), the Soviets built one themselves! No doubt, the money for construction was provided by some Zionist or rabbinical organization.

23.) The so-called Diary of Anne Frank was not written by Anne Frank at all. Photocopies of the supposed manuscript show two distinct styles of handwriting, one which is not handwriting at all but printing, and the other a very smooth handwriting angled at two o'clock. At least half of the manuscript was handwritten in ballpoint pen. The ballpoint pen, invented during WWII, was not commercially available until after the war. In addition, the American Jewish writer, Meyer Levin, sued Anne Frank's father and won an award of \$50,000 for his participation in the writing of "Anne Frank's" supposed diary. This fact was only brought to light because one Jew tried to cheat another.

24.) Although death by starvation and disease had increased toward the end of the war, the videos of huge piles of dead bodies in civilian clothing were most often footage which was filmed in Dresden. Dresden was devastated by the firebombing raids of the Allies on February 13 and 14, 1945. This was one of the greatest wartime atrocities in history, because Dresden had become, in effect, a large refugee camp for German women, children, wounded soldiers, and old men. Dresden was one of Germany's main artistic centers and had virtually no industry located in its vicinity. The Allies knew this and yet they bombed the city mercilessly for two nights, apparently to dispose of war ordnance which would otherwise go to waste, for Germany at that point was a defeated nation and the war was nearing its end. Why not kill as many unarmed civilians as you can? Who will know?

The German refugees thought that Dresden would be a safe haven because of its lack of industry. They were, sadly, wrong. They could not imagine that there would be such immense Zionist bloodlust in command of the U.S. and British military. You can imagine the horror of those who thought they would be safe in Dresden until the end of the war! The war criminals have successfully blocked out this war crime from the history books; but I am sure that Yahweh God will remember those who are responsible.

25.) The Nuremberg trials were an absolute farce. One of the most damning conditions of the trials agreed to by the Allies in the August 1945 London Agreement was "The Tribunal shall not be bound by technical rules of evidence. It shall adopt and apply, to the greatest possible extent, expeditious and non-technical procedure, and shall submit any evidence which it deems to have probative value."

In other words, let's railroad these "war criminals" as quickly as possible, so we can go home and collect our medals. How would you like to be tried by a court which does not abide by "rules of evidence?" A mere technicality, you say? Can you see the kangaroos hopping around? Except, these kangaroos have hooked noses and wear yarmulkas now and then.

26.) The fact that American Jews were allowed to be prosecutors and judges is a travesty in itself. The fact that many of them, if not all, were merely instruments of the Zionist International makes the entire proceeding suspect because of a lack of impartiality. No court would consider it impartial if an agent of the accuser were to be allowed to be a prosecutor or a judge. Yet, this is exactly what happened at the Nuremberg trials. Unfortunately, the American people were not aware of these shady Zionist dealings. They assumed, as people still assume today, that the Nuremberg trials were held in accordance to standard procedures.

Regarding the London Agreement, Harwood says: "...the same people who drew up the arrangements for Nuremberg promptly had themselves appointed as prosecutors and judges. Nikitchenko and Falco became judges. Jackson was American Chief Presecutor, Fyfe was Deputy-Chief British Prosecutor. Thus, virtually the same people were judges, prosecution, and court." -- p. 14. And you were told that the Nuremberg trials were fair and impartial?

27.) Incriminating documents were simply fabricated by the Soviets. In his opening speech at the War Crimes Tribunal, Chief Prosecutor Robert H. Jackson appeared to be quoting from a document which he ascribed to Baron Werner von Fritsch, the pre-war Commander-in-Chief of the German Armed Forces. In fact, he was quoting from a typewritten document of unknown origin. No original document was ever produced. (You can imagine how many clerks and other military personnel were recruited by the Zionists, at U.S. and British expense, to produce "documents." Oops! I shouldn't encourage you to use your imagination. There's been too much of that already! Even if you've only heard the Zionist version of these events, it would only be prudent to exercise some common sense and good old-fashioned skepticism before accepting their story without criticism. It seems that only the Jews routinely get away without scrutiny. Why is that?)

28.) Budd Schulberg, Jewish film producer, was recruited by the OSS to produce the photographic and film "evidence" at Nuremberg. Again, lack of impartiality at its worst. He received a military reward for his efforts. Surprising that he didn't get an Academy Award as well! The Jews of Russia (Khazaria), America, Britain and Israel were the prosecution, the judge, the jury, the newspaper reporters and the film crew. The poor, persecuted Jew has no influence at all! Why do the goyim hate him so?

29.) Paul Rassinier, author of The Drama of the European Jews, relates that many of the hoaxers, authors who had profited from the hoax, could not verify their claims. One author, Dr. Miklos Nyiszli, claimed to have been to Aushwitz but gave travel directions which made absolutely no sense. Professor Rassinier attempted to contact Dr. Nyiszli about such discrepancies and received from him a reply through a Mr. Tibere Kremer. As it turned out, Dr. Nyiszli had long since died, so even the reply was a forgery. Says Dr. Rassinier: "... this dead witness - another one - was peculiar enough himself to write to me after his death. And so Mr. Tibere Kremer's silence is understandable. No further comment." -- p. 41. This incident should give the reader an idea of the quality of the "evidence" which has been presented in the hoaxacaust literature.

When faced with a mountain lies, at least some statements must be true, right? Not necessarily.

30.) Prof. Rassinier's book is a detailed examination of Jewish populations in virtually every country of Europe, including Poland and Russia, from 1931 to 1945. According to Rassinier's statistics, in 1931 Europe had 9,777,500 documented Jews (figures from the World Center of Contemporary Jewish Documentation). The population that remained in these locations in 1945 was 4,250,000. The emigrants who left Europe altogether is numbered at 4,416,608. These people went to three main locations: Palestine (the Israeli state had not yet been created), Central Asia (Soviet Union) and the United States. So, the total, documented numbers of living European Jews in 1945, according to Rassinier, was 8,666,608. If we subtract the documented number of survivors from the documented pre-war population, we have a total of 1,003,392 unaccounted for. Of these, a certain number must have died from causes unrelated to the war. If we grant that half of them died from such causes, then we have a total of about 500,000 Jews who perished in the war. This is consistent with our previous totals from items 11 and 13.

31.) At the Nuremberg trials, Philip Auerbach, the Jewish attorney-general for post-war Bavaria was denounced by SS General Otto Ohlendorf. Auerbach had claimed the absurd figure of 11 million Jews having suffered in the concentration camps. "Ohlendorf lived long enough to see Auerbach convicted for embezzlement and fraud (forging documents purporting to show huge payments of compensation to non-existent people) before his own execution took place in 1951." -- p. 10-11, The True History of "The Holocaust," Did Six Million Really Die? - by the Institute for Historical Review.

32.) It has been frequently reported by American prisoners of war that the Germans treated them much more humanely than the Japanese. The same is true for the civilian prisoners in the concentration camps. Many of these people were arrested for being communist spies. Hundreds of affidavits described the circumstances at the camps as "humane." "One Berta Schirotschin, who worked at the food service at Dachau throughout the war, testified that the working inmates... received their customary second

breakfast at 10 a.m. every morning... The Polish underground leader, Jan Piechowiak, who was at Dachau from May 22nd, 1940 until April 29th, 1945, also testified on March 21st, 1946 that prisoners there received good treatment." -- p. 21, Did Six Million Really Die?

