THE REVISIONIST CLARION

CONTENTS

Allawi shot inmates in cold blood, say witnesses, By Paul McGeough Witches and Rabbis: The Reagan White House, by Michael A. Hoffman II Is the Intifada over? By Israel Shamir LANDMARK RESEARCH WORK BY Carlo Mattogno: To look again - part two & three, David Mullenax SPAIN: REVISIONISTS ARRESTED Senate passes bill to monitor anti-Semitism around the world, By: Marilyn H. Karfeld Arabs under attack by the Zionists and Christian Zionists (1979) by Dittlieb

Felderer

A FAMOUS BOOK AVAILABLE AGAIN: What Price Israel?

The Jesus Landing Pad, by Rick Perlstein

A shocking new study reveals how key figures in the pre-state Zionist establishment proposed castrating the mentally ill...By Tamara Traubmann 14 U.S. Military Bases Under Construction In Iraq, Pepe Escobar

Rape, Feces and Urine-Dipped Cloth Sacks, By Wayne Madsen Vanunu tells Al Hayat: Dimona reactor endangers millions, By Yoav Stern

PRIME MINISTER AND MURDERER

Allawi shot inmates in cold blood, say witnesses

By Paul McGeough,

lyad Allawi, the new Prime Minister of Iraq, pulled a pistol and executed as many as six suspected insurgents at a Baghdad police station, just days before Washington handed control of the country to his interim government, according to two people who allege they witnessed the killings. They say the prisoners -- handcuffed and blindfolded -- were lined up against a wall in a courtyard adjacent to the maximum-security cell block in which they were held at the Al-Amariyah security centre, in the city's south-western suburbs.

They say Dr Allawi told onlookers the victims had each killed as many as 50 Iraqis and they "deserved worse than death".

The Prime Minister's office has denied the entirety of the witness accounts in a written statement to the *Herald*, saying Dr Allawi had never visited the centre and he did not carry a gun.

But the informants told the *Herald* that Dr Allawi shot each young man in the head as about a dozen Iraqi policemen and four Americans from the Prime Minister's personal security team watched in stunned silence.

Iraq's Interior Minister, Falah al-Naqib, is said to have looked on and congratulated him when the job was done. Mr al-Naqib's office has issued a verbal denial.

The names of three of the alleged victims have been obtained by the *Herald*. One of the witnesses claimed that before killing the prisoners Dr Allawi had told those around him that he wanted to send a clear message to the police on how to deal with insurgents.

"The prisoners were against the wall and we were standing in the courtyard when the Interior Minister said that he would like to kill them all on the spot. Allawi said that they deserved worse than death -- but then he pulled the pistol from his belt and started shooting them."

Re-enacting the killings, one witness stood three to four metres in front of a wall and swung his outstretched arm in an even arc, left to right, jerking his wrist to mimic the recoil as each bullet was fired. Then he raised a hand to his brow, saying: "He was very close. Each was shot in the head."

The witnesses said seven prisoners had been brought out to the courtyard, but the last man in the line was only wounded -- in the neck, said one witness; in the chest, said the other.

Given Dr Allawi's role as the leader of the US experiment in planting a model democracy in the Middle East, allegations of a return to the cold-blooded tactics of his predecessor are likely to stir a simmering debate on how well Washington knows its man in Baghdad, and precisely what he envisages for the new Iraq.

There is much debate and rumour in Baghdad about the Prime Minister's capacity for brutality, but this is the first time eyewitness accounts have been obtained.

A former CIA officer, Vincent Cannisatraro, recently told *The New Yorker*.

"If you're asking me if Allawi has blood on his hands from his days in London, the answer is yes, he does. He was a paid Mukhabarat [intelligence] agent for the Iraqis, and he was involved in dirty stuff."

In Baghdad, varying accounts of the shootings are interpreted by observers as useful to a little-known politician who, after 33 years in exile, needs to prove his leadership credentials as a "strongman" in a war-ravaged country that has no experience of democracy.

Dr Allawi's statement dismissed the allegations as rumours instigated by enemies of his interim government.

But in a sharp reminder of the Iraqi hunger for security above all else, the witnesses did not perceive themselves as whistle-blowers. In interviews with the *Herald* they were enthusiastic about such killings, with one of them arguing: "These criminals were terrorists. They are the ones who plant the bombs." Before the shootings, the 58-year-old Prime Minister is said to have told the policemen they must have courage in their work and that he would shield them from any repercussions if they killed insurgents in the course of their duty.

The witnesses said the Iraqi police observers were "shocked and surprised". But asked what message they might take from such an act, one said: "Any terrorists in Iraq should have the same destiny. This is the new Iraq.

"Allawi wanted to send a message to his policemen and soldiers not to be scared if they kill anyone -- especially, they are not to worry about tribal revenge. He said there would be an order from him and the Interior Ministry that all would be fully protected. "He told them: 'We must destroy anyone who wants to destroy Iraq and kill our people.'

"At first they were surprised. I was scared -- but now the police seem to be very happy about this. There was no anger at all, because so many policemen have been killed by these criminals." Dr Allawi had made a surprise visit to the complex, they said. Neither witness could give a specific date for the killings. But their accounts narrowed the time frame to on or around the third weekend in June -- about a week before the

rushed handover of power in Iraq and more than three weeks after Dr Allawi was named as the interim Prime Minister.

They said that as many as five of the dead prisoners were Iraqis, two of whom came from Samarra, a volatile town to the north of the capital, where an attack by insurgents on the home of Mr Al-Naqib killed four of the Interior Minister's bodyguards on June 19. *The Herald* has established the names of three of the prisoners alleged to have been killed. Two names connote ties to Syrian-based Arab tribes, suggesting they were foreign fighters: Ahmed Abdulah Ahsamey and Amer Lutfi Mohammed Ahmed al-Kutsia.

The third was Walid Mehdi Ahmed al-Samarrai. The last word of his name indicates that he was one of the two said to come from Samarra, which is in the Sunni Triangle. The three names were provided to the Interior Ministry, where senior adviser Sabah Khadum undertook to provide a status report on each. He was asked if they were prisoners, were they alive or had they died in custody.

But the next day he cut short an interview by hanging up the phone, saying only: "I have no information -- I don't want to comment on that specific matter." All seven were described as young men. One of the witnesses spoke of the distinctive appearance of four as "Wahabbi", the colloquial Iraqi term for the foreign fundamentalist insurgency fighters and their Iraqi followers.

He said: "The Wahabbis had long beards, very short hair and they were wearing dishdashas [the caftan-like garment worn by Iraqi men]." Raising the hem of his own dishdasha to reveal the cotton pantaloons usually worn beneath, he said: "The other three were just wearing these -- they looked normal."

One witness justified the shootings as an unintended act of mercy: "They were happy to die because they had already been beaten by the police for two to eight hours a day to make them talk."

After the removal of the bodies, the officer in charge of the complex, General Raad Abdullah, is said to have called a meeting of the policemen and told them not to talk outside the station about what had happened. "He said it was a security issue," a witness said.

One of the Al-Amariyah witnesses said he watched as Iraqis among the Prime Minister's bodyguards piled the prisoners' bodies into the back of a Nissan utility and drove off. He did not know what became of them. But the other witness said the bodies were buried west of Baghdad, in open desert country near Abu Ghraib.

That would place their burial near the notorious prison, which was used by Saddam Hussein's security forces to torture and kill thousands of Iraqis. Subsequently it was revealed as the setting for the still-unfolding prisoner abuse scandal involving US troops in the aftermath of the fall of Baghdad.

The Herald has established that as many as 30 people, including the victims, may have been in the courtyard. One of the witnesses said there were five or six civilian-clad American security men in a convoy of five or six late model four-wheel-drive vehicles that was shepherding Dr Allawi's entourage on the day. The US military and Dr Allawi's office refused to respond to questions about the composition of his security team. It is understood that the core of his protection unit is drawn from the US Special Forces units.

The security establishment where the killings are said to have happened is on open ground on the border of the Al-Amariyah and Al-Kudra neighbourhoods in Baghdad.

About 90 policemen are stationed at the complex, which processes insurgents and more hardened offenders among those captured in the struggle against a wave of murder, robbery and kidnapping in post-invasion Iraq.

The Interior Ministry denied permission for the Herald to enter the heavily fortified police complex. The two witnesses were independently and separately found by the Herald. Neither approached the newspaper. They were interviewed on different days in a private home in Baghdad, without being told the other had spoken. A condition of the co-operation of each man was that no personal information would be published.

Both interviews lasted more than 90 minutes and were conducted through an interpreter, with another journalist present for one of the meetings. The witnesses were not paid for the interviews. Dr Allawi's office has dismissed the allegations as rumours instigated by enemies of his interim government. [...]

US officials in Iraq have not made an outright denial of the allegations. An emailed response to questions from the Herald to the US ambassador, John Negroponte, right,

said: "If we attempted to refute each [rumour], we would have no time for other business. As far as this embassy's press office is concerned, this case is closed."

Sydney Moring Herald, July 17, 2004

IT'S STUPIDITY, STUPID

Witches and Rabbis: The Reagan White House by Michael A. Hoffman II

In every generation an archetype of Antichrist appears. Mr. 666 himself, R-o-n-a-l-d W-i-l-s-o-n R-e-a-g-a-n, was one such archetype. The address of the home he chose as his retirement residence in Bel-Air, California was 666 St. Cloud Drive. Eventually, second wife Nancy -- the Hollywood B-girl he hooked up with after dumping his first wife -- had the number changed to 668.

"Hoffman, how dare you impugn the reputation of the statesman Peggy Noonan has rightfully dubbed, 'the King of Character'? Ronald Reagan was a hero of Western Civilization!"

Surely you jest. What passes for Western Civilization these days is a vertiginous carnival funhouse, and the panegyric for Bonzo is a symptom of the double-minded mentality that is epidemic in this, the terminal phase of Western Syphilization.

Let the record speak for itself:

"Greatest Presidential Friend of the Israelis"

1. Reagan was named "the greatest friend in the White House that Israel ever had" by the arch Stern gang terrorist, Yitzhak Shamir. The memorandum of understanding which he reached with Shamir is cited in our time as a basis for George W. Bush's "more Likudnik than thou" foreign policy disasters in the Middle East, which have generated, not stemmed, terrorism and untold suffering.

The Witch of the White House

2. The schedule of the "pro-Christian" Reagan White House was set by a San Francisco witch, Joan Quigley, whose "psychic powers" were obsessively consulted by both the President and the First Lady. For instance, "Reagan wished in early December 1983 to announce that he would be seeking reelection, but Quigley judged the timing to be terrible. She forced the announcement to wait until January 29, a move that frustrated Reagan. What's more, she had him deliver the speech at 10:55 p.m., a late hour that had political commentators scratching their heads."

In another case, Reagan met with Mikhail Gorbachev for a document signing in Helsinki just after dawn, leaving everyone but soothsayer Joan and her familiar Nancy, shaking their heads in befuddlement, (the weird timing had been set by Quigley). It has even been said that in times of national crisis, Reagan would frantically ask Nancy, "What does Joan say?" (The full impact of Quigley's counsel on Reagan's foreign and domestic policies has yet to be revealed).

Sources: Former White House Chief of Staff Donald Regan's 1988 book, For the Record; see also

<http://www.parascope.com/articles/0497/reagan02.htm>

Rabbi Menachem Mendel Schneerson: Reagan's False Messiah

3. Reagan's closest religious crony was not a priest or pastor, but Menachem Mendel Schneerson, Grand Rabbi of the Chabad-Lubavitch wing of Orthodox Judaism: "Chabad's closest presidential relationship, (Avraham) Shemtov says, was with Ronald Reagan, who corresponded personally with Schneerson...Chabad delegations were both the first visitors to the White House when Reagan was elected and his last vistors on his final day in office."

The founder of Chabad-Lubavitch was Rabbi Shneur Zalman of Lyady, whose somewhat uncharitable doctrine that all gentiles are "completely evil," sub-human garbage ("supernal refuse"), who possess "no redeeming characteristics," is still imparted to students in Chabad-Lubavitch schools (many of these children are named "Zalman" in his honor).

In his old age, Rabbi Schneerson, did nothing to discourage the delusion that he was the Messiah. Even after Schneerson's death in 1994, many of his followers continue to claim that either he will rise from the dead or that he is in fact, still alive: "We

believe that he is alive and well, and has only `disappeared' temporarily, and that he will reveal himself at any moment." --Rabbi Zimroni Tzik.

According to Prof. David Berger, a lecturer in Jewish studies at Brooklyn College and at the Graduate Center of the City University of New York, "the messianists are no longer satisfied with identifying the rebbe (Schneerson) as the Messiah, but identify him as God himself."

By proclamation, in 1983, President Reagan established the birthday of this false messiah and "god", Schneerson, (March 28), as "Education Day USA" (it has been observed on various days in the spring, including March 26, April 2 and once on Hitler's birthday, April 20).

