White Order of Thule

(MSS Collection)



Contents:

The Great God UR: Double-Edged Sword
Practical Rites of Passage
The Second Coming of "Q"
The Spirit of the Thing
Thule Society
Operational Dialectics: Utilizing the Enemy
We Good Europeans
Wherefore Satan? (Exploring Satanism as a Viable Revolutionary Movement)

The Great God UR:

Double-Edged Sword

The name UR is an archaic Indo-European word meaning "original" or "ancient". But UR is also a psychological acronym denoting Unconditional Response. An unconditional response is an autonomic, instinctual, response to stimuli, such as hunger, anger, the "fight or flight" responses, and even social responses such as community-identification, totally unconditioned by unnatural elements. Hence U.R. is a scientific term for the ancient godforce UR, the telluric archetype represenced in the Thulean pantheon — the primal and evolutionary lex talionis of man's natural state of Being.

To cultivate UR/U.R. is the purpose of "dark" or sinister paganism and the left hand path, such as in the ancient and modern cults of Loki, Hela, Cernunnos, Pan, Dionysus, Set, Kali, and Satan. Such cultivation is a necessity for our survival and further evolution.

U.R. derives from the terminology of the Russian psychologist Ivan Pavlov (1849-1936). In his well-known experiments with dogs, in which he associated food with artificial stimulus (a bell, for instance) through conditioning, in order to then elicit salivation in the dogs at the sight of just the latter, Pavlov created the psychological differentiation between unconditional response and conditional response.

Natural, or organic, stimulus is an unconditional stimulus, and the natural response to such is an unconditional response — it is nature not "nurture". But invented stimulus, such as Pavlov's bell or our own mass media and morality, is a conditional stimulus, and can be used in conjunction with natural stimulus to turn unconditional response into conditional response. Hence, before feeding his dogs each meal, Pavlov rang a bell. Upon seeing the food the dogs would begin salivating. In time, this simultaneous presentation of the unconditional stimulus (food) and the conditional stimulus (bell) had a conditioning effect on the dogs, who then could be made to salivate over and over with no food being presented. In like manner, the mass media continuously presents false positive (conditional) images in conjunction with genuine negative (unconditional) responses. In time, a society becomes conditioned by this, and the media may then present the images of its choosing and thus evoke the response it desires (now a conditional response when it occurs in reaction to the conditional stimulus only).

The elicitation of the conditional response by the conditional stimulus is termed a conditional reflex.

A good example of this can be seen in the Oklahoma City Bombing. Rather than presenting the repeated images of dead children in conjunction with a perpetrator, the mass media spent years presenting dead children in conjunction with the perpetrator's mistrust of government. Thus was the unconditional response of a person's reaction with the food totally removed.

Thus, like the dog's salivation because he perceives the bell as "food stimulus", the public's anger at the "dead children stimulus" -- distrust of government -- is a correct response

conditioned to be elicited by incorrect stimulus. So to try to directly counteract one response is as fruitless as the other - it is not only reactionary but goes against nature. It is unconditional that dogs salivate over food and people get defensive over dead children.

The same principle applies to concepts such as "love" and "tolerance". These are positive responses presently being evoked by carefully manipulated conditional stimulus.

Thus rather than the health and vigor that true love and tolerance generally bestows, we see a totally irrational pseudo-love and pseudo-tolerance which is willing to literally destroy the future of European culture. The only true counter-controlling method is to elicit these same responses with unconditional stimulus, which, being in tune with Nature, is far more powerful.

Pavlov found that in the absence of food, or if food was presented at different times alone, the repeated presentation of the conditional stimulus alone (the bell) results in the gradual disappearance of its conditional response. Thus the cultural task before us is not to go against the conditional response being used to destroy our culture, but to disassociate it using the truly natural stimulus it is imitating. For instance, love is an unconditional response — a normal person will love someone — which is natural among kith and kin. So, those with a universalist agenda present imagery that creates the impression that non-kin are in fact kin, and the natural response is to treat the non-kin as family. When people try to attack this response, for instance by preaching hate for the pseudo-kin or calling the person responding to the stimulus a "race traitor" — be it true or not — the unconditional response, because it is instinct, is only increased in intensity. Man has evolved through his social skills, and thus his instinct to ensure the welfare of those in his community is strong. What Pavlov's work demonstrated is that one can counter the conditional stimulus with the unconditional stimulus, which is psychological terminology for fighting a lie with the truth. If one presents a fulfilling love of true kin, the repeated presentation of pseudo-kin will only result in the gradual disappearance of the conditional response. Hence only Culture can counter "multiculturalism", only integrity can counter "tolerance", and so on.

The bottom line, then, is one all should already be aware of : You cannot fight the great god UR. Ur and (U.R.), the evolutionary impulse of the world, billions of years strong — will express himself — and nothing, not even the mighty celestial Gods, can hold him back. But while Ur is the most powerful of chthonic Gods, he is also the least directional. Ur is the pre-archetypal power, and hence he only pushes forward like instinct, and never in a specific direction like archetypes. So the wise worshippers of Ur always have, and in the future will, seek to guide Ur's power, and never to repress it.

"There is a primordial force in nature that was recognized and worshipped long before the genesis of celestial gods. It is the Ancient One of primeval religions, and it was still recognized in the secret traditions- such as the Azoth of the Alchemists, Baphomet of the Templars, and the serpent of the mystics- well into the medieval period of Europe.

Ancient sacred traditions of the Armenians were preserved for millennia by a caste of initiates called the Isarim, who were dedicated to the pre-historical Aryan Gods called the Aralez. The Isarim's tradition was said to have come from the ancient hierophants of pre-dynastic Egypt.

When Armenia accepted Christianity as its official religion in the fourth century, the Isarim were not persecuted as so many initiates elsewhere, but they were generally shunned by the masses, due to their mystical tradition and rejection of Christianity, and their aristocratic worldview which did not permit members of their caste to marry outsiders. Armenian traditions relate that the Isarim took up residences around the community graveyards so as to be undisturbed by the Christians, sometimes in caves or underground dwellings, and hence came to be called by some the "Keepers of the Dead."