33.) Elie Wiesel has a poor memory. According to the New York Times of Sunday, January 4, 1987, Wiesel recalled "the day the Soviets arrived at Auschwitz." Previously, in a speech to the National Press Club in Washington D.C., in April, 1983, Wiesel "noted that on April 15, 1945, he was one of the survivors liberated at Dachau by the U.S. Army." - Jewish Telegraph Agency, April 11, 1983. "Oy, vey! I remember it like it vas yesterday" we can hear him sighing. Is this two lies or only one? Wiesel would have the distinction of being the only prisoner of war to be liberated from two different camps. Talk about bragging rights! The father of lies is truly proud of this weasel.

34.) In flagrant violation of the principle of freedom of speech, the Zionists impose their will on every organization they possibly can in order to prevent people from speaking out against the hoax. In Germany, it is against the law to deny the holocaust. Numerous people have been imprisoned for making public statements against the mythology. This fact should infuriate anyone who believes in freedom of speech.

35.) The holocaust industry makes millions of dollars every year promoting this holocaust trash. In literary circles, it is still a "hot ticket." Truth be damned. Money talks.

36.) The Soviets brought out a bunch of "human skin" artifacts and "human soap" (presumably dusting off the trunks from their WWI displays), but the Tribunal chose not to pursue the matter any further.

37.) One of the chief presiding judges, Charles F. Wennerstrum, denounced the proceedings: "If I had known seven months ago what I know today, I would never have come here... Obviously, the victor in any war is not the best judge of the war crime guilt...The prosecution has failed to maintain objectivity aloof from vindictiveness, aloof from personal ambitions for convictions...the defense had access only to those documents which the prosecution considered material to the case... also abhorrent to the American sense of justice is the prosecution's reliance upon self-incriminating statements made by the defendants while prisoners for more than 2&1/2 years and repeated interrogations without the presence of counsel." - Harwood, p. 39, 40. But we are not dealing with the American sense of justice. We are dealing with the Talmudic, Zionist sense of justice. Dare we call it Satanic in origin? Judge Wennerstrum went to Nuremberg expecting to dispense justice. Instead, he was asked to rubber stamp a show trial.

38.) Many prisoners of the War Crimes Tribunal were kept in prison, held without charges being filed for months. This included even the wives of the suspects. (Harwood, p. 57.)

39.) Holocaust propaganda has changed with the times. Truth is not flexible. Lies are. No consistent flow of information has ever been established by the hoax industry. New charges and claims arise, all without any substantiation. Old ones dissolve, depending upon which charges have been refuted or not. We all know that the original story was that the Germans kept their "extermination program" a secret. How else could it have happened, anyway? Recently, the hoaxers, contradicting this theory, have claimed that it was common knowledge in those days that the exterminations were going on, but that nobody did anything about it. This claim has been fabricated for the sole purpose of trying to indict Pope Pius XII for "not helping the Jews." But how can you prevent something that isn't happening?

I remember very clearly as a child around 1954 standing in a mom-and-pop grocery store. Two Jewish women were reacting to a radio news story about the holocaust. I will never forget what the one woman said to the other: "If I had known they were being gassed, I would have never gotten on the train." So, obviously, six million others didn't know about it either! And she also survived, whatever camp she was in; and, from her statement, she did not witness any gassings either, because this was the first she had heard about it! Sooner or later, the liar gets caught in his lies. The fact that he owns all the newspapers will not prevent this eventuality.

Never underestimate the power of the BIG LIE. The Jews are the masters of it. My personal opinion is the Zionists decided to promote this myth in order to cover up their own involvement with Nazi Germany in transferring Jews from Germany to Palestine. They needed a smoke screen and a continuous barrage of propaganda to clamp the lid down on any criticism of their WWII maneuverings.

The more newspaper space that is taken up by their lies, the less room there is for the truth. As the previous stories have revealed, the Zionists have much to hide.

#### Judgment Day Perspectives Newsletter, Volume 2, #6: (19 Jul 2005)

Judgement Day Perspectives is the sole property of Eli James. Eli James is the author of the book, The Great Impersonation, How the Anti-Christ Has Deceived the Whole World. This book can be purchased by sending a check or money order for \$37 to ANP, POB 411373, Chicago IL 60641. It can also by purchased through PayPal. Simply log onto www.Paypal.com and locate my email address, ejecrci@aol.com, where you can pay via credit card. To get the full story as to why Zionism is the 8th Beast of Revelation, please go to our website, www.anglo-saxonisrael.com, and read the article entitled "The 8th Beast of the Apocalypse." Eli is also on internet radio twice a week on www.Live365.com Wednesdays and Thursdays at 7 p.m., Central time. The show is "By Yahweh's Design," hosted by Pastor Dan Johns, who is on every weeknight. Also, Eli James is on live at www.remnantradio.org Sunday mornings at 9 am Pacific time.

### SECRET

Mark Twain's true opinion of the Jews is probably the best kept secret in American literary history. Immediately after his death, his eccentric daughter Clara married a Jewish piano player, Otto Gabriilowitsch. Twain's publishers were given speedy instructions to delete "Concerning the Jews" from the collected works, where it had appeared in the Book THE MAN THAT CORRUPTED HADLEYBURG AND OTHERS STORIES. The following is taken from *Harper's* Monthly Magazine, September 1899, as quoted in William Grimstad's ANTI-ZION:

# CONCERNING THE JEWS by Mark Twain

Can fanaticism alone account for the persecution of the Jews? It is now my conviction that it is responsible for hardly any of it.

In this connection I call to mind Genesis, chapter 47. We have all read the story of the years of plenty and the years of famine in Egypt, and now Joseph with that opportunity, made a corner in broken hearts, and the crusts of the poor, and human liberty---a corner whereby he took away the nation's money to the last penny; took a nation's livestock all away, to the last hoof; took a nation's land all away, to the last acre. Then he took the nation itself, buying it for bread, man by man, woman by woman, child by child, till all were slaves; a corner which took everything, leaving nothing, a corner so stupendous that by comparison with it, the most gigantic corners in subsequent history are but baby things; for it dealt in hundreds of millions of bushels, and its profits were reckoned by the hundreds of millions of dollars. It was a disaster so crushing that its effects have not wholly disappeared from Egypt even today, more than 3,000 years after the event.

Was Joseph establishing a character for his race which survived long in Egypt, and in time would his name be familiarly used to express that character---like Shylock's? It was hardly to be doubted. Let us remember that this was centuries before the Crucifixion.

In the U. S. cotton states, after the war, the Jew came down in force, set up shop on the plantation, supplied all the negroes' wants on credit, and at the end of the season was the proprietor of the negro's share of the present crop and part of the next one. Before long the whites detested the Jew.

The Jew is being legislated out of Russia. The reason is not concealed. The movement was instituted because the Christian peasant stood no chance against his commercial abilities. The Jew was always ready to lend on a crop. When settlement day came, he owned the crop, and the next year he owned the farm, like Joseph.

In the England of John's time everybody got into debt to the Jew. He gathered all lucrative enterprises into his hands. He was the King of Commerce. he had to be banished from the realm. For like reasons, Spain had to banish him 400 years ago, and Austria a couple of centuries later.