The ominous law which grew out of Reagan's repeated proclamations in Schneerson's name, Public Law 102-14, establishes a statutory obligation on Americans to obey the Talmudic interpretation of the "Noahide Laws" (a counterfeit name, since rabbinic tradition disparages Noah), which stipulate the death penalty for "avoda zara" (idol worship), of which, according to the halachic authority Maimonides, all who worship Jesus Christ, are guilty. So we have, thanks to Mr. Reagan, a law on the Federal statute books, which can be invoked at any time to establish legal grounds for executing those who worship Jesus as the second person of the Holy Trinity.

While Jimmy Carter was the first American president to officially flirt with Chabad-Lubavitch Judaism, it was Reagan who massively collaborated with them, formally creating the legal grounds for the subsequent 1991 Public Law 102-14, with his Proclamations 5317 and 5463. All subsequent presidents have reconfirmed Reagan's proclamations, and the former Press Secretary who gave George W. Bush his hypnotic cues from the audience (watch the videotape), during Bush's Purim 2003 "we're going to war in Iraq" news conference, was the Chabad-Lubavitch agent, Ari Fleischer.

Messiah Schneerson's cult continues to command influence and remains incumbent on all top government officials, whether of the Right or the Left. For instance, Rabbi Schneerson is slated to be adored June 17, 2004 in a civic ceremony at the prestigious John F. Kennedy Library in Massachusetts. Hundreds are expected to gather for this seance, billed as "One People, One Soul." Co-sponsored by 22 Chabad Centers from greater Boston, it will feature a keynote address by Talmud expert Rabbi Adin Steinsaltz of Jerusalem, and will be graced by top US lawmakers and federal officials and who knows -- perhaps even an appearance by the late rabbi himself!

Sources: Sue Fishkoff, *The Rebbe's Army* (NY: Schocken Books, 2003), pp. 192-193; Yair Sheleg, "Waiting for a Revelation," Haaretz (Israeli newspaper), May 26, 2004; and http://www.ukar.org/gore10.html

Reagan's King Checkmates Americans' Rights

4. On November 3, 1983, Reagan signed the law establishing the third Monday of every January as the Martin Luther King, Jr. National Holiday. This law has nothing to do with the advancement of the civil rights of black people, who were saddled with a paralyzing welfare entitlement mentality commensurate with the rise of King, and who had been far better served by the empowering self-reliance creed of the now largely forgotten black educator, Booker T. Washington.

Reagan knew fully well, as he affirmed to New Hampshire Governor Meldrim Thompson, that Martin Luther King was a serial adulterer, plagiarist (having cheated on his PhD. dissertation at Boston University) and Communist party affiliate. "I have the reservations you have," Reagan wrote Thompson, "but here the perception of too many people is based on an image, not reality."

With a monumental shrug of his broad shoulders, Reagan knowingly committed one of the most treacherous acts of compromise of any president in our nation's history, signing into law the King holiday. By so doing, Mr. Reagan sentenced American children in public schools across the land to an immersion every January in King's crippling philosophy of entitlement, with its undercurrent of contempt for poor whites, whose ancestors were themselves slaves in 17th and 18th century America (not just "indentured servants").

With the exception of Abraham Lincoln, no American is studied in our public schools with the fervor and to the extent accorded Martin Luther King, not even our nation's founder, George Washington, who has been relegated mostly to serving as an icon of February clearance sales in advertisements for used cars and furniture.

King dedicated himself to overthrowing our immemorial rights to free association (i.e. the right to choose your employees and the cutomers you will serve in your business), and the right of private property (the right to decide to whom you will sell or

rent your property). He succeeded when these rights were derogated by the misnamed Civil Rights Act of 1964. Imposing, by Federal fiat, a national holiday honoring King, instituionalizes the moral, ethical and legal rectitude of the assault on these rights.

Due to King and his enabler Reagan, we have raised a generation of American judges, legislators and students with no grasp of the necessity of these vital rights, and no memory of their hallowed, thousand year legacy. Instead, we now have millions of Americans too terrified of Federal enforcement agents to dare to hire or rent to those whom they most desire to employ or house. This represents a grievous abridgment of the civil rights of all Americans.

Morning in Reagan's America: The US as Cheap Labor Colony

5. After his reelection in 1984, Reagan began to press for the acquisition of a vast pool of coolie labor on behalf of his corporate patrons, due to the fact that most nativeborn Americans in that era insisted on a living wage, according to the ancient standard of the Church (I Timothy 5:18).

In order to lay the groundwork for the transformation of yeoman America into a cheap labor colony, Reagan championed a controversial amnesty scheme for millions of illegal aliens, most of whom were Mexican nationals. In 1986, Reagan triumphed, signing into law the Simpson-Mazzoli Act, granting the amnesty which almost immediately led to an unprecedented immigration invasion which has not abated to this day, and which in turn created vast financial burdens on California's medical insurance program; and the inflation of housing costs to unbearable levels, (causing many native-born Americans to be unable to afford a home in California). The American southwest has subsequently been transformed into a crime-ridden outpost of a resurgent "Aztlan." President George W. Bush has proposed another calamitous, Reagan-style amnesty for illegal aliens on the same grounds and for the benefit of the same traitorous, Antichrist, predatory capitalist interests.

The Commie Fighter Who Worked for the Commies

6. Ronald Reagan's foreign policy supported and maintained in power many of the protagonists in the Muslim and Arab world who have lately been branded as planetary threats to world peace, including Usama bin Laden, who was armed and funded by Reagan's CIA, and Saddam Hussein, Reagan's ally in the Iraqi-precipitated Iran-Iraq war: "...the Reagan administration for five years provided military intelligence, economic aid and covert supplies of munitions to Iraq's armies in support of Saddam Hussein's war with Iran. The administration ignored Iraq's use of chemical weapons..." – *Washington Post,* June 9, 2004.

Reagan is alleged to be a redoubtable "Commie fighter." This risible PR spin overlooks the historic role the US government has played in ensuring the survival and expansion of the Communist slave system (in Russia by Henry Ford and Franklin D. Roosevelt; in Eastern Europe by Roosevelt and Harry S. Truman, and in China by George C. Marshall and Richard M. Nixon).

Communism only becomes vulnerable to destabilization by the US when it exhibits two defects: falling out of favor with the International Trade Cartel (Cuba) or falling out of favor with International Zionism (the USSR). Both Democrat Lyndon B. Johnson in the late 1960s and Republican Nixon in the early 1970s did ample business with the Soviet Union in ways that significantly bolstered the Soviet system.

It was only after a clear consensus emerged in New York, London and Jerusalem that the Judaics were scheduled to at long last terminate their world-historic Communist operations in Russia, and transform themselves into "victims of Communism," that Reagan was given the green light to play liberator. Yet at the same time our hero was acting this part, his Office of Special Investigations (OSI), a Federal agency which functions as an enforcement arm of international Zionism, was kidnapping elderly anti-Communist Christians and shamefully and disgracefully handing them over to the Communist butchers they had once fought. Most notorious in this regard was the Reagan administration's monstrous betrayal of the Catholic Croatian statesman Andrija Artukovic, an octogenarian who was keelhauled on a hospital gurney back to Communist Yugolslavia in 1986, to the hideous glee of vengeful Judeo-Communists.

It is patent that on those occasions when his Judaic handlers sought to have him assist vicious Communist persecution, Reagan timidly obeyed his orders and collaborated; and in those geo-political situations, such as in Russia, where, after 70 years on the road to nowhere, the Russian nation had been raped and drained to the point of collapse, and it was time for the Holy People to head for greener pastures in Brooklyn, Beverly Hills and Tel Aviv, that Reagan was allowed to step in, on cue, and assume the mantle of "Liberator of The Evil Empire."

A Hero for Split-Personalities Amid the sawdust of the American funhouse carnival, in the double-mind of the split-personalities who people that funhouse, Ronald Wilson Reagan is a paradigm of "the good and the true" in a "world of venal compromise." This hypnotic belief is similar to the mesmeric conviction that our current President can be a Skull and Bones secret society member and a good Christian; a papally-certified Rightto-Life campaigner and the man who was instrumental in helping abortionist Alan Specter defeat the staunch anti-abortionist, Congressman Patrick Toomey, in the Republican primary battle for the US Senate in Pennsylvania.

It all makes sense, under the ensign of the grinning clown, in the funhouse chamber of thrills, chills and spills, in the words of the barker's spiel, "A hero, a giant, a knight, a prince, the king of character!" But when the klieg lights have all gone dark and the sawdust has been scattered on the wind, it is the rabbis and the witches who will write the final epitaph of the Reagan legacy, "Solve et coagula."

Copyright 2004 by revisionisthistory.org All Rights Reserved

Hoffman is a former reporter for the New York bureau of the Associated Press and the author of six books, including *They Were White and They Were Slaves: The Untold History of the Enslavement of Whites in Early America* and Secret Societies and Psychological Warfare 2001.

6 juillet

Is the Intifada over? (Reading Chaucer in the Holy Land)

By Israel Shamir

"The Palestinian Intifada is over, and the Palestinians have lost"- - thus proclaimed the Jewish American columnist Charles Krauthammer in the *Washington Post* [i] (June 18, 2004). Armed resistance has dwindled; there are no attacks on Israeli civilians; the Palestinians have been brought to their knees, thanks to the assassination of Palestinian leadership and to the Wall that has locked the unruly natives in their ghettos, wrote the Zionist stalwart. Is it true? Is the resistance over, and has the Holy Land been surrendered to the victor? Well, up to a point:

Palestine can't be separated from the larger context: the battle for Palestine began in Jerusalem and Gaza, but now it rages in Falujah and Kerbala, notwithstanding the appointment of a CIA agent as a ruler of 'independent Iraq'; before coming back to Jerusalem, the war against Judeo-American domination probably will spread to Teheran, Damascus and even European capitals. But the Intifada in Palestine unsurprisingly ran out of steam.

The military might of the Jewish state knows no rivals in the Middle East and beyond. Armed to the teeth, equipped with the latest American weaponry and nuclear, chemical and biological weapons of mass destruction, it is probably able to take on any army on earth. Every Israeli man and woman serves in the Army, and his or her military exploits are the necessary requirement for any career, from minister to hairdresser. This militarised settler society easily overpowered the thoroughly disarmed native population.

The usual weapon of a Palestinian is a stone picked up on his hillside; their famed 'suicide-bombers' were rather manifestation of their indomitable spirit than a threat to Israel; hardly more than a nuisance from military point of view. Ordinary road accidents kill more Israelis than the Palestinians. None had military training; cordoned off from the outer world, a Palestinian could not obtain arms save those bootlegged by the renegade settlers; no wonder he could not defeat the steely rows of tanks and air-to-ground laser-guided missiles.

Moreover, the Jews have a powerful secret weapon at their disposal - their readiness to ruin the land. Their well-planned artesian wells killed the springs of water and turned the Holy Land into parched desert. This week I walked along the watercourse of Ghor (Arugot, in Hebrew), formerly a perennial stream. Home to mountain goat and leopard, this spring dried up, as the nearby kibbutz of Ein Gedi bored a shaft, laid a pipe and caught the water to bottle and sell in Tel Aviv. The gentle slopes of Samaria are disfigured by new roads to new Jewish suburbs. In the North of Gaza strip, a green land of fragrant orchards is turned into black wilderness of Mordor

with smouldering stubs of burned trees. In the ruined land, the settlers prevail over the natives.

And still Krauthammer's declaration of victory is premature. This immigrants-versusnatives confrontation over the sweet land of Palestine reminds me the Knight's Tale, this first fruit of Chaucer, that tells of two brothers, Arcite and Palamon, madly in love with King's daughter Emely, 'fresh as May with blossoms born anew, all mild and reverent, her body washed with water from a well'.

In order to win her hand, Arcite appealed to the God of War, and Palamon pled to the Goddess of Love. In the decisive tournament, Mars-inspired Arcite defeated the love-stricken Palamon, but he was not destined to wed the fair maiden: after his military victory, he collapsed and suddenly died. The God of War could deliver victory, but only the Goddess of Love could deliver the maiden. The gentle King gave his daughter to the defeated Knight, and 'with all bliss and joyous melody this Palamon hath wedded Emely', concludes Chaucer. Thus the English bard prophesied an event unexpected by the hard-nosed Krauthammer: people who love their land will have her, even if military victory will be had by their adversaries.

For the land should be loved as Emely was loved by Palamon, as woman is loved by man; and such a love is beyond the abilities of most Jews. Some of them see in Palestine a symbol of God's promise to the people of Israel or a pledge of Messianic days, but such symbolic love is doomed to fail. Likewise, my French socialist friend married a Russian girl, for she symbolised Communism and Dostoyevsky, but their marriage broke down under the heavy load of symbolism.

My English politician friend has married to obscure his sexual preferences; he was tired to explain the voters why he did not marry. Likewise, many Jews were tempted to embrace Zionism as they were tired of explaining why they have no land of their own. But tiredness is a poor basis for marriage, and a real woman and a real land weren't made to provide an excuse.

The worst of all are Krauthammers, the American Jews who believe that a land they did not plough and did not seed can belong to them for they have the deed, like a summer cottage they rarely visit - they know no love, but an impotent sultan's jealousy to his bought-and-paid-for slave girl.