Practical Rites of Passage

by Max Frith

A Final Statement on the White Order of Thule

About five years ago I wrote the opening statement for the first issue of Crossing The Abyss. The Order has traveled a prosperous but difficult road since that time, always growing and expanding, but also always finding itself with more ideas and materials than manpower. Long ago people were assigned the task of doing academic research in order to accurately trace the teachings of the true Western Tradition of the Mysteries throughout the history of Europe -absent all grandiose but unsubstantiated claims-to see if a trail could be found which would actually lead the White Order of Thule to some of this material. The task was arduous and mostly fruitless, leading to dead end after dead end, but when pay dirt was finally struck, the find proved to be beyond our expectations. But this meant hundreds of pages of material, some from as early as 1614, some quite scrambled and in disorder. Needless to say, the new task of re-writing all this material in modern English and including modern science was even greater than the original task of finding it. And hundreds of references had to be studied and cross-referenced in order to ensure that all attributions-colors, astrological, alchemical, musical, etc.-woven throughout these ancient lessons were original, accurate, and orderly.

As this immense project unfolded-all-in-all including about 10,000 pages of material-yet more material became available, and as we formatted this in the Order's present Courses and Manual, we were amazed at the blessing of the discovery, but also overwhelmed with the seemingly endless work to be done. I'm the one always calling for less fantasy and more work, so I'm not complaining, but rather leading up to an apology. Having set out to find what we expected could not be found-most records indicating it did not survive WWII-and having thereafter found far more than we had hoped, we found ourselves in over our heads. Had we known at the advent of this zine what we would discover within a couple months-after years of searching-we would have taken a more responsible, practical, and professional course. This would, of course, have included not starting a zine until our inner work was complete. That work is only now near completion.

Having started Crossing The Abyss (then simply titled, The Abyss), and having received such an immediate and powerful response, it was unthinkable for us to abort it, especially with many of the men we admire most around the world showing so much enthusiasm for this new "attitude" in America. But in trying to manage the immensity of our inner work while producing a good outer expression, we have fallen short-timewise-in both areas. Our intentions were good, but naïve. For this we owe our members and our general readers an apology. We apologize that we have put out so few issues in 5 years, and that some of our members have had to wait between courses while material was formatted. We aimed for the stars, and in doing so we hope we fell short at the mountaintop-or at least the hilltop.

As I write this, that "hilltop" we landed on, despite our problems, has been leveled by close and trusted friends. Anyone who dealt in any way with our Order HQ before the use of the Clinton and Deer Park addresses knows that station was staffed by a lazy, incompetent, and utterly useless individual. That person has resigned from the Order, but the damage is done. The rest of us sensed problems, but were repeatedly assured that despite temporary delays, things were generally in order. When we finally grew weary of these claims, the files were confiscated and that station permanently dissolved - but that was the beginning, not the end, of our problems.

We were horrified to discover that due to the pathetic conduct of one trusted person, our members had been deprived of their monthly lessons, our mail had been ignored, merchandise orders had gone unfilled, and the Order's funds, which should have been extensive, were totally squandered. After all my criticizing of Movement fools, and ridiculing of Movement assholes, I now find that I must honor my own standards and turn this hard but sincere focus upon myself. It was I who put full trust in this person, urged others to do so, and assured everyone that things would come together in time. In this I permitted my personal brotherly love for this person to blind me to what was ultimately one of the lowest lows Movement conduct has ever reached. For this I apologize, to those let down by the events at the Richmond, VA, WOT HQ, to the members whom I personally inspired to join the Order, to the Movement, which accepted far more of my outward -focused criticism than I expected, and to this noble Cause for which I would readily lay down my life. I make no excuses - I erred, and I should have known better - but I accept full responsibility and offer my sincere apology.

I said that "the damage is done" because WOT has been scuttled, and due to many factors, we will adopt Nietzsche's admonition of "that which is falling should also be pushed." Henceforth we are precluded as an Order from in any manner distributing the Ageless Wisdom courses we searched so long for. These ancient materials were offered to us under strict stipulations, and so enthralled did we become under their intense spell that we permitted the practical aspects of the Great Work to fall by the wayside. One of the strictest promises made upon receipt of this material was that it would never be used falsely -- either for ignorant racism or abstract New Age-ism. We succeeded in the former, but paying too little attention to the Richmond, VA HQ, we faltered in the latter.

From this moment henceforth, The White Order of Thule is closed. We will continue with our studies in private, and some day may get a new chance to try to alter the Destiny of the Cause with the only true source of the Western Occult Tradition we have come across, but presently,

WOT exists no more. Our brotherhood remains - no external circumstances can touch the inner dynamics of our personal, cultural, and spiritual relationships - but no zine, shall come forth again.

Ex-members of WOT, and some of the ex-staff of the Crossing The Abyss magazine now regularly contribute to the Fenris Wolf magazine, an independent project, affiliated with the Pagan Liberation League, which is not an organization, but an information network of Pagan organizations and kindreds, hearths, and covens designed for the exchange of information and ideas, to help coordinate interaction between different Pagan groups and traditions, and most importantly, to help disseminate the Ageless Wisdom of our People in order to turn our collective spiritual knowledge into a collective spiritual experience.

We of the White Order of Thule have come to the conclusion, after our very bitter and disillusioning experiences heretofore mentioned, that ideas, not organizations are central at this point. Current subscribers of Crossing The Abyss will have their subscriptions continued into the Fenris Wolf magazine, which has over the years evolved from a rather simplistic Odinic magazine into a journal promoting the Thulean ideals and a more advanced Pan-Aryan Paganism and Third Way ethos. Some of the ex-staff of CTA will now contribute their efforts and the energy once invested in CTA into Fenris Wolf magazine, and several other non-organizational projects and will continue to ascend the heights. We will always remain Thuleans, despite the disbanding of our organization, and we have now realized that we do not need a club, organization or any membership list, when we remain Thuleans in soul, eternally, and will always be a family, despite the breakup of WOT. Thuleans are never content, we aspire anew; despite how uncomfortable it makes the "Movement" cattle feel! And so we must lay the White Order of Thule to rest, as it has outlived it's necessity.