In all ages, Christian Europe has been obliged to curtail his activities. If he entered upon a trade, the Christian had to retire from it. If he set up as a doctor, he took the business. If he exploited agriculture, the other farmers had to get at something else. The law had to step in to save

the Christian from the poorhouse. Still, almost bereft of employments, he found ways to make money. Even to get rich. This history has a most sordid and practical look. Religious prejudices may account for one part of it, but not for the other nine.

Protestants have persecuted Catholics---but they did not take their livelihoods from them. Catholics have persecuted Protestants---but they never closed agriculture and the handicrafts against them. I feel convinced that the Crucifixion has not much to do with the world's attitude toward the Jew; the reasons for it are much older than that event...

I am convinced that the persecution of the Jew is not in any large degree due to religious prejudice. No, the Jew is a money-getter. He has made it the end and aim of his life. He was at it in Rome. He has been at it ever since. His success has made the whole human race his enemy.

You will say that the Jew is everywhere numerically feeble. When I read in the Encyclopedia Britannica that the Jewish population of the United States was 250,000, I wrote to the editor and explained to him that his figures were without doubt a misprint for 25,000,000. People told me that they had reason to suspect that for business reasons, many Jews did not report themselves as Jews. It looks plausible. I am strongly of the opinion that we have an immense Jewish population in America. I am assured by men competent to speak that the Jews are exceedingly active in politics....

ZIONISM IS EVIL

# We, the People vs. Zionism

### by Anisa Abd el Fattah

### Introduction

Exactly what Zionism is or is not, does not seem to be of much interest to many Americans who are accustomed to hearing the word "Zionism" in respect to the Biblical idea, and who perhaps have not made the connection between Zionism and neo-conservatism in the United States. Unsavory Zionist ideologues and propagandist among Evangelicals and the Christian right have made a point of purposely blurring any distinctions between spiritual Zion, and the Jewish supremacist ideology called Zionism. They do this hoping to keep America's faithful pumping their hard earned tax dollars, and charity into Israel, with false claims that Israel is Biblical Zion, and that Zionism is a messianic message.

Outside of the church, the US corporate media, owned and operated by leading Zionists, also do their part to keep the general public off balance on this identity issue. They make it a point to steer any public scrutiny or criticism of Zionist political misconduct in the US towards non-Jewish elected officials. The media does this, perhaps hoping that all questions that might lead to the discovery that Zionism is a political theory that has adherents who are operating in our government, might be avoided. Creating even more confusion is the fact that Zionist operatives in our government and their supporters are called "neo-conservatives" instead of Zionist. They are called this even though their political outlook is uniquely liberal, and most are former democrats who are fanatically loyal to the idea of a Jewish State that is exclusively for Jews. One of neo-conservatism's, or rather one of Zionism's leading American intellectuals, Professor Harvey Mansfield of Harvard University, admitted in an interview on Book TV that he is actually a liberal, and changed his political veneer only upon recommendation of some "neo-conservative" colleagues.

When it comes to Zionist activism, and indeed they have organizations that clearly and unashamedly promote Zionism, and that seek to protect the supreme Zionist initiative, which is Israel, there is no ambiguity about who they are, and what their objectives are. Their activism is protected in the United States by our Constitution that protects the right to have ideas, to organize around those ideas, and to raise funds, to associate and even to assemble and speak, so long as such activity stays within certain bounds. The Constitution does not protect the violation of the constitutional rights of others, libel and slander, and defamation. It does not protect seditious speech, or encouragement of treasonous behavior. Representatives of these organizations regularly appear in US Congressional hearings to recommend that our US Constitution be ignored. subjected to novel interpretations, and that the rights of certain groups, i.e., Muslims, be either curtailed, or eliminated all together. Whereas they will do anything and everything to promote and secure the so-called right for an exclusively "Jewish State" to exist, they vehemently oppose any semblance of religion in the US, except of course Judaism. They have made it their life's work to prevent the establishment of an Islamic state anywhere in the world, and have led a defamation campaign against Islam and Muslims for years, that is very similar to the Cold war that the US waged against communism. Still, they are not the big problem. The bigger problem facing the American people is that we have Zionists in our government who are not identified as such. Rather, they have cloaked themselves under the mantle of true conservatism, while accommodating their unique worldview by claiming to be "neo" or new conservatives. This is more than merely a political hoax. It is a dangerous deception, since its primary purpose has been to mislead the American people to believe that neo-conservatives are in fact a legitimate political grouping that adheres to, and seeks to advance a traditional American political outlook that is popular, and that is consistent with conservative values. The exact opposite is true. Neo-conservatives are actually the political arm of international Zionism, or Jewish supremacism, operating here in the United States. Their political outlook is anything but traditionally American, and is void of any appearance of American values.

The "neo-conservative" cloak worn by Zionists in the US is designed to bring legitimacy to a political, economic and social ideology called Zionism that is repugnant to most people who are not Jewish, and also to a growing number of Jews.

In June of 2004, *Ha'aretz*, one of Israel's leading newspapers published an article, "Do not have children if they will not be healthy." In the article the writer bemoans the racist underpinnings of Zionism and the Zionist goal to perfect the Jewish race through eugenics. What she wrote also brings to mind the Hurricane Katrina debacle, and the reports of euthanasia and other strange occurrences that occurred in New Orleans following the storm. The author, Tamara Traubman wrote,

Castrating the mentally ill, encouraging reproduction among families "numbered among the intelligentsia" and limiting the size of families of "Eastern origin" and "preventing... lives that lack purpose"-these proposals are not far from the Third Reich, but were brought up by key figures in the Zionist establishment of the land of Israel. There was a great deal of enthusiasm here for the improvement of the hereditary characteristics of a particular race (Jews).

Since Zionism is a racial supremacism, it cannot fit easily, neatly or legitimately into the mainstream of US political thought and activity. Indeed, according to US law, much of the Zionist ideology and agenda is illegal since it is blatantly racist and discriminatory. It is contrary to everything that the US professes to stand for. Zionism's ideological premise is anathema to our founding ideals and philosophy, which is that all people, and not only Jewish people, were given rights by the Creator, and that all people are equally interdependent, and share common imperfections in our humanness. By contrast the Talmud, which is their book of guidance, says that there must be two laws, one for Jews, and another for inferior non-Jews.

The need to appear legitimate is extremely important to a movement whose ideals are so abhorrent, and starkly offensive to the American ideal. Zionist could never win an election, and so that they can only govern, or rule by stealth, or rather by proxy, through non-Jewish elected officials who lend them not only intellectual legitimacy, but also the political legitimacy that is earned through the elections process. They are the incarnation of mythical "Grimerwormtongues," wretches who secretly rule, and overturn Kingdoms by merely sitting close to the king, into whose ears they pump flatteries and lies, and upon whom they cast magic spells, a metaphor for very effectual propaganda.

The legitimacy of a governing authority, and its accountability to the voting populace are part of what distinguish representative governments from tyrannies and totalitarian regimes, and why Zionist cannot operate openly in our government. Has anyone noticed that over every major neocon operative in the White House, there is a powerful elected official that serves as a "buck stops here" buffer that keeps the unaccountable neo-cons from public scrutiny and accountability, and free to operate, and it also ironically keeps their shields mostly silent? Remember that unfortunate homosexual governor of New Jersey? His resignation was a falling upon the sword that should have been honored, since by resigning and telling his own story he took power away from a Zionist insider who was possibly undermining the security of the state of New Jersey. Instead of being seen for what it was, this act of bravery was treated as a "gay outing" by the media, and trivialized as a sex scandal.