The settlers proved their lack of true love at their withdrawal from Sinai in 1980s. Leaving these places after a short sojourn, they smashed everything they could lay their hands on, dynamited every house and bulldozed every garden and vineyard planted by native and imported hands. And now, discussing withdrawal from Gaza, the settlers swear they will obliterate all signs of life on their lands before surrendering them to the hated natives. This is not the way to deal with a loved land: a poet spread his tenderness towards his beloved like a carpet under her feet as she forsook him, and wished her to be happy with her new man, "loved as much as he loved her".

Indeed, Palestinians never damaged their homes and gardens they were forced to leave, and beautiful old Arab houses and gardens in Talbieh and Ain Karim bear witness to their masters' love to the end. Not only their faith in eventual return kept them back from torching their trees and burning their houses down before fleeing to the refugee camps of Lebanon and Gaza - but their selfless love for the land and trees.

The Holy Land is a common project by Our Lord God and by her people. He created her, and they attended to her, built her terraces, dug around olives, and worshipped her Lord on her high places. Just as the defeated Palamon won his fair Emely, the vanquished will inherit the land; while victorious in battle will perish unless they surrender to the Goddess of Love, love to the land and her people.

[i] <<u>http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A50910-2004Jun17.html</u>>6 July 2004

LANDMARK RESEARCH WORK

Another landmark work of revisionist research: Holocaust Handbooks, vol. 9: **Carlo Mattogno**: *Belzec in Propaganda, Testimonies, Archeological Research, and History.*

Witnesses report that at least 600,000, if not as many as three million people primarily of Jewish faith were murdered in the Belzec camp, located in eastern Poland, between November 1941 and December 1942. Various murder weapons are claimed to have been used: diesel gas chambers; unslaked lime in trains; high voltage; vacuum

chambers. According to witnesses, the corpses were finally incinerated on huge pyres without leaving any traces.

For those who know the stories about Treblinka, this all sounds too familiar. The author therefore restricted this study to the aspects, which are different and new compared to Treblinka, but otherwise refers the reader to his Treblinka book. The development of the official image portrait of Belzec is explained and subjected to a thorough critique. In contrast to Treblinka, forensic drillings and excavations were performed in the late 1990s in Belzec, the results of which are explained and critically reviewed. These findings, together with the absurd claims by 'witnesses,' refute the thesis of an extermination camp.

138 pp., 6"x9" pb, ill., bibl., index: \$/€15.-/£10.-, <<u>http://www.vho.org/store/USA/bresult.php?ID=19</u>>

HISTORY IN PERSPECTIVE

To look again - part two

David Mullenax

The Holocaust has become one of the most widely accepted events in all of human history. Nearly everyone has seen countless films, historical documentaries and tear-jerking testimonies of concentration-camp atrocities. Today, many students are taught about it in school - some as early as kindergarten - and if you are fortunate to live near Washington, D.C., you can expect field trips to the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum.

One really can't go anywhere without being reminded of The Holocaust. For example, my wife and I, on a trip to Baltimore, happened upon a memorial where the images of Jewish victims were entwined into a sphere that resembled the Earth, their faces grimacing in agonizing pain. The message: worldwide Jewish suffering.

On a recent trip to Boston, we encountered another memorial located in a park at the center of the tourist district, but this one was far more sensational than the last. Here, we discovered a glass monument where passersby could stroll through and read the names of Jewish Holocaust victims while simultaneously being subjected to a misty gas-like substance pouring into the glass enclosure. The message: Jews died by gas chambers.

At both memorials, I wondered to myself, "Why are these things here?" Really, why are Jews specifically given countless remembrances - not to mention a national Holocaust Remembrance Day - whose sole purpose is to remind the world of Jewish suffering?

Members of all ethnicities and religions have suffered tragically at one point in time or another. The Japanese living in Hiroshima and Nagasaki were consumed in an atomic firestorm - man, woman and child. In Germany, 130,000 innocent civilians died in an Allied firebombing campaign in one night leveling the entire city. The invasion of China by Japan in 1938 produced what is now known as "The Rape of Nanking," where 369,000 Chinese were beheaded, disemboweled or burned alive. The Communists murdered 25,000 Poles - execution style - in Katyn, Poland.

Yet these events and others do not receive comparable attention or anything demonstrably close to the media campaign towards Jewish suffering in the Holocaust. The supply of movies, books, documentaries, educational materials, museums, memorials, etc. is endless.

Yehuda Bauer, Jewish professor and historian at Hebrew University in Jerusalem noted:

"Whether presented authentically or in authentically, in accordance with the historical facts or in contradiction to them ... the Holocaust has become a ruling symbol of our culture ... Hardly a month goes by without a new TV production, a new film, a new drama, new books, prose or poetry dealing with the subject, and the flood is increasing rather than abating."

Most importantly, Bauer acknowledges the irrelevancy of the factual or inaccurate presentation of Holocaust material. Instead, the flood of information has transformed an at-times misrepresented historical event into a religious and social dogma.

The Holocaust does not drive itself, rather is steered and guided by political and media forces. This campaign for universal awareness of intense Jewish suffering has resulted in special political considerations and financial rewards for Israel.

According to the Washington Report on the Middle East, since 1949 Israel has received \$84.85 billion dollars in U.S. aid and yearly receives one-third of all U.S. aid to foreign countries. The total cost to U.S. taxpayers is a staggering \$134 billion dollars. But the U.S. is not alone in its handsome payouts to the Israeli government and other Jewish groups. Germany, for example, has paid \$40 billion dollars in reparations payments, and Switzerland \$1.25 billion.

Jewish professor Norman Finkelstein detailed in his book, *The Holocaust Industry*, that Israel and organized Jewry have used the event to "extort billions of dollars." And he predicts that the industry "may yet turn out to be the 'greatest robbery in the history of mankind.' "

Interestingly, Finkelstein remarked that Holocaust promotion is also an effective hammer in silencing and condemning all criticism of Israel and Jewry, thereby preventing the questioning and investigation of current or historical events. "Organized Jewry has exploited the Nazi holocaust to deflect criticism of Israel's and its own morally indefensible policies."

On a similar note, South African Bishop Desmund Tutu observed that because of the "pedestal" the Israeli government is placed on in the United States, "to criticize it is to be labeled anti-Semitic."

The extortion of funds, whether in the form of U.S. foreign aid or reparations payments, isn't possible unless organized Jewry is a powerful force in government and media. Exclusively Jewish perspectives are presented through effective media ownership resulting in unwavering support for the Israeli government and social sensitivity for Jewish interests.

This type of influential power was commented on by two prominent Jewish writers, Seymour Lipset and Earl Raab, in their book *Jews and the New American Scene*.

"During the last three decades, Jews (in the United States) have made up 50 percent of the top two hundred intellectuals ... 20 percent of professors at the leading universities ... 40 percent of partners in the leading law firms in New York and Washington ... 59 percent of the directors, writers and producers of the 50 top-grossing motion pictures from 1965 to 1982, and 58 percent of directors, writers and producers in two or more primetime television series."

However, Stephen Steinlight of the National Affairs of the American Jewish Committee commented that Jewish power is extremely disproportionate in media, notably television, news and Hollywood. With effective ownership of the media, a specifically Jewish perspective of popular culture is painted. This media power is able to promote what it wants, when it wants and how it wants, but more importantly, what the audience sees and doesn't see.

Jewish author and film critic Michael Medved stated, "It makes no sense at all to try to deny the reality of Jewish power and prominence in popular culture. Any list of the most influential production executives at each of the major movie studios will produce a heavy majority of recognizably Jewish names."

Jonathon Goldberg, editor of the Jewish weekly *Forward*, confirmed, "In a few key sectors of the media, notably among Hollywood studio executives, Jews are so numerically dominant that calling these businesses Jewish-controlled is little more than a statistical observation ... Virtually all the senior executives at the major studios are Jews. Writers, producers, and to a lesser degree directors are disproportionately Jewish - one recent study showed the figure as high as 59 percent among top-grossing films. The combined weight of so many Jews in one of America's most lucrative and important industries gives the Jews of Hollywood a great deal of political power."

However, Jewish media dominance expands beyond the Holocaust industry into an equally distorted view of Middle East affairs. A recent report shows that American media coverage of victimization in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict is remarkably pro-Israel. For example, in a crisis that has resulted in far more Palestinian casualties, 70 percent of Israeli deaths receive American press coverage as compared to 3.6 percent of Palestinian deaths.

Political power is achieved through the manufacturing of opinions and ideas. Worldviews, ideologies, philosophies, thoughts and feelings can be easily manipulated through control of the press. But those same manufactured views are turned into votes for political candidates, and many politicians and political insiders understand this. Undeniably, former chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Admiral Thomas Moore, courageously expressed his personal and shocking experience with Jewish power in Washington when he publicly proclaimed, "I've never seen a president - I don't care who he is - stand up to them (the Israelis). It just boggles the mind. They always get what they want. The Israelis know what is going on all the time. I got to the point where I wasn't writing anything down. If the American people understood what a grip those people have got on our government, they would rise up in arms. Our citizens certainly don't have any idea what goes on."

To look again - part three

Rarely in our society does a historical event play such an important role that it takes on a persona of its own, elevated beyond the scope of intellectual questioning. However, we must never lose sight of the role that history plays in shaping our beliefs, views and culture. History should always be placed in its proper perspective through unbiased examination.

The purpose of history is not the regurgitation of dates, facts and timelines, but is an instructor in understanding and enlightenment. History is the revealer of human behavior, the identity shaper of nations and a lighthouse to guide our paths towards the future.

Mark Weber of the Institute of Historical Review stated regarding history, "Any people that has a distorted sense of its own history is unable to know what to do. The best guide to the future is an understanding and a study of the past. When history is distorted, then any kind of rational, wise policies for the future become impossible."

With this in mind, to question the iconoclastic and preternatural status of the Holocaust becomes mandatory given its magnified position in American society. Its predominance has transcended the world over, indoctrinating those in an exclusively one-sided Jewish perspective. Many are completely unaware of the existence of other perspectives, perspectives of the historical revisionists that shatter this social dogma.

First, revisionists do not want to "revise" history, but are simply advocating that we look again at historical events in order to better understand them and apply their lessons. Specific to the Holocaust, they do not deny the existence of concentration camps or Jewish suffering under National Socialist Germany, but are quick to point out that many people suffered on both sides of World War II.

Where revisionists part company from mainstream historians is their skepticism of Nazi industrial complexes or formal plans specifically designed to exterminate the Jewish people.

So, to participate in the freedom of academic inquiry, let us look again at the Holocaust through the eyes of historical revisionists...

Imagine for a moment that you read the following account printed in numerous Arabic newspapers and broadcast on Al-Jazeera:

"The Americans hate the Iraqi people. George W. Bush and his Republican Party have invaded Iraq to kill all Iraqis. Inside Abu Grahib prison, under the direction of President Bush and his Republican Party, the Americans are making lampshades out of Iraqi skin and soap from the fat of dead Iraqis. Outside the prison is a large building used to gas the Iraqi people. Millions have been gassed and cremated. There is a device that is used to electrocute and vaporize Iraqis without a trace of evidence."

Now, I'm willing to bet that every American would, at a minimum, read the account with a raised brow. In fact, most of us would play it off as Arabic propaganda. Yet, why do we not question the same accounts of the Holocaust? Certainly, propaganda existed then as it does today. As Harry Elmer Barnes - regarded by many as the founder of historical revisionism - truthfully noted, "The tendency of governments to lie is most pronounced during times of war and conflict." This tendency was demonstrated a few years ago by Colin Powell at the United Nations when holding up photographs of buildings in Iraq that he claimed were weapons of mass-destruction factories, but were just buildings, after all.

Still, all of us have heard the testimonies of numerous Jewish concentration-camp survivors and their stories of alleged Nazi atrocities, so to eliminate redundancy, I will not quote them here. Yet the mere existence of millions of survivors would produce a shadow of doubt - one would hope - on the extermination theory. Unfortunately, the

survivors who tell a completely different story of the concentration camps are seldom heard. They are often silenced like many historians who try to tell their stories for them. But their testimonies do exist.

For example, in *The Toronto Star*, Maria Vanherwaarden, an inmate of Auschwitz in 1942, reported a Gypsy woman told her they would all be gassed in showers upon their arrival. When the train arrived, Maria and others were ordered to take a shower. Now, convinced of the Gypsy woman's claim, she felt the certainty of death. However, instead of gas pouring from the showerheads, there was only water. Maria testified of her experience in Toronto District Court in 1988, 43 years after her internment.

Likewise, in the book *Voices from the Holocaust*, Marika Frank - a Jewish woman - testified of her complete unawareness of the existence of gas chambers or gassings during her internment at Auschwitz in 1944, a time when 25,000 per day were allegedly gassed.

A rational and reasonable person would observe that there are witnesses for and against the extermination theory. Because of this, both sides of the debate can't be right. Enter forensic science to clear the issue.

In 1988, Fred Leuchter Jr., an engineer by trade and noted capital-punishment expert - including a manufacturer of gas-chamber hardware - was commissioned for a detailed analysis of the concentration camps. Upon completion of his findings, he testified under oath in Toronto District Court.