In the last issue of CTA there was an article for which I must also apologize. It was called The Enemy, and its central focus goes against the principles upon which this Order and this zine were founded and continue to operate. We consider the use of such terms as "nigger," "kike," and "ZOG"-or even complaints about them-to be base, not noble, and problem-oriented, while WOT is thoroughly solution-oriented. This declaration should in no way reflect upon the author of this article-each man conducts his activism according to his ability and calling-but this article should never have made it into the pages of CTA, and I apologize because it did due to an error on my part. With our computer technology at HQ we can simply scan typed material and re-format it, which demands extra care in proofreading and editing. Michael L. was then the editor of CTA, but I assisted his work, and the printing of this article-which essentially goes against all we represent-was due to my inattention, and once again, I am sorry.

In closing, I'd like to partially set forth-as objectively as possible-a list of what our Work in the Movement stood for, what it really meant, in order to clear up much confusion among friends, associates, and most insidiously, the Mass Media - which has for some reason developed a pathological fixation on our Fraternity, and utilized shameless and childish misrepresentations, misinterpretations, distortions, and of course, outright lies, in an unnecessary and futile smear campaign. This includes attacks on one of our oldest members, who has been falsely accused of a pagan-motivated murder by the Federal government. The following should clarify matters,

though nothing said herein is new - if WOT has been two things, it is sincere and consistent. But this should make it also clear that no one adhering to our creed would stoop to the ignorant behavior the Mass Media now wishes to stereotypically attribute - based on no actual facts -- to one of our members and the Order in general.

Thank you for bearing with us, and our troubles, and keep striving. Five seconds after you finish reading the following, this Order will self-destruct. Gods Bless.

What WOT Is-and What WOT is Not

1. WOT is Third Way-neither "left" nor "right."

WOT is not among the many pseudo-Third Way adherents, who merely say they reject extremes, while spontaneously espousing traditional leftist or rightist ideals. For instance, "class war" concepts are Marxist, not Third Way; to say "What niggers or race traitors do isn't our business" is an oxymoron, for "nigger" and "race traitor" is a judgment and denotes "paying attention."

2. WOT promotes Third Way political ideas as essentially apolitical. The System is and always will be Republican and Democratic, conservative, and liberal, right and left, and therefore Third Way ideology remains without the political system.

WOT does not endorse "mass organization" strategies of any sort, old or new, Third Way or otherwise. We urge men and women to put inner (spiritual) and folkish (communal) realities first-not assign leadership structures with membership lists and the like. WOT has no leaders and has no structure-we are bound by devotion to our Gods and love for our Folk.

3. WOT is solution-oriented. We never talk about problems unless our conclusion is a proposed solution.

WOT is not a neo-Nazi reporting agency. We don't report on problems or rehash old issues. To kill Morris Dees is manly; to ignore Morris Dees is manly; to complain about Morris Dees for 15 years is ignoble cowardice. A true man either ignores a problem or destroys it-he doesn't whine about it like a little girl.

4. WOT believes in unity, but a spiritual and communal unity, not an organizational or commercial unity.

WOT rejects all make-believe concepts of "leaderless resistance" or "guerrilla cells," that is, excuses for not unifying. We cannot accept, as our less dedicated armchair generals have, the idea that the entirety of military history is the "exception which proves the rule" regarding this matter. No such thing as "leaderless resistance" has ever existed-never mind succeeded-and no such thing ever will exist. And every guerrilla war in history was fought from a unified platform and at least a semi-unified movement. Cells operate on their own, but they do not simply fight a chaotic war with the hope of some coincidental symbiotic result. In such a war-a fantasy with no example in 6000 years of history-cells could not even be sure they were not attacking each other. To be divided is to be conquered, and we are presently both.

5. WOT accepts Psycho-spirituality as a science, meant to empower any form of pagan religion.

WOT does not propose that it possesses any new religion. Psycho-spirituality is meant, amidst a thoroughly scientific era, to add depth to existing pagan religions, and to lead others to pagan religions. The Thulean pantheon is no different from the Asatrú pantheon in its outward form-"What's in a name? A rose by any other name smells as sweet."

6. WOT's program is mystical, and in following with all of Aryan history, is meant for the highest minded and most instinctual men and women at the core of every pagan people.

WOT's program is not religiously doctrinal or new, or invented by men. The Order is pagan, but it is made up of pagans from all walks of life: Odinists, Gnostics, Astrologers, Thelemites, Theodists, Theosophists, Wiccans, Satanists, Romuvans, Dievturibans, Alchemists, and so on. Mysticism always has been, and with WOT remains, the esoteric center of all Aryan religious life.

7. WOT is revolutionary, and dedicated to achieving Aryan Imperium by any means necessary.

WOT is not a "race war" fantasizer or make-believe revolution predictor. Our government and the people hate us, and anyone too cowardly to recognize and accept that challenge is not welcome in our fraternity. We are strong and the brainwashed masses are weak, and as with all evolutionary processes, the fit shall prevail. And as with all end-times in cyclical history, we will seek to survive and seize the day as nature dictates, not based on fictional novels or N.R.A. slogans. When Big Brother comes for people's guns, despite all the declarations on caps, t-shirts, and bumper stickers, the people will do one thing and one thing only: give them up. And if Big Brother wanted to come for us right now, "the people" would give us up also. Because the pseudo-revolutionary theories of the Movement have been so laughable, Big Brother is laughing at us also. But the spiritual power that gave birth to the Western Culture, our enemies comprehend not, and that is the power we seek to create at this time.

8. WOT endorses, promotes, and nurtures diversity in the Movement.

WOT does not accept the open-ended diversity some now propose, which is akin to the degeneration of society. There will always be basic principles even in the most diverse endeavorif it is healthy. Thus we flatly reject, a priori and without further discussion, inherently anti-Aryan and degenerate concepts which attempt to cross-germinate ideals or cultural elements, such as Identity Christianity, atheism, Creativity, National Socialism as a religion, or Satanism which denies the existence of a culture-soul or gods.

9. WOT is a leaderless collectivity.

WOT is not a bunch or self-serving "lone wolves" doing "their own thing." We reject rants against unity-but our unity is founded upon a loyalty in service, honor in principle, and a duty pursuing destiny. WOT is not only non-profit, but operates at a constant loss, and considers those

who treat the Movement as a consumer base as traitorous. WOT's "Constitution" and "Articles of Faith" only delineate our base principles and standards. Every element of the Cause-save those of the untermenschen-can operate within these guidelines, and hence we are no more than blood brothers bound by duty, honor, and loyalty. Because WOT gives so much and requires so little of truly aristocratic types, there is simply no excuse for the best hearts and minds not to join our Brotherhood, and thus we openly and justifiably operate on a members-first basis.