Only the Pentagon had no such shield over neo-cons, Douglas Feith, Richard Perle and Paul Wolfowitz, who were supposedly under Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld's authority. Rumsfeld is not an elected official. Now, (watch this) once the Valerie Plame investigation spread beyond the White House to the Pentagon, the media reports that Vice President Cheney, and not Rumsfeld was actually overseeing the flow of pre-war intelligence, and decision making for the Pentagon leading up to the Iraq war. That change in authority lines Cheney up to take the fall once the people begin to demand explanations for the needless deaths of our children in war in Iraq, a war that was premised upon a lie. Accountability makes politicians vulnerable to public sentiment, and guess what else? It gives credibility to public policies that are formulated, and implemented, recommended and advocated by our elected officials. To be accountable is a check upon power, which means that public policies are legitimized by the fact that a legitimately elected public official, who represents the people, and is accountable to them, oversaw the development and implementation of the policy. Since policy failure is not a crime, the most that elected officials, who in most legal cases also enjoy some type of immunity, can be blamed for is poor judgement. Zionist underling operatives are never charged or accused of anything at all. Ironically, or perhaps conveniently, most neo-con underlings are career employees that man their posts regardless of which party is elected to lead the country, and they are hired, and moved up the ranks, usually by recommendation, and not job performance. A good example is the former head of FEMA. Michael Brown was recommended by Joseph Lieberman, a Zionist and a Democrat, and appointed to that job during the reign of a Republican administration, even though he was not qualified by most accounts.

How Zionists and their supporters subvert the public will, violate international laws and create international chaos on behalf of Israel

The protective shield that guarded Zionist operatives in the US from public scrutiny and accountability at times seemed impenetrable. Until the controversy surrounding the Valerie Plame case, no one in the media or the government dared even mention to the US public what was actually going on in the White House and Pentagon prior to the Irag war. A former Colin Powell aide named Lawrence Wilkerson broke silence on this issue. Wilkerson described the White House in the New York Times article, "Former Powell Aide says Bush Policy is run by "Cabal," as a "cabal between the Vice President of the United States, Richard Cheney, and the Secretary of Defense, Donald Rumsfeld." He added that in his years of government service he had never seen "the US security apparatus so twisted" and called it "bastardization, aberration, and perturbations." Note that Wilkerson never mentions President Bush in his remarks, but the New York Times makes a point of including Bush's name, not in the article, but in the headline. The NY Time's implication is that the alleged cabal, and its activities were either rubber stamped, or overlooked by the highest ranking public and elected official in the US, President George W. Bush. This raises again, the issue of accountability, also immunity and might show further how Zionist in our government places themselves within close proximity to power that is protected. In this way they can manipulate US policies with propaganda and fake intelligence, or by withholding intelligence. More importantly they are shielded from public scrutiny and accountability by these associations. Without fail, the Zionist controlled media will always deflect public scrutiny from the Zionist operative's role, and focus our attention to the elected official for accountability, and scrutiny.

It seems pretty obvious, following the invasion of Iraq, and the deaths of more than 2000 US soldiers, that neo-con loyalty is first and foremost to Israel. Federal laws make it almost impossible to remove them from our government. Unless they commit a crime, there is no way to fire them, without first having to struggle with the powerful Federal employee's union. Even if they commit crimes, since they work in the shadows and under elected non-Jewish officials, their shady conduct is likely to never be deemed criminal. The media will call it misguided, wrong, or "rough political play" because they claim to follow orders, and to be dedicated to powerful political personalities and popular and justifiable causes. If you criticize them, the ones that are Jewish, you are also likely to be accused of anti-Semitism, even though the majority of Zionist Jews are descendants of East European converts to Judaism, and are not Semites. This is why we should consider, prior to moving to enact anti-Semitism hate crime laws that curtail the rights of a majority of US citizens to free speech, dissent and debate, that we have genetic testing to identify who the true victims of

such an offense might be. It should not be enough to simply claim to be insulted or to feel threatened by anti-Semitism to the extent that another citizen is denied inalienable rights without the alleged victim offering proof of actually being a Semite. Such genetic testing should also be done on Jewish settlers in Israel who claim a right to residence in the Holy Land based upon a claimed right to inherit from the ancient Jewish tribes. Only the actual blood descendants of those tribes should have a right to such a claim, if that claim is true, and such heritage can be proved.

During a dinner held recently, and aired by C-Span to honor the former Russian President Mikhail Gorbechev, former US President William Clinton said in a speech, that he agrees with President Gorbechev about the future of globalization and the Islamic world. Gorbechev had said that Europe must treat the Islamic world as an equal, and with respect. Clinton said he agrees to the extent that no people claim to possess the absolute truth, and a right to impose that claimed truth upon others. I wondered at that moment if he realized what he was saying. Who are the people, who have claimed as an absolute truth their right to steal land they had never even seen or visited before, and have no historic tie, or record of inheritance upon which to base such a claim? Who are the people who sought to ethnically cleanse the indigenous people through genocide from that land, in violation of international law, and also in violation of the divine laws upon which they base their claimed entitlement? They are doing all of this evil based upon an unproven promise, and a claim to a heritage that may not even be theirs. The international community of governments and people's willingness to turn our heads to this ugly sin has kept the entire world in turmoil for more than 60 years. A claim to ownership of absolute truths, and the right to impose those truths through violence upon the rest of world is an almost uniquely Zionist characteristic that every Zionist admits to, and that only Bill Clinton, a noted apologist for these people, could ignore.

This is the same Bill Clinton who pledged the United State's unconditional support to Israel. He later issued an illegal Executive order that criminalized Palestinian resistance to Israeli occupation and crimes against humanity in violation of the Geneva Conventions, hoping to make it legal for Israel to target and assassinate the leaders of these movements without accountability. This is also the same Bill Clinton who proceeded with the failed Camp David meetings, against all advice, with Yasser Arafat and Ehud Barak, which set the stage for the Palestinian Intifada. This is the same Bill Clinton who signed the Wye Referendum calling for the murder of all Palestinian resistance activists. It is the same Bill Clinton who did nothing when Ariel Sharon, under the protection of armed snipers, desecrated Al-Aqsa mosque and set the Intifada in motion. Its first victim was a young Palestinian boy who crouched behind his father in an alcove for protection before both were shot and killed by bullets, fired by Israeli snipers.

#### **High Crimes; No Misdemeanors**

Who knew that for years, perhaps as early as 1993, that pro-Israeli zealots in our government were busy crafting a US foreign policy that would lead the US into wars in the Middle East that would cost millions of human lives, and billions of US taxpayer dollars. The Iraq war has also destroyed infrastructure, and shut down an oil industry due to sabotage and insurrection, for no reason except to appease Israel's desire to expand beyond Palestine, and to become Greater, and more prosperous Israel. It is not a crime to desire security and prosperity. It's too bad that Israel has never considered that it would be much easier to be prosperous and accommodated in the region if it would give up racist Zionism, and join the world community, a community that shares the same hopes and desires as all human beings. Who knew that our entrance into the Iraq war, and other planned wars, were and will be based upon fake intelligence, outright lies, deliberate provocation and in violation of international and US laws? US Muslims knew, and so became the subjects of a historic smear campaign. Zionist in the US carried out a national and international security hoax that painted all Muslims terrorists or potential terrorists based merely upon our political fundraising, opinions and association, all of which are supposedly constitutionally protected.