Leuchter's testimony revealed that the 32 forensic samples taken from the concentration-camp facilities produced "no significant cyanide gas" traces. He concluded his examination by stating, "Chemical analysis supports the fact that these facilities were never utilized as gas execution facilities. After a thorough examination of the alleged execution facilities in Poland and their associated crematories, the only conclusion that can be arrived at by a rational, responsible person is the absurdity of the notion that any of these facilities were ever capable of, or were utilized as, execution gas chambers."

Likewise, Dr. William Lindsey, a chemist for DuPont, testified in 1985 after an onsite examination of three concentration camps, including Auschwitz, "I have come to the conclusion that no one was willfully or purposefully killed with Zyklon B [hydrocyanic acid gas] in this manner. I consider it absolutely impossible."

As the decades-old debate continues, it is becoming more apparent that the details of the Holocaust are essentially unimportant. In fact, as was recently told to me by an expert on the subject, the importance is its social and political influence. The Holocaust has risen to a ruling symbol of our culture because of Jewish political power. In other words, you can't understand one without understanding the other.

Yet one can easily conclude that Holocaust promotion (and more specifically, Jewish suffering) has produced a social psychosis - a psychological phenomenon - resulting in the elevation of the Jewish people to "special victim" status. It has created Holocaustism - inducing individuals to subconsciously, through constant reminding of the Holocaust and Jewish suffering, stay away from criticizing Israel or Jewry for fear of being labeled anti-Semitic.

This is exactly what the promotion was intended to achieve.

Many Americans are unaware of its subconscious effects on their own lives. This is demonstrated in a subtle exhibition of eloquence by Bradley Smith when lecturing at college universities. First, he reads a quote by Elie Wiesel - former Auschwitz inmate and recipient of the 1986 Nobel Peace prize - from the book *Legends of our Time*:

"Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate - healthy, virile hate - for what the German personifies and for what persists in the German."

He then pauses allowing the audience to think about that statement. Then, he changes two words to show the effects of one-sided Jewish media perspectives and the influence it has over their hearts, minds and souls. The result is always stunned silence.

"Every Palestinian, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate - healthy, virile hate - for what the Jew personifies and for what persists in the Jew."

Feel the difference?

President Bush is assisting the spread of this psychosis when he recently told the audience at the American Israel Public Affairs Committee, "The demonization of Israel ... can be a flimsy cover for anti-Semitism."

Dishonest statements such as this deter debate and questioning regarding Israel or organized Jewry.

However, it isn't just the Holocaust itself that has given rise to psychological Holocaustism. There are other institutions, mainly religious and political, that create a

pro-Israeli or pro-Jewish atmosphere. For instance, America's "amen corner" is fanatical in its support of Israel and organized Jewry, often over the interests of their own country. And politicians of both parties exalt the state of Israel, frequently placating to Jewish interests.

Graphic courtesy of the Office of Majority Leader Tom DeLay, http://www.majorityleader.gov

In a remarkable illustration of the effects of Jewish power on American politicians, House Majority Leader Tom DeLay boasts of his pro-Israeli servitude on his Web site.

"We know our victory in the War on Terror depends on Israel's survival ... We hear your voice cry out in the desert, and we will never leave your side ... All free men and women must rally to the defense of Israel."

Even worse, in a small reminder to everyone of Jewish power in Washington, DeLay reverently displays on his site an image of the Israeli and American flag flying triumphantly together over the U.S. Capitol.

David Mullenax resides in Fishersville. His column, "Dave's Diatribe - Unfair and Unbalanced," appears every Friday in The Augusta Free Press.

<<u>david@davesdiatribe.com</u>>

<http://www.augustafreepress.com/stories/storyReader\$22320>

We could not find part I. Besides, the name of David Mullenax has disappeared from the roster of column writers. It seems he has not published anything in the Augusta Free Press since the end of May, whereas he used to write every Friday. It seems the paper should be re-named: Augusta Slave Press.

SPAIN: REVISIONISTS ARRESTED

The 25 of May three members of the cultural association 'Circulo de Estudios Indoeuropeos' (Circle of Indoeuropean Studies) have been arrested in Spain, in the region of Cataluña, by the regional police. The C.E.I is a legal cultural association, inscribed in the registry of associations of the Spanish Home Office / Department of the Interior, and all their publications and magazines have ISBN / legal deposit. They have delegations in the regions of Cataluña, Madrid, Andalucía, Valencia, Guadalajara, Asturias, and also in México. The three arrested are: Ramon Bau, 56 years, president of CEI, member in the past of the Spanish NS org CEDADE, that among other activities, during the 60's and 70's helped to publish the books that were forbidden in other European countries. Apart from being a respectable man, that has never made any speech or written in favour of the violent acts, is a well known comrade in other countries and edits the magazine Bajo la Tirania (Under the Tyranny). ; Oscar P.G, 27 years old, delegate in the region of Cataluña of CEI, and owner of the Kalki bookstore, that was also raided by the police in June of 2003 and is waiting for the judgement of his case; and **Carlos G.S**, 35 years old, member of CEI and usual writer in the CEI magazines. They are accused of forming and illegal association for the distribution of material that incites to the discrimination, the hate and violence against groups for racial motivations and for the negation of the jewish holocaust; justification of the genocide; for committing offences against the exercise of the fundamental rights and public liberties, and against the international community. [...]

1 Jun 2004

Websites of the bookstore and the cultural association: <<u>http://www.libreria-kalki.com</u>> <<u>http://www.ceindoeuropeos.com</u>> (temporarily out of service)

BIG BROTHERLY

Senate passes bill to monitor anti-Semitism around the world By: Marilyn H. Karfeld

A bill requiring the State Department to monitor incidents of anti-Semitism around the world unanimously passed the U.S. Senate last week.

Under the Global Anti-Semitism Review Act, the State Department would have to compile a country-by-country list of violence, harassment and vandalism directed against Jews and Jewish institutions by November 15. [..]

<http://www.clevelandjewishnews.com/articles/2004/05/13/news/local/dbill0514.txt>

PROPHETIC !!!

Arabs under attack by the Zionists and Christian Zionists

(1979)

Ditlieb Felderer

Arabs under attack "Christian Zionism" or "Scofieldism" had its beginning in its modern garb in the 18th Century. Its definite success of infiltration within Christendom started with the endeavors of Cyrus Ingerson Scofield (1843-1921) who through two of his authored books was able to peddle the concept onto a vast amount of religious people. The two main works were: *Rightly Dividing The World of Truth* (1885) and *Scofield Reference Bible* (1909, compare also his Addresses on Prophecy of 1900). Today his ideas are firmly entrenched within Christendom and all Arabs wanting to successfully combat the method should learn something about his history. It would be wrong to say that all Christians maintain the view but one should bear in mind that most Christians adhere to it in lesser or greater degrees. Ironically this often has nothing to do with the Bible but mainly due to the Zionist and Communist ruse that Hitler gassed to death 6 million people. It is maintained that seeing Hitler did this the "Jews" must have a right not only to evict the Arabs from their former homeland but also to rule and oppress them.

The Zionists have done their share in spreading the mental poison. Conscious of the immense value that Christians Zionists render them, the Zionists pamper them hoping thereby that through their infiltration the Arabs will lose their identity and disintegrate. This they have already achieved with Christendom using fifth column tactics without the so called Christian being aware of it. Fortunately enough the Christian Zionists in spite of their hard endeavors have until now had little success to put the scheme over on the Arabs, at least so far. The Arabs need however to be constantly on the alert lest the Christian Zionists will destroy them. Whenever the Christian Zionist sticks up his uggly head, an offensive counter-measure should be implemented which is best achieved by exposing the racket before its adherents.

Christian zionism The concept often goes under "Dispensationalism" which in turn is subdivided into several sections. Among the leaders apart from Scofield may be mentioned J.H.Brookes, W.E.Blackstone, J.N.Darby, I.M.Haldeman, L.S.Chafer, A.C.Gabelein and C.C.Ryrie. Seeing that the view was popularised through C.I.Scofield the term "Scofieldism" may be a better term for the method which claims to "rightly dividing the world of truth". There are three main ingredients in the method, two of them circulating around what one believes to be "Israel" and the "Jews". Let us take them one at a time.

1) Israel: The State of "Israel" has not only God's backing but is actually his main work in the progress of his will and in these "last days". They believe the establishment of "Israel" is predicted by the Bible. The fact that the State is established is evidence for that the Bible is true. "Israel" is God's eye. Woe to him who criticizes Zionism and "Israel". He will be severely punished. The logical outcome of Scofieldism is seen in the statement: "The New Testament is a covenant made with the Jewish nation" (Kenneth Wuest, Hebrews in the Greek New Testament). Here we have the reason why the Zionists pamper the Scofieldites to such an extent. Everyting circulates around the idea that "Israel" is God's chosen nation. Mankind's future hangs on this "Israel". It is the "light of the world" and the nation towards all must bow to. From it; light, life and peace will come forth.

2) Race: Scofieldism holds to a distorted view of race. Although it maintains there are many different groups of people, the main group or race are the "Jews". It is firmly believed that those calling themselves "Jews" actually belong to the Twelve Tribes. This

basic tenet must never be challenged nor discussed as it otherwise would upset the entire notion. The method hangs on this point, the "Jews" are the "chosen people", the "chosen race" through whom peace and eternal prosperity will flourish. They constitute the "key" to salvation. Everything circulates around the "chosen people".

Knowing then that this is the basic concept of the method the entire system can be successfully challenged and brought to naught by forcing the Scofieldite to prove how he knows that those whom he calls for "Jews" actually stem from the Twelve Tribes. Of course he cannot do this. The matter should be clinched with the historical fact that most of those calling themselves for "Jews" actually are of Khazar pedigree and thus they have absolutely nothing to do with the Twelve tribes. The Khazars adopted Talmudism just like some Chinese adopted Christianity. The view that a certain race constitutes the "chosen people" is the absolute

The view that a certain race constitutes the "chosen people" is the absolute lowest form of racism that exists. The very fact that this criminal concept with its adherents can constitutes the "chosen people" should open the eyes of thinking people. It is obvious that such a view must be confronted head on if mankind wants to live in peace and in unity.

3) Money: Money constitute another aspect in the method. It is inundated with a most terrible view of materialistic ideals. A constant sucking of money from the adherents are put in force. All those familiarizing themselves with Scofieldism will notice the intense love for mammon. This love for mammon got its start when an American preacher by the name of Herman Russell Conwell (1843-1925) started to preach that all Christians should be as rich as they possible could. He maintained that in every Christian's back yard there were acres of dimonds to be picked up. By having a lot of money, it was believed, the more good could be made. The more money, the more good could be achieved. These are the three basic ingredients of the Scofieldite method and his discussion constantly evolves around these questions.

"Jew - a wrong translation

The term "Jew" is an erroneous translation from the Greek and Latin. The Greek and Latin word should be translated "Judaean" or "Judean". Once this fact is understood the entire concept about the "Jews" becomes crystal clear. Not only it is impossible for any of these "JEWS" to prove they stem from any of the Twelve Tribes, particular in view of their Soviet place of origin, but it is even more impossible for them to prove they are Judeans. Seeing that they do not stem from any of the Twelve Biblical Tribes they cannot "return" to their forefathers' land and hence they have no historical connection with Palestine at all. Their claim to Palestine is absolutely nil. The claim is exactly valid as if some people should claim the United States in 3000 A.D. on the basis of having adopted the religion of the American Indians! The 3.500.000 Catholics of China (Time, 1951, July 2) have as much right to the former Papal State in Italy or the Vatican as these "Judaized" Khazars have to Palestine.

Where present? Scofieldism is present inside Fundamentalism, various Evangelical groups (type: Billy Graham), Pentecostalism and the so called "Charismatic Movements", Mormonism, sections of Jehovah's witnesses, numerous Baptist groups and some Lutheran sections. The American President H.S.Truman was infected by it and so it is the present one, Mr.Carter. This is one of the reasons why the Arabs have got nowhere with these people except being stabbed in the back by them. The concept is also found in the Masons and the Rosicrucians and all cabalistic groups. Bahaism is definitely infected by it.

What is their aim? The actual aim of the Scofieldites is to destroy, not only the Arab culture, but all cultures and replace them with a Zionist culture - one filled with sex, crime, dope, pornography, love for money. An environmental destruction beyond repair follows in its wake. Through infiltrations in the media and through constant belittling of the Arabs they have succeeded to spread distortion and false concepts of everything that is Arab culture. Every attempt by the Arabs to free themselves from the Zionists shackles will be met with all kinds of intimidations and sabotage. Particularly through the control of the media they hopes to bamboozle not only the Arabs but even more, those people within Christendom who are truly more prisoners of the system than the Arabs are. The Zionists aims for total world control. In the western world they have already achieved total control. Dope, sex, pornography, corruption and crime runs amuck. A close system aligned to them is Communism which actually is a Zionist product - one of the reasons which explains why Soviets worked so hard to rob Palestine away from the Arabs and give it to the "chosen race". Both Communisn and Capitalism are aligned together to destroy the Arabs and both systems are Zionist products.

A FAMOUS BOOK AVAILABLE AGAIN (FIRST PUBLISHED IN 1953)

What Price Israel? is now available to be bought and shipped worldwide to any country. Until now, it was only available to USA and Canada buyers directly from the publisher. I have updated our webpage about the book, so you can go there to access the link to Amazon.com.