"The Great Work re-creates man by over-hauling the whole system of one's inner life and opening up a world hitherto entirely undreamt of. This is the only true process of resurrection."

-The Thulean Bard

The Second Coming of "Q"

by Joseph Kerrick, White Order of Thule

The turn of a millennium is at hand, and the times are very apocalyptic. You don't have to believe in religious doctrine to foresee the end of the world as we know it, you only have to be aware of the cycles of history, of the rise and fall of civilizations. Even people who have not studied the matter tend to share this awareness intuitively, for our planet-wide culture is rolling like a global snowball down the far end of the curve, and the collective mind of society knows it.

Some believe that the end can be averted by means of a universal change in consciousness. The problem with this theory is that those who promote it are themselves exemplars of the very mode of thought which has been driving the Earth toward destruction for lo! these many centuries. Clinging desperately to the belief in their own enlightenment, they say that the reason it has not yet transformed the world into Paradise is simply because not everyone believes it yet, and that if only a critical mass could be reached of people who think like them, why, the miraculous transformation would occur literally overnight

Then there are those who seek refuge in a regression to the past, and withdraw behind the barricades of reaction. But is this a solution or a retreat? Isn't there a forward route to a positive future? There is, and as we shall see, the reason that the "progressive" perspective misfired is not because it was new but because it was incomplete - indeed, it didn't go far enough.

And it wasn't even truly new in terms of the historical cycle. Every reasonably advanced civilization reached a stage of culture and thought equivalent to that of our own, and it did not stop them from proceeding en masse to the following stages, namely decline, collapse, and destruction. Not one survived, or it would still be here. The human species on this planet has not yet come up with the magic formula to avert the eternally-recurring Apocalypse.

Or perhaps it has, and the secret has somehow been lost amidst the vicissitudes of history. For although none of the high civilizations of the past survive as such, vestiges of many of them do, preserved in statuary, literature, and religious doctrine. The strongest and most vibrant such vestige of past glory is Hinduism. Modern scholars have still not unraveled all the subtle complexities of Hindu art, science, philosophy, and religion. And even if they found the key to the Kingdom of Heaven on Earth amidst all the labyrinthine arcana, they would not recognize it for what it was. Yet it is there.

Quaternary Thought

Among the most ancient apocrypha of the Hindu scriptures, dating all the way back to what the scholars call the Aryovedic culture, is a description of the basic elements of thought itself, given as a formal system of logic. What are these elements? Before I can explain that, I must first state that there are four of them. This is in startling contrast to Western logic, which says there are only two.

The logical system of what has become a global culture began with (or at least was first formalized by) Aristotle. He said:

A is A; A is not non-A.

That is, given any hypothetical object 'A,' our choices of observation about it are that it's either 'A' or 'not A.'

Sounds obvious, doesn't it? A self-evident truth, just like the observation that the Earth is flat and the Sun travels around it.

The flaw in the argument is the concept of "either-or." It makes the distinction between 'A' and 'not-A' totally absolute. In this axiom, which forms the foundation of Western logic, there is no room for the equally logical (if less plausible) assertions that the object is:

Both A and non-A or Neither A nor non-A.

And furthermore, there is not even space for a scale of gradation between 'A' and 'non-A.' If 'non-A' is 'B,' this may seem eminently plausible; but if it's 'C,' then it becomes apparent that something is missing, and even more so if 'non-A' is 'Z.' Thus this axiom of Aristotle became known as "the law of the excluded middle."

And now we get down to hard reality. Though in the first blush of common sense it may seem apparent that an object is simply itself and not something else, or that two objects are themselves and not each other, in the complexities of actual existence this is not always the case - or at least not in absolute, black-and-white terms.

For indeed, the blackest black in real life contains some white, and vice versa. Even the dead "void" of deep space contains a wild atom or two per cubic meter. Nothing is ever really completely 'A' without a little 'B,' 'C,' 'Y,' or 'Z' mixed in - i.e., 'non-A.' In the garden of earthly realities, is there ever a red that blooms completely red? Doesn't even the reddest rose have a subtle hint of magenta? Was there ever a violet without a wisp or two of blue? The "middle" (viz., the quantum of otherness) arbitrarily excluded by Aristotle's law is substantial indeed - yet the whole of Western mathematics and science is founded upon the fantasy that it does not exist.

Is it an exaggerated claim to state that our civilization and its cultural leaders are living in a fantasy world? Consider the ballyhoo which began in the 1950s about the notion that modern science would soon create a computer that would literally be able to think. "Artificial intelligence" they called it, in breathless anticipation of the imminent breakthrough. Years and then decades rolled by without the construction of a conscious computer, despite frenzied efforts by the best brains In the business. They still cling to the hope, and to the term, which is euphemistically used to designate the crude simulacrum of thought which is the best that even the mightiest computer can do.

Why was this hope exploded? Why did the leading lights of science wind up with egg on their faces? The answer is very precise, revealing, and painful: a basic flaw in the worldview of Western scientific/materialist man led him to believe in something impossible: that a machine could learn to think.

How could he seriously believe this, and why is he wrong? It harks back to Aristotle. In Western civilization, the entire model of the mind/brain /soul is crafted from the fabric of two-point logic. Everything is either-or, 'A' or 'not-A.' There are no other possibilities in the process, and since this process is believed to be all-inclusive, Western man believes that his mind and intelligence, the very essence of himself, arises from this mathematical, binary dynamic. . . the very same which comprises the system of binary numbers used to program computers. So it seemed an elementary deduction: if we can think, so can computers, potentially - all we have to do is make them complex enough.

The truth, of course, is that Western binary reasoning is not comprehensive at all - it does not exhaust the possibilities operative in general human reason.

What, then, are the possibilities? As intimated earlier, there are at least four. For purposes of clarity, we shall here transform the 'A' to an 'X':

Point 1: X. Point 2: not-X. Point 3: both (X and not-X). Point 4: not (both (X and not-X)).

And these are in fact the four points of thought as formulated by the Aryovedic culture in what are to us the dim mists of prehistory. This system of quaternary thought became the foundation

of the most advanced civilization of its time, that of Hindu India. The eclipse of quaternary thought later occasioned its fall.