People like Palestinian Professor Sami Al-Arian knew. That is why he is locked away in a US prison in solitary confinement. He is one of a growing number of Muslim political prisoners, and deportees who have been locked up, or whisked out of the country due to secret evidence laws. The media creates Secret evidence, first introduced, and recommended to our legislature by a Zionist journalist, Steven Emerson, close friend and ally of Judith Miller, also a Zionist journalist and the famed creator of the Iraq WMD scheme. A good part of secret evidence has also proven to originate from rumor and accusations lodged by political opponents, ex-wives and angry and jealous girlfriends. It is used, almost exclusively against Muslim and Arab citizens and others to prevent them from telling the truth about Israel, Israel's operatives here in the US, and their intent.

Is it any wonder that the truth about Iraq's non-existent weapons program could not find its way to the American people, who were dependant on a media that according to Judith Miller was tied, by her high security clearance, to the Pentagon where we find messieurs Feith, Perle and Wolfowitz? Feith and Perle, along with former Israeli Prime Minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, wrote, back in 1993, "A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm" which is a policy paper that calls for US attacks on Iraq, Syria and Iran on behalf of Israel.

Is it merely coincidence that Miller, who is suspected of being Israeli intelligence operating under the guise of a US journalist, carried lies from Israel to our Pentagon that were then used by Feith and Perle to bolster their arguments for war? If she is and did, it wouldn't be the first time that Miller has transported lies and false information from Israel that was published in the US as researched, and credible information, and provided to the general public. In her Muslim bashing book, God has ninety-nine names Miller claims that Israel mailed a package to an Iranian diplomat that blew his hand off and left him maimed. She writes that Israel did this, hoping of course to kill him, in retaliation for his planning the attack on the US Marine barracks that left more than 200 US Marines dead during Israel's illegal occupation of South Lebanon. She claims that Israel knew that this was the right person to be eliminated, because he had admitted to being the planner. She adds a footnote asterisk to the statement, to make it appear as though this information was researched and that there is a reference, or a published and credible source to support her assertion. Yet, when you read the footnote, what you find is a very slick notation that says a third party, "admitted" that the victim was guilty of the crime.

The media wants us to place the blame for the WMD hoax that led us into Iraq, on Ahmed Chalabi, who it appears, is little more than a small time gossip and political opportunist. They want us to ignore the very strong possibility that false WMD information flowed from Israel, to Miller, and then to Feith and Perle, who used Chalabi to corroborate that information. Chalabi's only real value to the Zionist in the Pentagon, who claimed to support him prior to the invasion, was his persona as an Iragi dissident, and head of an Iragi manned, anti-Hussein operation. That gave him credibility in the eyes of the American public, and that is where he was mostly used. He appeared on almost every US news program prior to the invasion to demonize Hussein, and to create a false sense of confidence in the American people as to the ease, and brevity of the planned operation. He was only one of the many liars who claimed that the Iraqi people would meet US forces in the streets as liberators. Chalabi is without doubt to be blamed for greasing the path to war with lies, yet, in respect to the WMD hoax, he could only be used effectively as a corroborating, and not as a reliable primary source for this type of information. Chalabi was in Europe in exile and was also dependant upon human intelligence being harvested from people on the ground whose intelligence gathering techniques were amateurish, and whose sources and the suspected motives of those sources, weakened the credibility of the information they provided.

Why didn't the US media tell us what price we were destined to pay in US lives, treasure, credibility, and prestige, should our government follow the neo-cons into war, serving as Israel's "proxy army"? Whenever such serious questions were asked, the media provided us with stupid answers like "cakewalk, and "slam dunk." Where were the hard questions? Where was the media search for truth? Where was the patriotism? Where were our elected officials among both Democrats and Republicans? The plan for war, not only against Iraq, but also against Syria and Iran, was forged in complete opposition to the preponderance of intelligence on the region as it relates to the war on terrorism, and also the 9/11 attacks. Other absurdities that went unchallenged include the neo-cons claim that following an invasion, the Shiite in Irag would submit to Jordan's leadership and authority since the Jordanian hierarchy claims to descend from the family of the prophet Muhammad, to which the Shiite are loyal. Anyone with even a modicum of knowledge about Islam would know that this was a ridiculous assumption, and hardly enough reason to expect that following a military regime change in Irag, that there would be peace and cooperation, in fact even gratitude offered by the Iraqi people. Sound US intelligence contradicted most, if not all of the neo-con premises upon which they built their Clean Break, and also their New American Century policy, that includes planned US military action, and perpetual war in the Muslim world. Why was this intelligence ignored in deference to Israeli intelligence, when it was the US that was being asked to carry out the prescribed regime changes and also the shock and awe upon Muslims and Arabs in the Muslim world?

Prior to the US led invasion of Iraq, the US media created the image of a White House that was divided between hawks and doves, unilateralists and multilateralists, who disagreed over political, rather than ideological, moral or legal issues. Now we realize that what was going on was

more than merely political debate. As more information is released surrounding the Valerie Plame case, it is becoming increasingly apparent that there was likely a grand conspiracy undertaken by one of these factions. This conspiracy appears to have been a plot designed to mislead the American people and to take our country to war based upon an intelligence hoax that was created, and perpetuated by intelligence operatives in the media, and the Israeli, and US governments. Investigative journalist, Molly Moore wrote an article that sought to identify the source of the fake intelligence that led us into Iraq. The Washington Post newspaper published the article shortly after the invasion. In that article Moore quotes Israeli General Shlomo Brom, who wrote in an intelligence analysis for Tel Aviv University that, " Israeli intelligence services and political leaders provided an exaggerated assessment of Iraqi capabilities, raising the possibilities that the intelligence picture was manipulated." The media is now attempting to place all of the blame for false intelligence on Ahmed Chalabi, a former Iraqi dissident. Chalabi may have played a part in the manipulation of false information related to Iraq's weapons programs, still Brom's statement makes it clear that Israel was the primary, and trusted source. Chalabi's close relationship with the Pentagon makes it plausible that he was working along with others to see that the US attacked Irag, removed Hussein, and established a new government that he had expected to lead, making him possibly part of the alleged conspiracy. His relatively minor status as somewhat of an informant, makes it less likely that Pentagon officials would ignore his too obvious motive, and depend upon his intelligence assessments rather than Israel's. It is more likely that Chalabi was used to corroborate, rather than to supply information, keeping in mind that much of the human intelligence coming from Irag itself, probably made its way to Israel via Iragi Jews.

There is strong speculation building suggesting that Karl Rove, President Bush's aide, and I. Scooter Libby, Vice president Cheney's aide, are only a small part of a much larger, in fact what might be called a "grander conspiracy." This conspiracy may also include the former national security advisor, and now Secretary of State, Condoleeza Rice, and her friend and colleague, US Ambassador John Bolton. During Bolton's confirmation hearings it came out that he had requested and received from Rice's NSC, the name of a US citizen that had been gleaned from taped conversations involving Iraq WMD intelligence gathering in Niger. The Senate requested more information on the exchange of information from the White House, seeking to ascertain whose name it was that was revealed to Bolton, and why. Did Rice give Bolton Valerie Plames name, and was it Bolton who gave Plame's name to Libby or Rove, or Miller? It is more likely that Miller, who can't seem to remember exactly where she got Plame's name, got the name from Bolton, and that Bolton and Rice, and not Libby, are perhaps the sources that Miller spent 85 days in jail to protect. Rice, for her part, certainly did what she could to convince the world that the WMD hoax was true. She appeared on national television and said that an imminent threat existed in the form of an impending nuclear attack that made it legal for the President to go to war unilaterally under the US War Powers Act. Keep in mind that this is the same Rice who previous to 9/11 failed to get a daily brief to the President that warned of an impending Al-Qadea attack that did happen. She may have been over cautious on the Iraq threat, hoping to compensate for her previous national security blunder. It's hard to imagine that our government has no internal system for verifying or debunking such information, and no policy that protects us from an individual's personal belief's and private reactions to information in respect to national security matters. Bush, for his part deviated from the neo-con playbook by seeking advice and consent from the US Congress, and a resolution for war from the United Nations. He received both by nearly unanimous votes in both venues.