For those of you who have already read the book, we would appreciate it if you would go to the Amazon page given at the link on our website and at the bottom of the book page enter your review of the book. Of course we expect mostly positive reviews from you folks, but any criticism is OK too! This is a great breakthrough, as we expect a lot of overseas interest in many countries from readers who know English. Our webstats show many thousands of visits from many nations. Later there may be some translations into other languages as there was with the 1953 edition. Here is the link to our *What Price Israel?* webpage.

<http://www.alfredlilienthal.com/what_price_israel.htm>

«When it appeared, this book was a truly path-breaking contribution, which opened many eyes to critical realities that had been too long obscured. It is just as relevant today as then—regrettably, one might add. It is very much to be hoped that it will reach a large and receptive audience. That would be no slight contribution to hopes for a more decent future in a tortured corner of the world.»

Noam Chomsky, author, Institute Professor and Professor of Linguistics at MIT, and Fellow of the National Academy of Science

BABELLING BIBLE

The Jesus Landing Pad by Rick Perlstein

It was an e-mail we weren't meant to see. Not for our eyes were the notes that showed White House staffers taking two-hour meetings with Christian fundamentalists, where they passed off bogus social science on gay marriage as if it were holy writ and issued fiery warnings that "the Presidents [sic] Administration and current Government is engaged in cultural, economical, and social struggle on every level"—this to a group whose representative in Israel believed herself to have been attacked by witchcraft unleashed by proximity to a volume of Harry Potter. Most of all, apparently, we're not supposed to know the National Security Council's top Middle East aide consults with apocalyptic Christians eager to ensure American policy on Israel conforms with their sectarian doomsday scenarios.

But now we know.

"Everything that you're discussing is information you're not supposed to have," barked Pentecostal minister Robert G. Upton when asked about the off-the-record briefing his delegation received on March 25. Details of that meeting appear in a confidential memo signed by Upton and obtained by the Voice.

The e-mailed meeting summary reveals NSC Near East and North African Affairs director Elliott Abrams sitting down with the Apostolic Congress and massaging their theological concerns. Claiming to be "the Christian Voice in the Nation's Capital," the members vociferously oppose the idea of a Palestinian state. They fear an Israeli withdrawal from Gaza might enable just that, and they object on the grounds that all of Old Testament Israel belongs to the Jews. Until Israel is intact and Solomon's temple rebuilt, they believe, Christ won't come back to earth.

Abrams attempted to assuage their concerns by stating that "the Gaza Strip had no significant Biblical influence such as Joseph's tomb or Rachel's tomb and therefore is a piece of land that can be sacrificed for the cause of peace." Three weeks after the confab, President George W. Bush reversed long-standing U.S. policy, endorsing Israeli sovereignty over parts of the West Bank in exchange for Israel's disengagement from the Gaza Strip.

In an interview with the Voice, Upton denied having written the document, though it was sent out from an e-mail account of one of his staffers and bears the organization's seal, which is nearly identical to the Great Seal of the United States. Its idiosyncratic grammar and punctuation tics also closely match those of texts on the Apostolic Congress's website, and Upton verified key details it recounted, including the number of participants in the meeting ("45 ministers including wives") and its conclusion "with a heart-moving send-off of the President in his Presidential helicopter."

Upton refused to confirm further details.

Affiliated with the United Pentecostal Church, the Apostolic Congress is part of an important and disciplined political constituency courted by recent Republican administrations. As a subset of the broader Christian Zionist movement, it has a lengthy history of opposition to any proposal that will not result in what it calls a "one-state solution" in Israel.

The White House's association with the congress, which has just posted a new staffer in Israel who may be running afoul of Israel's strict anti-missionary laws, also raises diplomatic concerns.

The staffer, Kim Hadassah Johnson, wrote in a report obtained by the Voice, "We are establishing the Meet the Need Fund in Israel—'MNFI.' . . . The fund will be an Interest Free Loan Fund that will enable us to loan funds to new believers (others upon application) who need assistance. They will have the opportunity to repay the loan (although it will not be mandatory)." When that language was read to Moshe Fox, minister for public and interreligious affairs at the Israeli Embassy in Washington, he responded, "It sounds against the law which prohibits any kind of money or material [inducement] to make people convert to another religion. That's what it sounds like." (Fox's judgment was e-mailed to Johnson, who did not return a request for comment.)

The Apostolic Congress dates its origins to 1981, when, according to its website, "Brother Stan Wachtstetter was able to open the door to Apostolic Christians into the White House." Apostolics, a sect of Pentecostals, claim legitimacy as the heirs of the original church because they, as the 12 apostles supposedly did, baptize converts in the name of Jesus, not in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. Ronald Reagan bore theological affinities with such Christians because of his belief that the world would end in a fiery Armageddon. Reagan himself referenced this belief explicitly a half-dozen times during his presidency.

While the language of apocalyptic Christianity is absent from George W. Bush's speeches, he has proven eager to work with apocalyptics—a point of pride for Upton. "We're in constant contact with the White House," he boasts. "I'm briefed at least once a week via telephone briefings. . . I was there about two weeks ago . . . At that time we met with the president."

Last spring, after President Bush announced his Road Map plan for peace in the Middle East, the Apostolic Congress co-sponsored an effort with the Jewish group Americans for a Safe Israel that placed billboards in 23 cities with a quotation from Genesis ("Unto thy offspring will I give this land") and the message, "Pray that President Bush Honors God's Covenant with Israel. Call the White House with this message." It then provided the White House phone number and the Apostolic Congress's Web address.

In the interview with the *Voice*, Pastor Upton claimed personal responsibility for directing 50,000 postcards to the White House opposing the Road Map, which aims to create a Palestinian state. "I'm in total disagreement with any form of Palestinian state," Upton said. "Within a two-week period, getting 50,000 postcards saying the exact same thing from places all over the country, that resonated with the White House. That really caused [President Bush] to backpedal on the Road Map."

When I sought to confirm Upton's account of the meeting with the White House, I was directed to National Security Council spokesman Frederick Jones, whose initial response upon being read a list of the names of White House staffers present was a curt, "You know half the people you just mentioned are Jewish?"

When asked for comment on top White House staffers meeting with representatives of an organization that may be breaking Israeli law, Jones responded, "Why would the White House comment on that?"

When asked whose job it is in the administration to study the Bible to discern what parts of Israel were or weren't acceptable sacrifices for peace, Jones said that his previous statements had been off-the-record.

When Pastor Upton was asked to explain why the group's website describes the Apostolic Congress as "the Christian Voice in the nation's capital," instead of simply a Christian voice in the nation's capital, he responded, "There has been a real lack of leadership in having someone emerge as a Christian voice, someone who doesn't speak for the right, someone who doesn't speak for the left, but someone who speaks for the people, and someone who speaks from a theocratical perspective."

When his words were repeated back to him to make sure he had said a "theocratical" perspective, not a "theological" perspective, he said, "Exactly. Exactly. We want to know what God would have us say or what God would have us do in every issue."

The Middle East was not the only issue discussed at the March 25 meeting. James Wilkinson, deputy national security advisor for communications, spoke first and is characterized as stating that the 9-11 Commission "is portraying those who have given their all to protect this nation as 'weak on terrorism,' " that "99 percent of all the men and women protecting us in this fight against terrorism are career citizens," and offered the example of Frances Town-send, deputy national security adviser for combating terrorism, "who sacrificed Christmas to do a 'security video' conference."

Tim Goeglein, deputy director of public liaison and the White House's point man with evangelical Christians, moderated, and he also spoke on the issue of same-sex marriage. According to the memo, he asked the rhetorical questions: "What will happen to our country if that actually happens? What do those pushing such hope to gain?" His answer: "They want to change America." How so? He quoted the research of Hoover Institute senior fellow Stanley Kurtz, who holds that since gay marriage was legalized in Scandinavia, marriage itself has virtually ceased to exist. (In fact, since Sweden instituted a registered-partnership law for same-sex couples in the mid '90s, there has been no overall change in the marriage and divorce rates there.)

It is Matt Schlapp, White House political director and Karl Rove's chief lieutenant, who was paraphrased as stating "that the Presidents Administration and current Government is engaged in cultural, economical, and social struggle on every level."

Also present at the meeting was Kristen Silverberg, deputy assistant to the president for domestic policy. (None of the participants responded to interview requests.)

The meeting was closed by Goeglein, who was asked, "What can we do to assist in this fight for these issues and our nations [sic] foundation and values?" and who reportedly responded, "Pray, pray, pray, pray."

The Apostolic Congress's representative in Israel, Kim Johnson, is ethnically Jewish, keeps kosher, and holds herself to the sumptuary standards of Orthodox Jewish women, so as to better blend in to her surroundings.

In one letter home obtained by the Voice she notes that many of the Apostolic Christians she works with in Israel are Filipino women "married to Jewish men—who on occasion accompany their wives to meetings. We are planning to start a fellowship with this select group where we can meet for dinners and get to know one another. Please Pray for the timing and formation of such." Elsewhere she talks of a discussion with someone "on the pitfalls and aggravations of Christians who missionize Jews." She works often among the Jewish poor—the kind of people who might be interested in interest-free loans—and is thrilled to "meet the outcasts of this Land—how wonderful because they are in the in-casts for His Kingdom."

An ecstatic figure who from her own reports appears to operate at the edge of sanity ("Two of the three nights in my apartment I have been attacked by a hair raising spirit of fear," she writes, noting the sublet contained a Harry Potter book; "at this time I am associating it with witchcraft"), Johnson has also met with Knesset member Gila Gamliel. (Gamliel did not respond to interview requests.) She also boasted of an imminent meeting with a "Knesset leader."

"At this point and for all future mails it is important for me to note that this country has very stiff anti-missionary laws," she warns the followers back home. [D]iscretion is required in all mails. This is particularly important to understand when people write mails or ask about organization efforts regarding such."

Her boss, Pastor Upton, displays a photograph on the Apostolic Congress website of a meeting between himself and Beny Elon, Prime Minister Sharon's tourism minister, famous in Israel for his advocacy of the expulsion of Palestinians from Israeli-controlled lands.

His spokesman in the U.S., Ronn Torassian, affirmed that "Minister Elon knows Mr. Upton well," but when asked whether he is aware that Mr. Upton's staffer may be breaking Israel's anti-missionary laws, snapped: "It's not something he's interested in discussing with *The Village Voice*."

In addition to its work in Israel, the Apostolic Congress is part of the increasingly Christian public face of pro-Israel activities in the United States. Don Wagner, author of the book Anxious for Armageddon, has been studying Christian Zionism for 15 years, and believes that the current hard-line pro-Israel movement in the U.S. is "predominantly gentile." Often, devotees work in concert with Jewish groups like Americans for a Safe Israel, or AFSI, which set up a mostly Christian Committee for a One-State Solution as the sponsor of last year's billboard campaign. The committee's board included, in addition to Upton, such evangelical luminaries as Gary Bauer and E.E. "Ed" McAteer of the Religious Roundtable.

AFSI's executive director, Helen Freedman, confirms the increasingly Christian cast of her coalition. "We have many good Jews, of course," she says, "but they're in the minority." She adds, "The liberal Jew is unable to believe the Arab when he says his goal is to Islamize the West.... But I believe it. And evangelical Christians believe it."

Of Jews who might otherwise support her group's view of Jews' divine right to Israel, she laments, "They're embarrassed about quoting the Bible, about referring to the Covenant, about talking about the Promised Land."

Pastor Upton is not embarrassed, and Helen Freedman is proud of her association with him. She is wistful when asked if she, like Upton, has been able to finagle a meeting with the president. "Pastor Upton is the head of a whole Apostolic Congress," she laments. "It's a nationwide group of evangelicals."

Upton has something Freedman covets: a voting bloc.

She laughs off concerns that, for Christian Zionists, actual Jews living in Israel serve as mere props for their end-time scenario: "We have a different conception of what [the end of the world] will be like . . . Whoever is right will rejoice, and whoever was wrong will say, 'Whoops!' "

She's not worried, either, about evangelical anti-Semitism: "I don't think it exists," she says. She does say, however, that it would concern her if she learned the Apostolic Congress had a representative in Israel trying to win converts: "If we discovered that people were trying to convert Jews to Christianity, we would be very upset."

Kim Johnson doesn't call it converting Jews to Christianity. She calls it "Circumcision of the Heart"—a spiritual circumcision Jews must undergo because, she writes in paraphrase of Jeremiah, chapter 9, "God will destroy all the uncircumcised nations along with the House of Israel, because the House of Israel is uncircumcised in the heart . . . [I]t is through the Gospel . . . that men's hearts are circumcised."

Apostolics believe that only 144,000 Jews who have not, prior to the Second Coming of Christ, acknowledged Jesus as the Messiah will be saved in the end times. Though even for those who do not believe in this literal interpretation of the Bible—or for anyone who lives in Israel, or who cares about Israel, or whose security might be affected by a widespread conflagration in the Middle East, which is everyone—the scriptural prophecies of the Christian Zionists should be the least of their worries.