Point 3 seems like an utter contradiction and impossibility to the mind conditioned by Aristotelian logic. How can each of two separate entities be itself and the other at the same time? For the answer, we begin with sex - or more specifically, sexual love.

Binary Western materialist-reductionist science is absolutely impotent in the face of love. It cannot define it, measure it, or deal with it in any meaningful way. It covers up its ignorance in this field by claiming that love is forever beyond the purview of any scientific inquiry - except perhaps psychology, which as practiced today in the West is a farcical pseudo-science.

Point 3 is grounded in the experience of ecstatic union between lovers in the act of sexual intercourse. This indeed is the essence of real love: the two become one. The man and the woman literally become each other: both (X and not-X).

Western pseudo-science writes this off as a subjective hallucination, a literal impossibility because it violates the second and supposedly final cardinal point of logic. But in a culture based on Aryovedic thought, the deepest intra- and intersubjective experiences would be subject to rational understanding and scientific knowledge, as they were in ancient India... which among other things gave rise to the art and science of Tantra.

Western civilization came to a collective discovery of Point 3 at the time of the Renaissance, and began to implement it culturally even though it did not yet understand it intellectually and scientifically - and still doesn't. To understand what this means, it's first necessary to explain the operation of Points 1 and 2.

The first two points of thought are the basic dualism with which primitive humanity confronts the world. It's the final binary fulcrum of all existence: life or death, friend or foe, help or hurt, fight or flight, good or evil, eat or be eaten, to be or not to be. Point 1 is 'X': us, the absolute positive entity, the ne plus ultra, the only group of souls that would exist, if things were as they should be. Anybody else is Point 2: 'not-X'; i.e., them, the enemy, the negation of our very being. They must be killed, annihilated, enslaved - it's the right thing to do. The enemy can be dispatched with absolute moral certainty and unity of will. There is tremendous power in this primal law, for it is the mechanism of survival for all living things, including humanity - up to a certain point in humanity's development.

That point is the moment when a human society reaches the stage at which it engages a higher dyad: the more complex dynamic of Points 3 and 4. This is the moment when the society transcends the merely primitive. It begins to develop a culture able to apprehend the world in more sophisticated terms than either-or. The kicker is that this causes the society to lose its primitive self-certainty, its consensus of belief, its unity of spirit - for all these things are rooted in the primal dynamic of binary thought. This accounts for the phenomenon, noted by Oswald

Spengler, that at the very moment when a culture truly begins to flower, it has already begun to decay.

Interesting things happen in a society when it develops Point 3. The leading lights of the culture make the stunning realization that the peoples of other countries and other societies are not truly alien but are rather human beings like themselves. And even should the foreigners make war on the subject culture, the Point 3 mind is able to see them not as devils from hell but simply as opponents. The enlightened ones will be able to entertain the heretical notion of 'both (X and not-X).' That is, they will be able to conceive of both themselves and the enemy in a single inclusive category, which is usually referred to as "human."

And indeed, if the culture survives beyond the first blush of Point 3, it develops a very historically specific mode of thought which in our own society has been labeled "liberal humanism." Beginning with the Renaissance, people on the cutting edge invariably adopted this perspective, and it evolved to become the dominant purview of our now-global society. In its youthful hubris, this new collective awareness egotistically assumed that it was a fresh evolutionary stage in human development, and that it had never existed before; but as the culture developed further, its own discoveries forced it into the painful awareness that it was but another repetition in the endless cycle of recurrence. Spengler in particular, in The Decline of the West, showed that every high culture in recorded history had developed its own variation of liberal humanism at the appropriate stage. And, if we may redundantly remark, subsequently went down the tubes.

So liberal enlightenment, in any or all of its guises, is not the solution to the planetary malaise, but can fairly be charged with being the cause of it. But if at the same time it is a true awakening to a higher mode of thought, how is it that we are hoist on this ironic petard? Is existence after all a tragedy, and we but foredoomed pawns in a game played by sadistic Fates and Furies? It has seemed that way to every civilization and mega-culture that has preceded us on this planet, for every one of them succumbed to the fatal dynamic, in which God - or the Devil - seemed to be playing with loaded dice. Is there no solution, then, no way out of the predestined doom?

There is, but it's very tricky. Or rather, it's so painfully obvious that all of our predecessor cultures have overlooked it. The solution to the conundrum, the means of our planetary and species salvation, the final answer to the riddle of the cosmic Sphinx, is Point 4. The Complete Formula

"Not (both (X and not-M)." In the context of a global civilization on the verge of collective selfgenocide, what in the name of God does this mean? Here is a formula. What is its significance?

The first crucial piece of information about Point 4 is that Point 3 can neither function nor exist without it. Points 3 and 4 are a mutually self-creating dyad, just as are Points 1 and 2. You can't have '1' without '2' nor '3' without '4,' just as you can't have light without dark, up without down, or good without evil... or a one-sided coin or a mirror without an image. Every civilization in the

history of the world has collapsed because it has attempted to implement Point 3 without Point 4, which is impossible.

How and why does this happen? Consider the mental state of a very young child, which has not yet awakened to the knowledge that it can't have Point 1 without Point 2. When things are good, they're very, very good: there's Mommy, and warm milk, and endlessly fascinating new discoveries. When things are bad they're horrid, but quickly forgotten - the baby does not yet have the mental continuity to compare the two states in any meaningful way. Thus in the moments when it's happy, the child "believes" that this Point 1 condition is all there is - certainly all that there ever should be, which is why it gets so angry whenever that perverse and inexplicable Point 2 shows up. And indeed, children who fail to attain emotional maturity may never become fully reconciled to the necessity of negative experience, and grow up to be neurotics and even psychotics.

This in fact is the condition of civilization in its "liberal humanist" phase. It has grown up with a collective mental disability - it believes it can create a society in which all of the old primitive evils are eliminated, a utopia that is totally good, meaning that everyone is happy there, or at least free to pursue happiness. The whole body of law, the form of government itself, the beliefs and expectations of the citizens - indeed, the total thrust of the culture is fixed upon this false ideal.