Employing a scientific process of analysis called factor analysis using data being provided as a result of the Plame investigation, we can create a reasoned portrayal of a possible criminal conspiracy that might involve Zionist ideologues that are very high placed within our government, media, academia and think tanks. Richard B. Darlington Ph.D., who has served as a professor of graduate psychology at Cornell University since 1963, presents an excellent explanation of what factor analysis is, and the many ways it can be used. Darlington wrote,

Suppose each of 500 people, who are all familiar with different kinds of automobiles, rates each of 20 automobile models on the question, "How much would you like to own that kind of automobile?" We could usefully ask about the number of dimensions on which the ratings differ. A one-factor theory would posit that people simply give the highest ratings to the most expensive models. A two-factor theory would posit that some people are most attracted to sporty models while others are most attracted to luxurious models. Three-factor and four-factor theories might add safety and reliability. Or instead of automobiles you might choose to study attitudes concerning foods, political policies, political candidates, or many other kinds of objects. Start with the simplest

possible theory (usually m = 1), test the fit between that theory and the data, and then increase m as needed. Each increase in m produces a theory that is more complex, but will fit the data better. Stop when you find a theory that fits the data adequately.

In respect to the Plame case and the plausibility that a grander conspiracy exists, we can begin as Darlington suggests, with the simple theory that "m=1." M represents Plames name being leaked, and 1, represents the conspiracy. The data to be utilized is the information being presented from the investigation and also information, including the New American Century, and Clean Break policy papers, and background information specific to the primary players, including their common political and religious affiliations.

Those who perhaps aided and abetted the suspected cabal of conspirators might include the likes of Ahmed Chalabi, and according to the Downing Street memo, British intelligence operatives, and government figures. Others might include some Arab governments like Jordan, and organizations like JINSA, of which John Bolton is a Board member, and also others, including the Zionist Organizations of America (ZOA). The ZOA's part was perhaps to apply political pressure on Congress, (which could include blackmail and threats of violence), and to reward cooperation with campaign contributions, and promises of support in upcoming elections. Simultaneous to these ongoing efforts, AIPAC (American/Israel Political Action Committee) was busy spying, gathering and transporting US intelligence on Iran, from the Pentagon to Israel, in preparation for the next stage in Israel's expansion plot. According to the Clean Break policy paper the next steps after invading Iraq is to send the US, or a UN sanctioned international force to attack Syria and also Iran. The media did not cover the AIPAC spy case. As a result, many Americans don't know that there was a guilty plea in that case, and an admission that AIPAC, along with a Zionist Pentagon insider and Israeli intelligence worked together to steal US secrets on Iran, and to deliver that information to Israel.

Zionists, and their supporters in the US and abroad, have led our nation into a war that we cannot simply walk away from, and that is costing us lives and billions of taxpayer dollars, and could go on for years. As a result of the neo-con's web of lies, propaganda, espionage, etc., the US is in a precarious situation where we are forced not only to kill, but also to be killed by people who are not, and who were never our enemies. The Iraqi crime is that Iraq stands in the way of the Zionist dream of Greater Israel, and economic prosperity. Its bad luck was Saddam Hussein. As a consequence, Iraq has suffered through years of unjust economic sanctions, wars, and routine bombings by the US and Britain, carried out under the cover of UN resolutions. These bombings, destroyed much of Iraq's infrastructure even before the invasion, and took the lives of innocents, women and children, and all to appease Israel.

#### Here we go again?

In 1982, Israel invaded Lebanon, a sovereign Arab country claiming that the invasion was necessary for security reasons. The late writer and intellectual, Israel Shahak, in the article, "The Real Israeli Interest in Lebanon" explains to us, that Israel was not seeking security. Shahak wrote, "When facing atrocities like those caused by the "Grapes of Wrath" operation, it is more important than ever not to lose sight of the real reasons the atrocities are committed. It means asking ourselves what are the real reasons such atrocities are committed. It means asking ourselves what are the real reasons such atrocities are committed. It means asking ourselves what are Israel's real interests in Lebanon." Shahak reminds us that one of the first things that Israel did on invading Lebanon was to remove customs barriers separating the two countries, "as far as entry of Israeli merchandise is concerned." He stated, " Thus it can be presumed that the main Israeli aim in Lebanon is the economic exploitation of this country and other Middle Eastern nation/states, and that the use of the "Zone" is to serve as an instrument for the realization of this aim." According to Shahak, " Ordinarily Lebanese goods are still forbidden to enter Israel, although a brisk import of drugs (re-exported to other countries) is going on." He said further, " Israeli merchandise enters Lebanon with the full encouragement of the Israeli government, without paying customs duties of any kind, and is also re-exported to other countries."

It is not possible to know whether or not Shahak ever read the policy paper, " A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm." It validates his theory, and expands upon his idea that Israel is not acting from a position, or feeling of vulnerability, but rather that Israel is motivated by an arrogant and inflated feeling of military superiority, born of US "unconditional" support for Israel. The Clean Break policy paper was written back in 1993 by a group of Zionists that included Benjamin Netanyahu, Douglas Feith, and Richard Perle. The paper seeks to spell out the way to a "Clean break" for Israel from its past failure to achieve peace without giving up land, or what is called "national mass." It represents according to the paper's introduction, a "new intellectual foundation∑that restores strategic initiative and provides Israel the room to engage every possible energy on rebuilding Zionism." Within this document, its authors make it very clear that Israel's primary interest is economic and not security as it regularly claims. They wrote,

## "The starting point must be economic reform."

To accomplish this new approach to peace and economic reform, the paper touts a war theory that suggests Israeli domination of the region through what it calls "balance of power.' The document states, " peace for peace, peace through strength, and self reliance: the balance of power."

In order to shift the balance of power in the Muslim world, which quite naturally is owned primarily by the 55 or more Arab and Muslim nation/states, Israel must use either force, or negotiations to diminish the power of at least the three most powerful Arab nation/ states, Iraq, Syria and Iran. It would also require eliminating, either through armed conflict or disarmament, the powerful resistance armies such as Hezbollah, and also the Palestinian resistance. In other words, the peace for peace proposition is merely this, that Israel and its allies will destroy any Arab or Muslim nation, or people that does not peacefully surrender its power, which can include rights, its sovereignty, and its right to self-defense to Israel. These same nations must, under threat of the same, open their markets to Israeli goods. It is a formula not for peace, but rather for domination achieved through brute strength, and threat of violence resulting in expansion of Israeli influence and power throughout a region, or realm that has been effectively subdued militarily, economically and psychologically. It is terrorism.