Instead, we should be worried about self-fulfilling prophecies. "Biblically," stated one South Carolina minister in support of the anti-Road Map billboard campaign, "there's always going to be a war."

Don Wagner, an evangelical, worries that in the Republican Party, people who believe this "are dominating the discourse now, in an election year." He calls the attempt to yoke Scripture to current events "a modern heresy, with cultish proportions.

"I mean, it's appalling," he rails on. "And it also shows how marginalized mainstream Christian thinking, and the majority of evangelical thought, have become."

It demonstrates, he says, "the absolute convergence of the neoconservatives with the Christian Zionists and the pro-Israel lobby, driving U.S. Mideast policy."

The problem is not that George W. Bush is discussing policy with people who press right-wing solutions to achieve peace in the Middle East, or with devout Christians. It is that he is discussing policy with Christians who might not care about peace at all—at least until the rapture.

The Jewish pro-Israel lobby, in the interests of peace for those living in the present, might want to consider a disengagement.

The Village Voice, 18 May 2004. http://www.villagevoice.com/issues/0420/perlstein.php

NAZISM OR ZIONISM OR ZIONAZISM ?

`Do not have children if they won't be healthy!'

A shocking new study reveals how key figures in the pre-state Zionist establishment proposed castrating the mentally ill, sterilizing the poor and doing everything possible to ensure reproduction only among the `best of people.'

By Tamara Traubmann

June 11, 2004 Castrating the mentally ill, encouraging reproduction among families "numbered among the intelligentsia" and limiting the size of "families of Eastern origin" and "preventing ... lives that are lacking in purpose" - these proposals are not from some program of the **Third Reich** but rather were brought up by **key figures in the Zionist establishment** of the Land of Israel during the period of the British Mandate. It turns out there was a great deal of enthusiasm here for the improvement of the hereditary characteristics of a particular race (eugenics). This support, which has been kept under wraps for many years, is revealed in a study that examines the ideological and intellectual roots at the basis of the establishment of the health system in Israel.

In the Yishuv (pre-state Jewish community) in the 1930s there were "consultation stations" operating on a Viennese model of advice centers for couples that wished to marry and become parents. In Austria, with the Nazis' rise to power, they served for forced treatment. Here the stations were aimed at "giving advice on matters of sex and marriage, especially in the matter of preventing pregnancy in certain cases." They distributed birth-control devices for free to the penniless and at reduced prices to those of limited means. In Tel Aviv the advice stations were opened in centers of immigrant populations: Ajami in Jaffa, the Hatikvah Quarter and Neveh Sha'anan.

These are some of the findings of a doctoral thesis written by Sachlav Stoler-Liss about the history of the health services in the 1950s, under the supervision of Prof. Shifra Shvarts, head of the department of health system management at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev. They were presented at the annual conference of the Israel Anthropological Association at Ben-Gurion College.

The father of the theory of eugenics was British scholar Francis Galton. It was he who coined the term - which literally means "well-born" - at the end of the 19th century. The aim of the eugenics movement was to better the human race. Galton proposed a plan to encourage reproduction among "the best people" in society and to prevent reproduction among "the worst elements."

Forced sterilization

Between the end of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th, Galton drew many followers and his ideas spread rapidly to other countries in Europe (among them Germany, France, Italy, Denmark, Sweden and Belgium), to the United States and to some countries in South America. In various countries laws were passed that allowed for the forced sterilization of "hereditary paupers, criminals, the feeble-minded, tuberculous, shiftless and ne'er-do-wells." In the United States, up until 1935, about 20,000 people - "insane," "feeble-minded," immigrants, members of ethnic minorities and people with low IQs - were forcibly sterilized, most of them in California. The Californian law was revoked only in 1979. According to Dr. Philip Reilly, a doctor and executive director of the Shriver Center for Mental Retardation, in 1985 at least 19 states in the United States had laws that allowed the sterilization of people with mental retardation, (among them Arkansas, Colorado, Georgia, Minnesota, Mississippi, North and South Carolina, Vermont, Utah and Montana).

"Eugenics is considered to be something that only happened in Germany," says Stoler-Liss. "Germany was indeed the most murderous manifestation of eugenics, but in fact it was a movement that attracted many followers. In every place it took on a unique, local aspect. It is interesting to note that both in Germany and in Israel a link was made between eugenics, health and nationalism."

Stoler-Liss first encountered the eugenics texts of doctors from the Yishuv when looking for instruction books for parents for a research project for her master's degree. "I presented a text at a thesis seminar and then the instructor of the workshop said to me, 'But why aren't you saying that this is a translated text?' I replied: 'No, no, the text isn't translated.' In Israel,' he said, 'there are no such things.'"

She decided to look into whether there was only anecdotal and nonrepresentative evidence, doctors and public figures here and there who supported eugenics - and she found many publications that promoted eugenics. Supporters of the idea were key figures in the emerging medical establishment in Palestine; the people who managed and created the Israeli health system.

One of the most prominent eugenicists of the Mandatory period was Dr. Joseph Meir, a well-known doctor who acquired his education in Vienna, served for about 30 years as the head of the Kupat Holim Clalit health maintenance organization, and after whom the Meir Hospital in Kfar Sava is named. "From his position at the very heart of the Zionist medical establishment in the land of Israel in the mid-1930s, he brought young mothers the gospel of eugenics, warned them about degeneracy and transmitted the message to them about their obligation and responsibility for bearing only healthy children," says Stoler-Liss.

Thus, for example, in 1934 Dr. Meir published the following text on the first page of "Mother and Child," a guide for parents that he edited for publication by Kupat Holim: "Who is entitled to give birth to children? The correct answer is sought by eugenics, the science of improving the race and preserving it from degeneration. This science is still young, but its positive results are already great and important - These cases [referring to marriages of people with hereditary disorders - T.T.] are not at all rare in all nations and in particular in the Hebrew nation that has lived a life of exile for 1,800 years. And now our nation has returned to be reborn, to a natural life in the land of the Patriarchs. Is it not our obligation to see to it that we have whole and healthy children in body and soul? For us, eugenics as a whole, and the prevention of the transmission of hereditary disorders in particular, even greater value than for all other nations! ... Doctors, people involved in sport and the national leaders must make broad propaganda for the idea: Do not have children if you are not certain that they will be healthy in body and soul!"

`Problematic and dangerous' In its full version, the article, which was published in the "Health Guard" section of the now defunct labor Zionist newspaper Davar, the doctor proposed castrating the mentally ill. Stoler-Liss found many more examples in the "Mother and Child" books that were published in 1934 and 1935 and in journals like Eitanim, which was edited by Dr. Meir.

"The support of Dr. Meir and other senior people in the health system for these ideas has been kept under wraps for many years," claims Stoler-Liss. No one today talks about this chapter in the history of the Yishuv. In the mid-1950s Dr. Meir's articles were collected into a book that came out in his memory. The article mentioned above was not included in it. Stoler-Liss found a card file with notes scribbled by the editors of the volume. They defined the article as "problematic and dangerous." "Now, after Nazi eugenics," wrote one of the editors, "it is dangerous to publish this article."

During the latter part of the 1930s, adds Stoler-Liss, when word came out a bout the horrors that eugenics in its extreme form is likely to cause, they stopped using this word, which was attributed to the Nazis. Overnight eugenics organizations and journals changed their names and tried to shake off any signs of this theory. Dr. Meir, however, during all the years he was active, continued to promote the ideas of eugenics. At the beginning of the 1950s he published an article in which he harshly criticized the reproduction prize of 100 lirot that David Ben-Gurion promised to every mother who gave birth to 10 children. "We have no interest in the 10th child or even in the seventh in poor families from the East ... In today's reality we should pray frequently for a second child in a family that is a part of the intelligentsia. The poor classes of the population must not be instructed to have many children, but rather restricted."

"I'm not making a value judgment," says Stoler-Liss. "Zionism arose at a certain period, in a certain ideological atmosphere - there were all kinds of ideas in the air and there were also eugenicist Zionists. Some of the doctors were educated in Europe, and at that time the medical schools taught not only medicine but also the theory of eugenics."

Judaism of muscle

Dr. Meir was not the first Zionist leader who supported eugenics. According to studies by Dr. Rapahel Falk, a geneticist and historian of science and medicine at Hebrew University, other major Zionist thinkers - among them Dr. Max Nordau, Theodor Herzl's colleague, a doctor and a publicist, and Dr. Arthur Ruppin, the head of the World Zionist Organization office in the Land of Israel - presented the ideas of eugenics as one of the aims of the Jewish movement for national renewal and the settlement of the land.

Prof. Meira Weiss, an anthropologist of medicine at Hebrew University, describes in her book "The Chosen Body" how the settlement of the land and work on the land were perceived by these Zionist thinkers as the "cure" that would restore the health of the Jewish body that had degenerated in the Diaspora. In Nordau's terms, a "Judaism of muscle" would replace "the Jew of the coffee house: the pale, skinny, Diaspora Jew. "At a time when many Europeans are calling for a policy of eugenics, the Jews have never taken part in the `cleansing' of their race but rather allowed every child, be it the sickest, to grow up and marry and have children like himself. Even the mentally retarded, the blind and the deaf were allowed to marry," wrote Ruppin in his book "The Sociology of the Jews." "In order to preserve the purity of our race, such Jews [with signs of degeneracy - T.T.] must refrain from having children."

"Many people dealt with eugenics as a theoretical issue," says Stoler-Liss. "They even set up a Nordau Club with the aim of researching the racial aspects of the Jewish people and ways of improving it. What was special about Dr. Meir and the group that joined him was that for them eugenics was a very practical matter." They wanted to pursue applied eugenics.

The main institution was the advice station. The first station was opened in 1931 in Beit Strauss on Balfour Street in Tel Aviv. The aim was to work in "pleasant ways," through persuasion and choice. As Stoler-Liss explains: "Why should people work against their personal interests? It is here that the connection to the national interest comes in. If I understand that by having a baby I will harm the national interest, the building of the land, the `new Jew,' I will refrain from giving birth. But just to make certain, Meir told the doctors, in the event that a woman comes to you who is `a risk' for giving birth to a sick baby, it is your obligation to make certain that she has an abortion."

"Gynecologist Miriam Aharonova also wrote extensively on the subject of eugenics," adds Stoler-Liss. "In articles for parents under headings such as `The Hygiene of Marriage' she gives a list of eugenic instructions for parents - from the recommended age for giving birth (between 20 and 25), to the recommended difference in age between the father and the mother (the reason for which is the betterment of the race) to a list of diseases that could infect the spouse or "be transmitted through heredity to their descendents after them." In the diseases, she mentions "syphilis, gonorrhea, tuberculosis, alcoholism, narcotics addiction (fondness for morphine, cocaine, etc.) and diseases of the mind and the nerves." In the volume of "Mother and Child" published in 1935, says Stoler-Liss, the publication and discussions by doctors who supported eugenics was greatly expanded. Why, in fact, did they not use force? The establishment had a great deal of power over immigrants and refugees.

"The medical establishment's power was limited at that time. This was an establishment that developed hand in hand with the system it was supposed to strengthen and suffered from constant shortages: a shortage of doctors, a shortage of nurses and a shortage of equipment. It had to examine, treat, inoculate and so on. We are talking about the period of the British Mandate. When at long last there was a state, eugenics theory declined. My explanation is the change of generations: that generation had come to an end professionally, and a new generation with more national motivation came along that was not educated at the European universities during that period. They had already seen what the Nazis had done with it and the ideological identification was lower. The ideas themselves seeped in but they're not using the same rhetoric."

Have eugenics really vanished? The eugenic chapter in the history of Western culture has been closed, but have eugenics really disappeared?

"Eugenic thinking is alive and well today," asserts Stoler-Liss. "It is expressed mainly in the very high rate of pre-natal tests and genetic filtering [of genetically deviant fetuses]. Mothers are very highly motivated to give birth only to healthy children and the attitude toward the exceptional, the different and the handicapped in Israeli society is problematic."

At hospitals today future parents are offered a plethora of genetic tests that diagnose the fetus before birth. Some of them are aimed at identifying serious

disorders, like Tay-Sachs disease, a degenerative disease that causes a painful death in infancy. Others, however, are aimed at screening fetuses with conditions like deafness and sterility, the bearers of which can lead full and satisfying lives.

<http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/437879.html>

OKKUPATION

14 U.S. Military Bases Under Construction In Iraq

Pepe Escobar

Iraqis also know that 14 US military bases are already under construction, enough to accommodate the (for the moment) 110,000 American soldiers who will stay in Iraq until at least 2007. No sovereign Iraqi government has approved the construction of these bases. Kimmitt - the No 2 Pentagon man in Iraq, and the one who launched total war on Fallujah - said the bases are "a blueprint for how we could operate in the Middle East". A ring of US military bases throughout what the Pentagon calls the Greater Middle East is a key element of the neo-conservative-driven strategy to control world energy resources as the way to control the destiny of America's economic rivals - the European Union and Northeast Asia. One year on: From liberation to jihad

"So this is the Bush administration-sponsored "free Iraq" people identify not only in the Sunni triangle but in the Shi'ite south: an occupying power maybe not formally occupying the country any more, but installed in 14 military bases and able to exercise full control on security, the economy and the whole infrastructure. In plain English: a US colony. This is the reason the mob in Fallujah rejoiced in the burning of those American bodies. This is the reason Sunnis and Shi'ites have for now united in anger. And this is the reason the "liberation" has finally turned into a jihad."