Where do we begin in our search for a true ideal? Let's start with that elite who first developed Point 3, being able to see their own people and the enemy as 'both (X and not-X).' Fortunately for this fledgling high culture, the common lot of its soldiery is still in binary thought; otherwise, it would quickly be overrun by its more primitive opponents before it had a chance to fairly explore the further ramifications of Point 3. The few enlightened intellectuals and mystics will wisely stay behind the lines, for they will lack the raw primal force of Point 2, which is what gives primitive humans the power to marshal their total being and pit it ruthlessly against a deadly opponent.

Gradually, however, if the culture is successful and grows into a civilization or an empire, the Point 3 perspective (under whatever name) comes increasingly to dominate the life, thought, and action of the whole citizenry. Of the multifarious aspects of Point 3, we are here using one as a characteristic example, viz. the loss of nerve for mortal combat, the waning of the will to war. In our own culture in our own time, we were able to witness in stark and dramatic fashion what can fairly be said to have been the final stage of the process, in which this aspect of Point 3 cascaded like a descending tidal wave from society's higher strata to engulf the vast mass of the people: a large movement, well orchestrated by the elite, promoted pacifism as a virtue and caused the 'empire' to retreat from a war as its own soldiers rebelled and deserted.

This example is significant, because the peace movement of the 1960s was part of a larger social phenomenon in which all the elements of Point 3 suffused themselves over the whole population, effectively destroying the last vestiges of binary morality and cultural integration. We have now entered the phase of total decadence and disillusionment - and of reaction. For this is the natural tendency - there is alarm as a rising proportion of the populace sees more clearly that their

society is headed toward the abyss. A desperate effort is made to reverse the plunge by going back to the old ways, returning to the simple certainties of Points 1 and 2. But short of a mass prefrontal lobotomy, it can't be done. We can't peel off the new layer of consciousness. Once you descry the hidden image in Dali's trick picture, it will never go away again - it's there, and you can't force your eyes not to see it. There's no way back to the past. The future is either doom or Point 4.

Thus we see that the addition of Point 4 is necessary to complete the formula for the new advance in consciousness, which is quaternary thought. We shall now designate it 'Q' for short.

So how does Q deal with the given example? What should an enlightened human being truly do in the face of an enemy who seeks his death?

We are fortunate to still have extant a single surviving piece of religious literature which embodies the essence of quaternary thought as it was originally formulated in the ancient Aryovedic culture. And it speaks specifically to this example.

The Bhagavad Gita is the record of an interaction between a god and a man on a battlefield. The noble warlord Arjuna gathers his host to confront a large opposing army, in a war that began as a family squabble. He beholds many of his relatives and friends among the enemy, and is overwhelmed by a sudden rush of Point 3. "Those are my brothers over there," he says to his charioteer; "I will not fight!"

By a fortuitous mythological twist, Arjuna's charioteer is Krishna, an Avatar: an incarnation of the supreme deity. Through many pages of insightful and Inspiring verse, Krishna guides Arjuna to the next level of enlightenment, culminating in the ultimate vision of Godhead. The result is that Arjuna acquires Q. The knowledge that the enemy is his kin is just as trenchant for him as it was before, and even more so, for he now has complete spiritual understanding. But he girds his loins and leads his soldiers into battle. His army wins a great victory, and wipes out the enemy to a man.

This tale is utterly incomprehensible to the mind stuck In Point 3, and is likely to be regarded as a regression to the primitive. In an effort to explicate, let us put forth the bare bones of the formula, and proceed to flesh them out.

The binary-minded warrior can be construed as 'X,' casting himself body and soul into mortal combat against 'not-X,' the enemy. The Point 3 mind can be identified as "both" - that is, the element that sees an essential unity between the self and its opponents. It cannot fight, at least not effectively, for it no longer sees a real enemy, it is disarmed by its compassion for the foe. Point 3 never overcomes the contradiction between its visionary apperception and practical reality, because real life in the Universe always contains entities and forces arrayed in mortal opposition to each other. Who does not fight shall not live.

Q is the next higher level of insight: "not (both (X and not-X)." The person who has attained this layer can be considered as the "not" in the formula - and in fact, in the Hindu tradition and its

derivatives, the ultimate enlightenment is held to have an aspect of vacuity. . . there is a kind of sublime nothingness inherent in samadhi or nirvana. But in more direct terms, the formula shows us that the Point 4 mind contains the essence of the lesser layers. And specifically, it has the full 'humanist' awareness of the spiritual unity of friend and foe, and even, in Buddhist terms, of all sentient beings. But it is able to attain a negation of this on a higher level, a greater enlightenment which does not deny oneness but subsumes it, in order, as Krishna tells Arjuna, to carry on the necessary work of the Universe. This is called, in Sanskrit: dharma. It carries the implication of "duty" in the highest spiritual sense, and of "destiny." It is the transcendence of the more familiar Hindu notion of karma. If the concept of dharma could be fully understood, and accepted, the world would surely be saved.

This in fact is the effective substitute for the raw power of the primitive. Arjuna fights and wins even though he feels kinship with the enemy, because he is moved by the same force that makes morning and evening, that shifts the tectonic plates of continents, that causes stars to be born and galaxies to collide. He has become one with God, "from whom all things come and who is in all." (Gita 18:46.) In every specific situation there is a specific work to be done, the dharma indeed, the work of the will of God. And in this moment on the battlefield, God himself unveils his will to Arjuna: "I am all-powerful Time which destroys all things, and I have come here to slay these men. Even if thou dost not fight, all the warriors facing thee shall die." (Gita 11:32.) Arjuna wisely aligns himself with the cosmic tide, and is thereby used of God to do his work. The larger message of the Gita is that the human who attains Q can do this all the time, every day of his life, with every beat of his heart. His life will be a total unfolding of destiny.

The problem facing us, in order to avert the ruin of our world, is how to implement Q on a collective level. Until this moment it was impossible, because no one knew the underlying processes nor what truly needed to be done. These words you are reading change this situation, and you yourself now have the opportunity to become an agent of destiny. The prescription is radical indeed, and flies so offensively in the face of liberal humanism that its established pathology will attempt to censor and extinguish this resurgent dharma. But all it will take in the beginning is a few courageous souls. If they stand their ground and promulgate the dharma, they will proliferate, and ultimately the ignorant and corrupt elite win be replaced by a truly enlightened Elect, who can guide the planet to its salvation. Primal Civilization

The basic principle of a society based on quaternary thought is that it reinstitutes all the essential practices of primitive cultures, but now performs them consciously, from the high perspective of Q. What was once done reflexively and unconsciously in a state of nature is now cultivated as a high spiritual art. To designate this state of conscious primality we concoct a neologism - the word metaprimal. That is, "meta-primal." We construe "meta" with the various shades of meaning implicit in its Aristotelian origin, where "metaphysics" meant "beyond physics," with the implication of including and subsuming physics.