According to the "Clean Break" playbook, the next step in Israel's plan to shift the balance of power in the Muslim world, is to attack and destroy Iran and Syria. As we witnessed in respect to Iraq, this is to be done under cover of some proposed threat that each of these nations presents to either the US, Israel, or the world. A cause for war is all that is needed, and any observer who knows what to look for can see quite clearly that the causes is already being created. In respect to Iran, the cause will likely be a cooked up threat from Iran's nuclear energy program. If that doesn't work Israel will probably provoke an altercation along the Iran/Iraq border that will expand into a larger military conflict.

In the section of the Clean Break paper, "Securing the Northern Border," the paper states,

Syria challenges Israel on Lebanese soil. An effective approach, and one with which Americans can sympathize would be if Israel seized the strategic initiative along its northern borders by engaging Hezbollah, Syria and Iran, as the principal agents of aggression in Lebanon, (emphasis added) including by: paralleling Syria's behavior by establishing the precedent that Syrian territory is not immune to attacks emanating from Lebanon by Israeli proxy forces. Striking Syrian military targets in Lebanon, and should that prove insufficient, striking at select targets in Syria proper.

Looking closely at this recommendation, we can see almost immediately that what is prescribed is exactly what has been taking place. Rice's appointment to her post at the State Department, and Bolton's job as US ambassador to the UN are signs that the US is prepared to play its part in the further implementation of the Clean Break strategy. As a result of the assassination of Lebanese Prime Minister Hariri, Syria was forced out of Lebanon. The US State Department under Condoleeza Rice, Israel, and the US media sought to make the case, even prior to a UN investigation of the assassination, that Syria was responsible for Hariri's death, and that Syria is an "agent of aggression" in Lebanon. All have also worked very hard to make the case that Syria is responsible for the insurgency in Iraq, and also that Syria is harboring terrorists, i.e., Palestinian resistance operatives. Only days after Hariri's tragic death, both Condoleeza Rice, and her counterparts in Israel and Britain, also sought to sell the idea that Hezbollah must be disarmed.

They suggested that along with getting Syria out of Lebanon, that the US and the UN must work to control Iran, preventing Iran from enriching uranium, and allowing its borders to be breached by al-Qadea operatives seeking to enter Iraq, all unproved charges that are vehemently denied by Iran. What makes all of this even more absurd is the fact that Israel was allowed to murder a man in a wheel chair who could have been arrested, since he couldn't run away. Yet, Israel launched two rockets or more in a civilian area and killed Sheik Ahmed Yassin for organizing legal resistance against Israel's illegal and brutal occupation of Palestine. There was no UN investigation, no sanctions against Israel, and no international outcry. Someone kills Hariri, which was a crime of equal horror, yet it raises so much more international ire. It warrants an investigation, Lebanon must remove its troops and intelligence gathering apparatus from Lebanon, etc. Syria entered Lebanon originally, at the request of the Lebanese government. Who invited Israel into Palestine?

While the US State Department under Rice, is fulfilling its obligation under the Clean Break blueprint, Israel's foreign diplomats have been working diligently to secure economic agreements with other Muslim and Arab countries. Frightened and intimidated by what appeared to be Israel's success at steering the US military, Israel's "proxy army" into Muslim countries for war, these countries turned their backs on Palestine, and began to enter secret economic agreements with Israel. This in turn weakened the Palestinian negotiating position, since previously the Muslim world had closed its doors to Israeli goods, hoping to create an incentive for a peace process that included a negotiated settlement, in place of Israel's proposed unilateral military solution. All of this relative success emboldened Israel, who announced recently that it has nothing further to offer Palestine, and all negotiations are ended. Sharon cancelled a scheduled meeting with PA president Mahmoud Abbas, saying essentially that there is nothing more to talk about. Israel also sought to provoke violence, ending the cease-fire with the Palestinian resistance by killing an Islamic Jihad officer on October 23, 2005, and several other Islamic Jihad fighters. Taking all of these events into consideration, it becomes rather obvious that what we are witnessing is the implementation of an Israeli strategy that has two primary goals, they are 1). Destroy the militaries of Syria, Iran and Iraq, and the resistance movements, leaving Israel the dominant military power in the realm 2). As a result of fear and intimidation, get other Muslim and Arab countries to do whatever Israel demands, including opening their markets to Israeli goods. This is the meaning of "peace for peace, peace through strength, and balance of power."

#### Power to the people

We can conclude without much doubt, that Israel working in tandem with Zionists operating within our government, academia, think tanks and media created the WMD hoax that led the US and the international coalition into war in Iraq. They also, along with a cooperative media, created the false impression that Iraq posed an imminent threat to the US, and that Iraq was linked to 9/11. They spied, they stole, they attacked US citizens, destroyed people's lives and reputations, and they undermined the US relationship with the Muslim world, and they are working diligently to get the US embroiled in military conflicts with Iran and Syria. They lied repeatedly to the US public, and policy makers, saying that Iraq's people would greet US forces as liberators rather than conquerors. Once they had US troops committed to war, they began to also undo the US, by staging the Abu Ghraib prison scandal, and using the media to publish photos that were aimed at destroying US prestige and credibility even further. It is also likely that they killed the French agent that escorted a freed French hostage out of Iraq, and also carried out the Guantanomo Bay atrocities that included torture, and some form of Qur'an desecration.

What many Americans who had previously sided with Israel out of hatred of Islam did not previously realize, is that Israel wanted Islam demonized and destroyed, along with all other competing powers, whether spiritual or material. They are especially antagonistic towards those ideas that possess any moral or religious underpinning, which would include ideas that promote human equality, human rights and freedom. Once we understand this, it becomes clear that the balance of power theory does not apply only to the Muslim world, but also to the United States, who unlike Europe, maintained its claim to religiosity, and constitutionally protected freedoms and rights for all people. The nature of Zionism is such that there can be no competing power, or moral idea allowed to co-exist that can contradict or that can challenge the Talmud's supposed authority. The Talmud cannot share the stage easily as a book of guidance and authority written by men, in contrast to the Holy Scriptures written, or rather revealed by the One True God. Religious historians will recognize immediately that what we are observing is the centuries old battle between the Pharisees and the followers of Jesus, and also between the Quraish and the followers of Muhammad, still being fought today, in the 21st century.

Muslim Americans must work to help the United States, and the world to overcome the challenge being posed by international Zionism to all of our security. We must end Muslim antagonism towards the US resulting from its historic role as Israel's ally and facilitator, and open dialogues aimed at easing tensions between the US and the Muslim world resulting from the war in Iraq and other controversies. This might involve creating opportunities for joint Muslim/ US

cooperation on certain issues. It must involve working together to bring real representative governance to Palestine, while rebuilding the Palestinian infrastructure and economy and moving Palestine to independent statehood with secure Palestinian borders, without any interference from Israel.

We must educate, energize and mobilize the Muslim and Arab streets. Most importantly, we must highlight, and bring to bear, in contrast to the positions and actions of recalcitrant Arab and Muslim governments, the attitudes and positions of the people of the Arab and Muslim world, and also Muslims and Arabs in the Diaspora. The balance of power challenge in this instance will be the challenge to balance the power of the Muslim and Arab peoples, against those governments who have lost the will to resist Israel's intimidation and to ignore its threats. Only the power of the people of the Muslim world, and save the international community from a future of perpetual conflict, while halting the advance of Zionism. It is the challenge presented to us as we begin a new century, and one that can, and must be won if we have any hope to see the remainder of this century dedicated to peace, justice, and prosperity for all men and women, equitably. May God help and Guide us.