On April 9, 2002, Saddam Hussein's statue in Firdaus Square in Baghdad was still enveloped, like a Christo installation, waiting to be unveiled in an official ceremony. On April 9, 2003, the statue was toppled by the US Army, and later replaced by a faceless figure symbolizing "liberation". On April 9, 2004, the faceless statue is plastered with photographs of "outlaw" Shi'ite cleric Muqtada al-Sadr.

One year after the "fall" of Baghdad, the old colonial maxim "divide and rule" does not apply anymore. For the occupiers, this is the ultimate nightmare: Sunni and Shi'ite, united (almost) as one. From Kirkuk in the north to Karbala in the south, from Fallujah to Nasiriyah, from Ramadi to Baghdad, Iraq is in turmoil - and this is not the work of "Saddam Fedayeen", "remnants of the Ba'ath Party" or "foreign terrorists". This is the beginning of the end: the serious possibility that the Shi'ites - 60 percent or so of the invaded and "liberated" Iraqi population - will be tempted actively to lead the multifaceted Iraqi resistance.

It's ironic that it took one year after its supposed US-sponsored liberation for the resistance to qualify Fallujah as liberated - before the city of almost 500,000 came under siege by the marines this past Monday. There's no food or water coming in. By blocking the highway connecting Baghdad, Amman and Damascus, the Americans have strangulated practically all trade between Iraq and its neighbors Jordan and Syria. The city is totally sealed off from the rest of the world. AlJazeera has the only media crew in town. Reporter Ahmad Mansur says: "Everybody walking in the streets is now becoming an [American] target." Mosques are broadcasting calls to jihad.

An Apache helicopter fired three missiles into a compound housing the Abdul Aziz al-Samarrai mosque in Fallujah during afternoon prayers. The mosque itself was not hit but dozens of people were. Homes are being turned into makeshift hospitals. Whatever the spin from the Pentagon, this is the word of mouth in the Iraqi street, soon to spread like wildfire all over the Muslim world: the Americans now are bombing mosques. Fallujah is the new Gaza. Fallujah residents are to be subjected to ferocious Israeli-style searchand-destroy raids for the men with rocket-propelled grenades who first attacked the four American mercenaries from Blackwater Security Consulting, whose corpses were later mutilated and hanged by an angry mob. Iraqis in the Sunni triangle believe that the Americans received their "rules of engagement" from Ariel Sharon's army in Israel.

Meanwhile, in the Shi'ite belt, the holy city of Kufa, the power base of the clerical al-Sadr family, in whose mosque "outlaw" Muqtada al-Sadr took refuge, became the first Iraqi city to spin completely out of US control. Asia Times Online has confirmed that Muqtada is now in the holy city of Najaf, in his office in an alley near the Imam Ali shrine, protected by hundreds of armed members of his Mahdi Army. The Iraqi police have totally vanished. The Spanish garrison outside of town describes the situation as "high tension". The Mahdi Army now in effect controls the shrine, as well as central Najaf. A constant stream of Muqtada's followers comes from Baghdad. In his most recent statement, he says: "I'm prepared to have my own blood shed for what is holy to me," and calls on Sunnis and Shi'ites alike to fight the Americans.

Proconsul L Paul Bremer's Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) has already amplified Muqtada's cult-hero status, and may soon create a martyr by having a warrant for his arrest issued. Muqtada's black-clad Mahdi Army may have only several thousand members, but he commands support of at least 30 percent of an estimated 15 million Iraqi Shi'ites: some serious Arab analysts even talk of 50 percent. And just as his father, Grand Ayatollah Mohammed al-Sadr, became a martyr to Saddam in 1999, Muqtada well appreciates the benefits of becoming a martyr to the US occupation.

Cross-confessional intifada For all purposes, an intifada is now going on. Local sources tell Asia Times Online there are pro-Muqtada posters all over Anbar - the richest, predominantly Sunni, Iraqi province. Ramadi - where marines have been under fierce attack - is in Anbar. Only a war of national liberation is the motive capable of explaining these posters. The concept - penned by the Pentagon - of a Shi'ite Mahdi Army fighting the marines in Sunni Anbar is positively ludicrous. This regional resistance is conducted by former officers of the Iraqi army, as tribal sheikhs in the Sunni triangle told this correspondent last year.

Sunnis and Shi'ites are united in Baghdad, under the same nationalist impulse. Sheikh Raed al-Kazami, Muqtada's man in the Shi'ite-majority Kazimiya neighborhood, is not very far from the truth when he says: "All of Iraq is behind Muqtada al-Sadr; we are but one body, one people." On the other side of the Tigris, Sunni-majority Adhamiya is now aligned with Kazimiya, as well as Fallujah, Ramadi and even Mosul, against the "American invaders". The popular justification is always the same: this is now a jihad, regardless of whether one is Sunni or Shi'ite. People will fight in their neighborhoods, even if they don't join the Mahdi Army.

Asia Times Online has learned that in an unprecedented move, 150 powerful Sunni tribal leaders and emissaries personally delivered a support message to Muqtada's key aides in the 2-million-plus slum of Sadr City, the former Saddam City: "We are all behind Muqtada al-Sadr, we are by his side because he awakened the Iraqi people to liberate the country from the infidel invaders." The message also said: "We are but one Muslim nation - no one can separate us, be it in Iraq or Palestine."

Washington was busy predicting a civil war among Sunnis, Shi'ites and Kurds. The White House, the Pentagon and the CPA even had the perfectly manufactured culprit: Jordanian Mussab al-Zarqawi, the new Osama bin Laden. What they bought themselves instead is the ultimate occupier nightmare: Sunni and Shi'ite united. Muqtada may be a cross between two-thirds Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini of Iran and one-third Che Guevara (without the romantic charisma). But he finds enormous echo in Iraq when he compares Bremer to Saddam (in Sadr City, US-trained Iraqi soldiers first fired on peaceful demonstrators, followed by the US Army with tanks, Apaches and jets firing at random on homes, shops and even ambulances; according to local hospitals, dozens of civilians were killed and many more were injured). Muqtada also finds enormous echo in the Arab world when he aligns himself with Hamas - predominantly Sunni - and Hezbollah - predominantly Shi'ite.

US Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld swears Washington has nothing to do with the arrest warrant against Muqtada: this is "Iraqi justice" in action. Wrong. The Iraqi Jurists Association published a statement on Wednesday saying that the arrest warrant is "illegal and based on a lie ... The arrest warrant is illegal and incorrect, as the occupation forces issued it in disregard for sovereignty of Iraq's justice system." The Iraqi minister of justice, Abdel-Rahim Al-Shibly, also says he had not been aware of the arrest warrant.

The Bremer-Muqtada-Sistani triangle The CPA will never persuade Iraqis - Sunni or Shi'ite - that the violent repression against Muqtada and the Mahdi Army is capable of safeguarding the "handover of sovereignty" on June 30. Apart from Humvees, tanks

and Apaches, Bremer sent the new Iraqi army - using ski masks, so they would not be recognized later by the neighbors - to fire on the urban poor of Sadr City, the same Saddam City "liberated" by the marines a year ago. After this performance, the CPA's credibility, already low, is now less than zero: the average Iraqi portrays it as a dictatorship exactly like Saddam's - intolerant of a critical press and fully repressing peaceful protests.

Former counter-terrorism expert Bremer may have been foolish to use such tactics. Or he may have been very clever - employing a typical Sharon move: a provocation leading to anger and protests, which cries for a crackdown to restore "order". He may have wanted to trigger a move to cripple the growing influence of the army of Sadrists. Muqtada and his followers would have every chance of getting a great number of seats if elections for a Iraqi parliament are really held next January.

Muqtada is indeed a radical upstart compared with the religious Shi'ite first among equals, Grand Ayatollah Ali al-Sistani. But Sistani prefers to carefully mold the United Nations to his wishes, rather than confronting the CPA - which he loathes in silence and seclusion. But as many Shi'ite religious leaders have told this correspondent, Sistani just has to say the word (or issue a fatwa). If he says the word, the occupation is finished.

One thing is absolutely certain: there is no possible US military solution to smash the resistance. Harith al-Dari, secretary general of the Iraqi Islamic Scholars Association - one of the country's highest religious authorities - goes straight to the point: "They insist on enforcing a military solution as if they are facing an enemy in a battleground, not isolated civilians."

If Bremer behaved like a fool, he only has one card left to play. He badly needs Sistani's help to reign in Muqtada. But Sistani does not even admit receiving a deferential visit from Bremer in person. Supposing this would happen, there would be a heavy political price to pay: plenty of US concessions and a total review of the USimposed Iraqi constitution. For the moment, Sistani has voiced "solidarity" with Muqtada, and is still preaching "negotiations", while Dawa - the oldest Shi'ite political party - has distanced itself from the Muqtada uprising.

Hell and Blackwater

The four Americans killed in Fallujah were not simply "civilians". Three were Navy Seals (sea, air, land special forces) and one was Delta Force, working on contract for the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and employees of Blackwater Security Consulting - one among dozens of so-called "private" companies performing shady operations in Iraq and other parts of the world Washington prefers be attributed to "civilians": a US\$100-billion-a-year market. There may be as many as 10,000 "civilian" security contractors in Iraq at the moment. Blackwater is a paramilitary operation: it trains soldiers in counter-terrorism and urban combat, and profits from rent-a-soldier schemes (using former Green Berets, Army Rangers and Navy Seals). Blackwater's corporate leaders are proud to manage the largest and most professional private army in the world, with around 400 armed commandos in Iraq alone. Some of them compose the Praetorian Guard of Bremer himself.

Additionally, there may be up to 3,000 CIA agents in Iraq at the moment. As far as the Iraqi resistance is concerned, "security" contractors, Seals, Delta Force or CIA are not civilians but legitimate military-related targets.

Anybody who has traveled in the Sunni triangle knows how the US occupation is universally loathed. Fallujah residents told this correspondent last year that the Americans themselves triggered the birth of the resistance only two weeks after the fall of Baghdad, when their troops entrenched in a Fallujah school opened indiscriminate fire against an angry crowd, killing at least 17 people, including women and children.

The Pentagon and the White House could not possibly admit there's a war of national resistance going on - but that's what it is: the spirit of the resistance is a mix of Iraqi nationalism and Arab pride, and has absolutely nothing to do with Saddam. Even before the crackdown on Fallujah and against Muqtada's followers, different groups had united under an official denomination: the Patriotic Front for the Liberation of Iraq.

The US response in Fallujah - "deliberate, precise and overwhelming", according to General Mark Kimmitt - won't deter the resistance. In Fallujah, they call themselves the Resistance Brigades of Fallujah, and have even issued a communique taking credit for the killing of the American contractors. The Brigades include the Brigades of the Martyr Ahmad Yasin, the Brigades of Ali ibn Abi Talib the Lion of God and Conqueror, and the Brigades of the 1920 Revolution.

'Free Iraq' Bremer has declared war on local populations: this is an enormous mistake. The Bush administration's "war on terror" has led to thousands more civilian

victims in Afghanistan and Iraq than in the United States on September 11, 2001. This is never debated in the US mainstream media - where as a rule an American life is deemed to be superior to any other. On every front, the "war on terror" is not leading to an end of terrorism, but to a never-ending war.

The administration of President George W Bush is busy selling the concept of a June 30 handover of "sovereignty" to an Iraqi administration. Even before the current Operation Bloodshed, Iraqis - avid consumers of political intrigue - knew full well what's behind it. They know the CPA has confirmed that after June 30, the \$18.4 billion of reconstruction funds will be administered by the US Embassy in Iraq - the largest in the world, capable of housing 3,000 people. These funds - supposed to last for five years - will be spent on Iraq's crucial infrastructure: oil, water, electricity, communications, police and the judiciary. What Bremer's CPA is in fact saying is that any Iraqi government simply won't be able to decide how the country will be rebuilt.

Iraqis also know that 14 US military bases are already under construction, enough to accommodate the (for the moment) 110,000 American soldiers who will stay in Iraq until at least 2007. No sovereign Iraqi government has approved the construction of these bases. Kimmitt - the No 2 Pentagon man in Iraq, and the one who launched total war on Fallujah - said the bases are "a blueprint for how we could operate in the Middle East". A ring of US military bases throughout what the Pentagon calls the Greater Middle East is a key element of the neo-conservative-driven strategy to control world energy resources as the way to control the destiny of America's economic rivals - the European Union and Northeast Asia.

Iraqis also know about another Bremer executive order - according to which even with an interim Iraqi government the Iraqi army will be controlled by top US commander Lieutenant-General Ricardo Sanchez. And they know they will also have to live with an Iraqi version of Condoleezza Rice - a Bremer-appointed national security adviser with a five-year mandate.