So, what it will take to head off a cataclysm which would reduce the survivors to a merely primitive state, is the creation and cultivation of a metaprimal humanity, a new hardy breed able

to cast off the comforts of the present degenerate culture, and carry the high refinements of consciousness onto the brave turf of a deliberately dangerous world. This new development, unprecedented in the history of our species, could accurately be described as a primal civilization.

To get an idea of what life might be like therein, let's briefly sketch out how Q will deal with the most pressing problems and thorniest issues confronting our society today. We can start with overpopulation.

The pundits of Point 3 note that the population has stopped rising in the advanced industrial countries and conclude that the problem there has been solved. They then analyze the reasons for the decline in the birthrate, and seek to reproduce the phenomenon by the same means in the Third World, where the problem is still acute.

There have always been, however, at least a few keener minds who can see that the population problem in Europe and America has not been solved at all, but rather superseded by a worse one. The decline in the birth rate is due to causes associated with prosperity, technology, and feminism. Further, modern medicine and improved public hygiene have caused a simultaneous decline in the infant mortality rate and the death rate in general. The net result is that almost everyone born lives to adulthood, and the population thereby consists of almost the total "crop" of babies. And despite the decline in the total number of people parenting children, the great majority of the populace will reproduce themselves, albeit at a rate less than 1% above the replacement level.

Implicit in this accurate description of our society is the fact that it is violating a fundamental and vital law of nature. Do you know what it is? Can you figure it out? Darwin himself saw it, and described the problem in The Descent of Man. Knowledge of the problem and its consequences has since been suppressed because it affronts the dogmas of liberal humanism. Yet the problem is still carrying us ever faster toward disaster.

The problem is that life as it's lived in our society totally abrogates and annuls the law of natural selection.

Nature's way of assuring the quality of a population of any given species is by arranging things so that only a minority of the individuals born reach adulthood and reproduce; these will thereby be the strongest, the smartest, the best. As soon as the childhood mortality rate goes below 50%, the quality of the people in a gene-pool has begun to decline. With an infant mortality rate in single figures, and the childhood rate not much higher, the quality of the population in the "advanced" countries has been plummeting like a snowball on the way to hell for over a century.

Does this mean we need to undo all our technological advances and return to the natural state? No, for this would be a regression to the primitive: to the world of Points 1 and 2. What we need to do is advance to a world guided by quaternary thought.

There are many ways to view the consequences of the axing of natural selection. Any person of reasonably high sensitivity can view the horror directly simply by stepping into the center of any moderately large city. The effect can be like a punch in the gut. Sustained exposure can cause serious miasma. Being in the midst of such a vast number of psychically deformed and defective entities is extremely depressing, and can be dangerous.

Liberal humanism has no program for dealing with the psychic aspect of the problem because in this sphere it is grounded not even in 3-point thought but merely in 2-point materialist science, and therefore it does not even recognize that this phenomenon exists.

The physical side of the problem, however, is harder to ignore. A few years ago an official estimate, published in the mainstream media, held that 12% of American children were born with significant physiological defects. This is an astonishing figure, and surely must be the highest of any society in the history of the world. Even Rome and Babylon at their most corrupt had not the technological know-how to sustain a population one-eighth of which was crippled!

The solution of liberal humanism to this aspect of the problem is to provide logistical and financial support to the disabled. If this approach were combined with a simultaneous program to rigorously reduce their numbers in each generation, it might be enlightened. But there is no such proviso attached to the Point 3 largesse, and thus its pathological nature is unveiled. The Point 3 mind cannot look beyond the moment; it has compassion for the suffering individual in front of its face, yet acts in a way that will produce hundreds and thousands and millions of more suffering people in the future.

There is a mind and a soul that is qualified to decide life and death for helplessly stricken people. Its heart breaking with compassion, it can do what must be done, not out of contempt for the living but for love of those unborn. Our planet and our species are dying for lack of intervention by this higher mind.

Just as quaternary thought has the compassion and spiritual authority to say "no" (or "not," as it were) to the continued degeneration of the human species, so it can intervene in the hopeless pseudo-humanitarian muddle of "equality." The primal mind spontaneously distinguishes the shades and gradations of human quality, and ranks them in a natural hierarchy. However, over generations, this becomes ossified into rigid orders determined by birth or other methods of acquiring privilege. The knotty problem faced by every society is how to sort out the higher from the lower, the fine from the gross, the wheat from the chaff, without resorting to formalized systems which ultimately defeat the purpose.

The solution of liberal humanism, as might be expected, is to gloss over the problem entirely and claim that it doesn't exist. "Both (X and not-X)," it says - one human being is as good as another, and it's "prejudice" to make distinctions. But if we add Q we attain the higher negation of this, and are able to conceive a solution in which true human differences are recognized and effectively dealt with, but without the callousness and cruelty with which the binary mind treats those who are less capable than others. Because it subsumes Point 3, the quaternary mind fully recognizes the humanity of all, giving each individual the respect and compassion they deserve -

even though it does not indulge in the folly of pretending that all these human beings are equal in any meaningful way beyond their bare humanity.

A theoretical element that is historically involved with this issue is the "nature vs. nurture" dispute, which has been going on since before the time of Darwin. It is at bottom not scientific at all but political, for the sides have always been clearly drawn. The forces of Point 3, whether or not they're willing to compromise and admit that genetics may have some influence on the manifest quality and behavior of human beings, are adamant to the point of fanaticism that environment is the essential and determining factor. The reason is easy to see: liberal humanism is forced by the logic of its own pathology to try to impose its skewered ideal upon an intransigent real world. "if everyone is not equal," they say in effect, "then our job is to make them equal." So obviously, nurture and not nature must be the determining factor, for if it weren't, the Point 3 agenda would be forever impossible to execute.