The writer is the Founder and President of the National Association of Muslim American Women. The author is also head of the International Assoc. for Muslim Women and Children, an accredited NGO with the UN Division on the Rights of the Palestinians. Tuesday October 25 2005

http://world.mediamonitors.net/content/view/full/21820/

# NUTS AND BOLTS

@@§§@@ Robert FAURISSON, 15 June 2005

Ten Years Ago, Jean-Claude Pressac's Capitulation (*revised and expanded version*) The English rendition of Faurisson's Preface (in French) of Bradley Smith's translated autobiography.

See <u>new additions in the Faurisson Archive in English</u>.

# The Beatification of Mgr. von Galen

## **Robert Faurisson**

On Sunday, October 9, 2005, in Rome, Clemens-August von Galen (1878-1946), count and bishop of Munster (North Rhineland-Westphalia), was beatified. On this occasion, French journalist Henri Tincq wrote: "Attending the event himself, an exceptional gesture for a Pope, Benedict XVI praised his 'heroic courage' to defend 'the rights of God, of the Church and of man, which the Nazi regime violated in the name of an aberrant neo-pagan ideology'" (*Le Monde*, October 12, 2005, p. 3). H. Tincq, who always tends to practise the one-upmanship in fashion, did not fail to close his article with the following remark:

The tribute paid to him in Rome is not being challenged, but historians remind us that, if the bishop of Munster did give his famous sermons against the Hitler regime, von Galen was not a member of the resistance to Nazism from the very start.

Let us first rectify one point: this "neo-pagan ideology", as our journalist calls it, may well have been that of some National Socialist circles but Adolf Hitler, for his part, did not share it at all. Next let us add that the "Hitler regime" tolerated all the sermons of the "Lion of Munster" and did not mete out a single day's imprisonment to him. The said regime doubtless feared that, in the event of a sanction, it might arouse the disapproval of part of the German population. A fact which leads some to point out that, in the field of tolerance in matters of religion as in other domains, there was no comparison between, on the one hand, the Hitler dictatorship and, on the other hand, the Stalinist dictatorship, supported by the great Democracies.

What the reporter from *Le Monde* failed to recall to his readers is that after the defeat of the Third Reich Mgr. von Galen spoke out vigorously against the appalling acts perpetrated by his country's "liberators". He did so as early as July 1<sup>st</sup>, 1945, during a pilgrimage of Munster diocesans. On that occasion he denounced "the ransacking of our homes destroyed by bombs", "the pillaging and destruction of our houses and farms in the countryside by armed bands of robbers", the "murder of defenceless men", "the rape of German women and girls by bestial lechers", the indifference of the occupation forces to the risk of famine in Germany, all these horrors finding justification on the basis of "the false view 'that all Germans are criminals and deserve the most severe punishment, including death and extermination!" (*ja Tod und Ausrottung!*).

That sermon is quoted in a tribute to Mgr. von Galen written by Dr. Alfred Schickel, director of the Zeitgeschichtliche Forschungsstelle (Contemporary History Research Center) of Ingolstadt, where the full text is archived. The tribute is entitled "*Ein furchtloser Kirchenmann und Anwalt seines Volkes*" (A fearless churchman and defender of his people).

16 October 2005.

#### @@§§@@ SITES RECOMMENDED BY THE SHAMIR SITE

#### Friendly sites

Gilad Atzmon http://www.gilad.co.uk Peter Myers http://users.cyberone.com.au/myers Michael Neumann http://members.tripod.com/~mneumann/mnisrael.htm Norman Finkelstein http://www.normanfinkelstein.com Joost van Steenis http://members.chello.nl/jsteenis William Bowles http://www.williambowles.info Simon Jones http://simonjones1.blogspot.com/ Manuel Talens www.manueltalens.com Lubomyr Prytulak http://www.ukar.org Margot http://margotbworldnews.tk Junaid Alam http://www.lefthook.org/ Les Blough http://www.axisoflogic.com/ Marek Glogoczowski http://www.marek.glogoczowski.zaprasza.net/ Laura Lewis http://www.couplescompany.com/ John Spritzler http://www.newdemocracyworld.org/ Jocelyn Braddell http://www.thehandstand.org/

#### **Palestine sites**

Deir Yassin remembered http://www.deiryassin.org/ One Democratic State Association http://www.one-democratic-state.org http://www.bibliotheque-sonore.org/palestine Palestine News http://www.imemc.org Yellow Times http://www.yellowtimes.org MER http://www.middleeast.org www.palestinechronicle.com Mary Riccio <u>http://peacepalestine.blogspot.com/</u>

## **Recommended mail lists**

Jeff Blankfort <u>blankfort@earthlink.net</u> Peter Myers <u>myers@cyberone.com.au</u> <u>RePorterNoteBook@aol.com</u>

## Groups and messages

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/shamireaders/messages http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PalestinianChristians/messages http://groups.yahoo.com/group/one-democratic-state

## **Russian Links**

Balandin <u>http://balandin.by.ru/</u> <u>http://www.cmnews.ru/</u> Sasha Sverdlov <u>http://gornischt.narod.ru/shamir.htm</u> Ultra-Orthodox Jews <u>http://www.iudaizm-protiv-sionizma.ru/</u> Zavtra newspaper <u>http://www.iudaizm-protiv-sionizma.ru/</u> Zavtra newspaper <u>http://www.iudaizm-protiv-sionizma.ru/</u> Zavtra newspaper <u>http://www.iudaizm-protiv-sionizma.ru/</u> Comrade U: <u>http://subscribe.ru/archive/culture.people.podzapretom/</u> Kara Murza <u>http://www.situation.ru/app/index.htm</u> Wiedeman <u>http://www.imperativ.net/news/aktual.htm</u> Russians in Israel <u>www.homeru.com</u>

#### **On Jews**

Kevin McDonald <u>http://www.csulb.edu/%7Ekmacd</u> Jewish Tribal Review <u>http://www.jewishtribalreview.org</u> Righteous Jews <u>http://www.righteousjews.org</u> Jewish attitude to Gentliles <u>http://www.talkreason.org/articles/gentiles.cfm</u> Israel Shahak <u>http://www.radioislam.org/islam/english/jracism/shahak.htm</u>

## **Christian sites**

Stephen Sizer <u>http://www.sizers.org</u> Naim Ateek on Palestinian Christian site <u>http://www.sabeel.org</u> Fr Raed from Palestinian village Taybeh on <u>http://go.to/nonviolence</u> We Hold These Truths <u>http://www.whtt.org/</u>

http://www.counterpunch.org http://www.antiwar.com

## Interesting books:

Beit Zvi, Zionists and Nazis: http://www.vho.org/aaargh/fran/livres4/Beitzvi.pdf Hayward, on Holocaust Revisionism http://www.resistance.com/Hayward/hay1.html

http://www.israelshamir.net/links/index.shtml

#### \_\_\_\_\_

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to:

<u>http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtm</u>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

Our address : revclar -at- yahoo.com.au

## **OTHER AAARGH MONTHLY PUBLICATIONS**

http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo El Paso del Ebro Das kausale Nexusblatt Il Resto del Siclo Conseils de Révision La Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues (multilingual) <u>http://ggb.0catch.com</u> O revisionismo em lingua portugês Armenichantage (Armenian blackmail)