Muqtada may be an Islamic fundamentalist. But his intifada is popular because the base consists of legions of Iraq's urban poor and unemployed - roughly 70 percent of the total working-age population. And the motive is plain and simple: this is part of a national resistance against a colonial enterprise. No institution created by the US invasion - especially the CPA - has any political legitimacy, any moral legitimacy, or any kind of popular support. Juan Cole, professor of history at the University of Michigan and one of the leading American experts on Iraq, is adamant: "The United States has managed to create a failed state, similar to Somalia and Haiti, in Iraq."

So this is the Bush administration-sponsored "free Iraq" people identify not only in the Sunni triangle but in the Shi'ite south: an occupying power maybe not formally occupying the country any more, but installed in 14 military bases and able to exercise full control on security, the economy and the whole infrastructure. In plain English: a US colony. This is the reason the mob in Fallujah rejoiced in the burning of those American bodies. This is the reason Sunnis and Shi'ites have for now united in anger. And this is the reason the "liberation" has finally turned into a jihad.

May 17, 2004 <http://www.atimes.com/>

THE ISRAELI TORTURE TEMPLATE

Rape, Feces and Urine-Dipped Cloth Sacks

By Wayne Madsen

With mounting evidence that a shadowy group of former Israeli Defense Force and General Security Service (Shin Bet) Arabic-speaking interrogators were hired by the Pentagon under a classified "carve out" sub-contract to brutally interrogate Iraqi prisoners at Baghdad's Abu Ghraib prison, one only needs to examine the record of abuse of Palestinian and Lebanese prisoners in Israel to understand what Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld meant, when referring to new, yet to be released photos and videos, he said, "if these images are released to the public, obviously its going to make matters worse." According to a political appointee within the Bush administration and U.S. intelligence sources, the interrogators at Abu Ghraib included a number of Arabic-speaking Israelis who also helped U.S. interrogators develop the "R2I" (Resistance to Interrogation) techniques. Many of the torture methods were developed by the Israelis over many years of interrogating Arab prisoners on the occupied West Bank and in Israel itself.

Clues about worse photos and videos of abuse may be found in Israeli files about similar abuse of Palestinian and other Arab prisoners. In March 2000, a lawyer for a Lebanese prisoner kidnapped in 1994 by the Israelis in Lebanon claimed that his client had been subjected to torture, including rape. The type of compensation offered by Rumsfeld in his testimony has its roots in cases of Israeli torture of Arabs. In the case of the Lebanese man, said to have been raped by his Israeli captors, his lawyer demanded compensation of \$1.47 million. The Public Committee Against Torture in Israel documented the types of torture meted out on Arab prisoners. Many of the tactics coincide with those contained in the Taguba report: beatings and prolonged periods handcuffed to furniture. In an article in the December 1998 issue of The Progressive, Rabbi Lynn Gottlieb reported on the treatment given to a 23-year old Palestinian hell d on "administrative detention." The prisoner was "cuffed behind a chair 17 hours a day for 120 days . . . [he] had his head covered with a sack, which was often dipped in urine or feces. Guards played loud music right next to his ears and frequently taunted him with threats of physical and sexual violence." If additional photos and videos document such practices, the Bush administration and the American people have, indeed, "seen nothing yet."

Although it is still largely undocumented if any of the contractor named in the report of General Antonio Taguba were associated with the Israeli military or intelligence services, it is noteworthy that one, John Israel, who was identified in the report as being employed by both CACI International of Arlington, Virginia, and Titan, Inc., of San Diego, may not have even been a U.S. citizen. The Taguba report states that Israel did not have a security clearance, a requirement for employment as an interrogator for CACI. According to CACI's web site, "a Top Secret Clearance (TS) that is current and US citizenship" are required for CACI interrogators working in Iraq. In addition, CACI requires that its interrogators "have at least two years experience as a military policeman or similar type of law enforcement/intelligence agency whereby the individual utilized interviewing techniques."

Speculation that "John Israel" may be an intelligence cover name has fueled speculation whether this individual could have been one of a number of Israeli interrogators hired under a classified contract. Because U.S. citizenship and documentation thereof are requirements for a U.S. security clearance, Israeli citizens would not be permitted to hold a Top Secret clearance. However, dual U.S.-Israeli citizens could have satisfied Pentagon requirements that interrogators hold U.S. citizenship and a Top Secret clearance. Although the Taguba report refers twice to Israel as an employee of Titan, the company claims he is one of their sub-contractors. CACI stated that one of the men listed in the report "is not and never has been a CACI employee" without providing more detail. A U.S. intelligence source revealed that in the world of intelligence "carve out" subcontracts such confusion is often the! case with "plausible deniability" being a foremost concern.

In fact, the Taguba report does reference the presence of non-U.S. and non-Iraqi interrogators at Abu Ghraib. The report states, "In general, US civilian contract personnel (Titan Corporation, CACI, etc), third country nationals, and local contractors do not appear to be properly supervised within the detention facility at Abu Ghraib."

The Pentagon is clearly concerned about the outing of the Taguba report and its references to CACI, Titan, and third country nationals, which could permanently damage U.S. relations with Arab and Islamic nations. The Pentagon's angst may explain why the Taguba report is classified Secret No Foreign Dissemination.

The leak of the Taguba report was so radioactive, Daniel R. Dunn, the Information Assurance Officer for Douglas Feith's Office of the Under Secretary of Defense, Policy (Policy Automation Services Security Team), sent a May 6, 2004, For Official Use Only Urgent E-mail to Pentagon staffers stating, "THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT IS CLASSIFIED; DO NOT GO TO FOX NEWS TO READ OR OBTAIN A COPY." Considering Feith's close ties to the Israelis, such a reaction by his top computer security officer, a Certified Information System Security Professional (CISSP), is understandable, although considering the fact that CISSPs are to act on behalf of the public good, it is also regrettable.. The reference to "third country nationals" in a report that restricts its dissemination to U.S. coalition partners (Great Britain, Poland, Italy, etc.) is another indication of the possible involvement of Israelis in the interrogation of Iraqi prisoners. Knowledge that the U.S. may have been using Israeli interrogators could have severely fractured the Bush administration's tenuous "coalition of the willing' in Iraq. General Taguba's findings were transmitted to the Coalition Forces Land Component Command on March 9, 2004, just six days before the Spanish general election, one that the opposition anti-Iraq war Socialists won. The Spanish ultimately withdrew their forces from Iraq.

During his testimony before the Senate Armed Service Committee, Rumsfeld was pressed upon by Senator John McCain about the role of the private contractors in the interrogations and abuse. McCain asked Rumsfeld four pertinent questions, ". . . who was in charge? What agency or private contractor was in charge of the interrogations? Did they have authority over the guards? And what were the instructions that they gave to the guards?"

When Rumsfeld had problems answering McCain's question, Lt. Gen. Lance Smith, the Deputy Commander of the U.S. Central Command, said there were 37 contract interrogators used in Abu Ghraib. The two named contractors, CACI and Titan, have close ties to the Israeli military and technology communities. Last January 14, after Provost Marshal General of the Army, Major General Donald Ryder, had already uncovered abuse at Abu Ghraib, CACI's President and CEO, Dr. J.P. (Jack) London was receiving the Jerusalem Fund of Aish HaTorah's Albert Einstein Technology award at the Jerusalem City Hall, with right-wing Likud politician Israeli Defense Minister Shaul Mofaz and ultra-Orthodox United Torah Judaism party Jerusalem Mayor Uri Lupolianski in attendance. Oddly, CACI waited until February 2 to publicly announce the award in a press release. CACI has also received grants from U.S.-Israeli bi-national foundations.

Titan also has had close connections to Israeli interests. After his stint as CIA Director, James Woolsey served as a Titan director. Woolsey is an architect of America's Iraq policy and the chief proponent of and lobbyist for Ahmad Chalabi of the Iraqi National Congress. An adviser to the neo-conservative Foundation for the Defense of Democracies, Jewish Institute of National Security Affairs, Project for the New American Century, Center for Security Policy, Freedom House, and Committee for the Liberation of Iraq, Woolsey is close to Stephen Cambone, the Undersecretary of Defense for Intelligence, a key person in the chain of command who would have not only known about the torture tactics used by U.S. and Israeli interrogators in Iraq but who would have also approved them. Cambone was associated with the Project for the New American Century and is viewed as a member of Rumsfeld's neo-conservative "cabal" within the Pentagon.

Another person considered by Pentagon insiders to have been knowledgeable about the treatment of Iraqi prisoners is U.S. Army Col. Steven Bucci, a Green Beret and Rumsfeld's military assistant and chief traffic cop for the information flow to the Defense Secretary. According to Pentagon insiders, Bucci was involved in the direction of a special covert operations unit composed of former U.S. special operations personnel who answered to the Pentagon rather than the CIA's Special Activities Division, the agency's own paramilitary group. The Pentagon group included Arabic linguists and former members of the Green Berets and Delta Force who operated covertly in Iraq, Afghanistan, Iran, Pakistan, and Uzbekistan. Titan also uses linguists trained in the languages (Arabic, Dari, Farsi, Pashto, Urdu, and Tajik) of those same countries. It is not known if a link exists between Rumsfeld's covert operations unit and Titan's covert operations linguists.

Another Titan employee named in the Taguba report is Adel L. Nakhla. Nakhla is a name common among Egypt's Coptic Christian community, however, it is not known if Adel Nakhla is either an Egyptian-American or a national of Egypt. A CACI employee identified in the report, Steven Stephanowicz, is referred to as "Stefanowicz" in a number of articles on the prison abuse. Stefanowicz is the spelling used by Joe Ryan, another CACI employee assigned with Stefanowicz to Abu Ghraib. Ryan is a radio personality on KSTP, a conservative radio station in Minneapolis, who maintained a daily log of his activities in Iraq on the radio's web site before it was taken down. Ryan indicated that Stefanowicz (or Stephanowicz) continued to hold his interrogation job in Iraq even though General Taguba recommended he lose his security clearance and be terminated for the abuses at Abu Ghraib.

In an even more bizarre twist, the Philadelphia Daily News identified a former expatriate public relations specialist for the government of South Australia in Adelaide named Steve Stefanowicz as possibly being the same person identified in the Taguba report. In 2000, Stefanowicz, who grew up in the Philadelphia and Allentown areas, left for Australia. On September 16, 2001, he was quoted by the Sunday Mail of Adelaide on the 911 attacks. He said of the attacks, "It was one of the most incredible and most devastating things I have ever seen. I have been in constant contact with my family and friends in the US and the mood was very solemn and quiet. But this is progressing into anger." Stefanowicz returned to the United States and volunteered for the Navy in a reserve status. His mother told the Allentown Morning Call in April 2002 that Stefanowicz was stationed somewhere in the Midd! Ie East but did not know where because of what Stefanowicz said was "security concerns." His mother told the Philadelphia Daily News that her son was in Iraq but she knew nothing about his current status.

Madsen can be reached at: <<u>WMadsen777@aol.com</u>> <<u>http://www.counterpunch.org/madsen05102004.html</u>>

DANGER IMMÉDIAT

Vanunu tells Al Hayat: Dimona reactor endangers millions

By Yoav Stern

Nuclear whistleblower Mordechai Vanunu says the Dimona nuclear reactor endangers the lives of millions throughout the Middle East, Army Radio reported late Saturday.

In an interview published Sunday with the London-based Arabic-language newspaper *AI Hayat*, that a strong earthquake in the region may crack the reactor, causing radioactive leakage that would result in the death of millions. *AI-Hayat* claims that this is the first interview Vanunu has given to a newspaper since his release from an Israeli prison in April, *Israel Radio* reported. If Vanunu did in fact give the interview, it could constitute a violation of the limitations placed upon him by the Shin Bet upon his release from prison.

Vanunu also told the paper that the Jordanian government should prepare for possible leaks from the reactor, just as Israel has plans to distribute iodine anti-radiation pills to residents living close to the nuclear reactor in Dimona. He said that Jordanians living close to the border with Israel should be examined for possible nuclear radiation, explaining that the Hashemite Kingdom is particularly at risk from the reactor as it operates mainly when "the wind blows toward Jordan."

He said he does not believe that the United States and European nations will pressure Israel into revealing the full extent of its nuclear capabilities. Vanunu also took the opportunity to blast United Nations nuclear watchdog chief Mohammed El Baradei for visiting Israel earlier this month and not putting any pressure on it to open up its nuclear program to international inspection. "He should have done here what he did in Iraq," he was quoted as saying.

25 July 2004

<http://www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/spages/455584.html>

LOSSES

More Than 16,000 U.S. Service Members Wounded and Injured from Iraq War : The national press missed this blockbuster on June 18: the Pentagon confirms more than 16,000 U.S. service members have been wounded or injured in the Iraq War. DoD failed to report 11,000 soldiers who were wounded or injured.

<http://www.veteransforcommonsense.org/newsArticle.asp?id=1782>

WE COULD watch Lord Butler explaining away, on the BBC, the content of his "Report". This man carries the name of his trade. He runs for his master. Disgusting.

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of

any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to: http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

OTHER AAARGH MONTHLY PUBLICATIONS

El Paso del Ebro

<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo >

Das kausale Nexusblatt < http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo >

Il Resto del Siclo <http://ilrestodelsiclo.spaziofree.net>

La Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues (multilingual) <http://ggb.0catch.com>

Conseils de Révision

<http://conseilsderevision.tripod.com>