In the Darwinian era, a key element of the debate was the question of whether or not acquired characteristics could be inherited. If they could, it would lend tremendous credence to the "nurture" camp, and in fact many of the best minds on both sides felt that a definitive resolution of this question would decide the entire issue once and for all. Not long after the turn of the century the scientific proof finally came in, showing conclusively that acquired characteristics cannot be inherited. Not surprisingly, however, the proponents of environmental determinism did not close up shop and go home. In fact, in the Soviet Union, where Point 3 had been enshrined as state ideology, the heritability of acquired characteristics was retained as official scientific theory until well into the 1940s.

The Point 3 mind is predictably horrified by the inevitable breaking up of its pseudo-world order, built as it is on an incomplete principle. "Both (X and not-X" it says, and patches together wildly divergent peoples in farcically artificial nationstates. As soon as the central power weakens, the ancient battles for blood and soil resume, the same primal opponents often picking up where they left off centuries before. Desperately fighting this losing battle, liberal humanism resorts to the same ploy it used in the 19th century, and recruits its tame scientists to produce politically-motivated evidence that ethnicity and race do not even exist. Only the armed might of the state can enforce such absurdities, flying in the face of healthy primal perception and simple common sense.

How does Q deal with this explosive issue? As we know from the formula, it negates the attempts of Point 3 to annihilate the differences between people of radically different gene-pools. It acknowledges the biological differences between races, and the right of every significant ethnicity to have its own sovereign state and to wage war with conventional weapons against its neighbors. It, in effect, and not the skewed liberal-humanist Point 3 perspective, "Celebrates Diversity." Since the quaternary higher mind is able likewise to comprehend the humanity of all races and all combatants, it can referee the territorial and racial disputes with a compassion and fairness unknown to the New World Empire of Point 3.

Indeed, the primal civilization will be a global patchwork of ethnostates. The natural hostility between races will not be declared immoral and suppressed as it is today ("politically incorrect"

is to liberal humanism as "sin" is to Christianity), but will be kept within the limits of small-scale conflicts that are adjudged beneficial to natural selection. And it will all be within a larger framework in which the highest individuals of every race and ethnicity can meet as equals in an atmosphere of mutual respect.

This brings us to the ultimate issue, the most important single factor determining the future of our species: sex. That is, the relationship between male and female.

We have noted that the breakthrough to Point 3 begins historically with sexual love. Now we must clarify that the meta-sexual union of X and not-X -- or, as would be more appropriate in this case, X and Y -- happens specifically because the two entities are polarized: the X must be truly X and the Y, Y before they can merge. Ironically, the political forces of Point 3 now use the formula in an exactly opposite way in order to bring about maximum insanity here at the furry far end of its reign. To this demented collective mind, "both (X and Y)" means that female and male are equivalent, identical, the same.

Today liberal humanism says that race does not exist. Tomorrow, or eventually, anyway, it will be forced by the logic of its own formula to declare that sex does not exist. In essence, it already has. "Since the sexes are not the same, it's our job to make them the same," they say among themselves, and all the recent legislation relating to sex proves it.

One of the most pernicious effects of the genetic deterioration described above is that it gradually depolarizes the sexes. In a healthy society, only the most Yang males and Yin females reproduce, and this tends to perpetuate the natural polarization of the sexes from generation to generation. But with the degenerative trends over the last century and a half, intensifying in the last fifty years, this selection factor has been virtually lost, resulting in a horrendous decline of sexual polarity. So it is that when the savants of Point 3 assert that society is populated not by two sexes but by a gradual scale of individuals ranging from male to female at the far ends and including many entities in between who are neither one nor the other, it cannot be denied, for in this perverted culture it's the simple truth! But this is not as things should be.

The modern wave of feminism can be said to have begun in the latter half of the 18th century, as a side effect of the American Revolution in concert with certain trends in Europe. As might be expected, it was dominated from the beginning by Point 3, but over the course of 200 years the true quaternary perspective appeared sporadically in isolated developments centering on exceptional individuals. The Point 4 feminist says "not" to the ambisexual merging of male and female, which emasculates the one and slays the essence of the other. She knows that it is no weakness to be a woman, and she has been well described as Venus Plus X; the ultra female, vibrating with an enlightenment that embraces the best of postmodern liberation and consciousness, yet is strong enough to apply this to the primal female role.

A society set up to reestablish polarity will take a long time to accomplish its goals, because of the genetic basis of the problem. Eventually, though, it will happen, if the species is to survive. And the rewards will be great. All the immediate social problems confronting us in this

despicable age are caused ultimately by the loss of primality in the people, and the essence of primality is sexual polarization.

What does this mean in practical terms? The absolutely most important change is that the human race will get its Mother back. Whatever else may be said about the mass abandonment of natural roles, it has certainly caused the greatest sustained wave of neglected, bereft, abused, confused, emotionally mangled children in the history of the world. Even when she was trying her best, Point 3 Woman had a hard time being a good mother because of her deprimalized condition; but when the message of liberal humanism on this matter took total hold in the media some three decades ago, the situation instantly became unutterably worse. Women are now encouraged to think of motherhood as a mere sideline to the real business of their lives, and an optional one at that. Daycare is offered as the great solution to the problem of how to have "both (X and not-X)." But the perceptive ones know the secret: people who are raised in daycare turn out to be robots. "Humatons" is a word coined to describe the condition more specifically: they are people who are not up to snuff as human beings. There is simply no substitute for a real mother.

Furthermore, with the return of real, primal sex will come a great reflowering of love. This is the most important part of all, for it marks the difference between life experienced as hell or heaven. There is a broad spectrum of the types and kinds of love, but full-blooded, heaven-opening, romantic sexual love only operates in a world populated by polarized people. The failure of romantic love in our dissolute times is a direct consequence of a lack of men and women qualified to indulge in it. The ambisexual creatures wearing suits and dresses (or sometimes suits and suits, and dresses and dresses) are like prepubescent children playacting the roles. Or like the sexless, machine-bred turkeys in a factory farm. No wonder romance always seems to go sour nowadays, and love so quickly wilts: the postmortem robotic gobblers just don't have what it takes.

These, then, are the four basic areas in which Q will impact society in order to make its revolutionary change:

(1) The establishment of genetic hygiene. This is a change which all enlightened people have always known is necessary to the salvation of the human race, but the outcome of World War II enabled its opponents to totally suppress it. We need to try again, because humanity silently cries out for it, and the alternative is certain doom.

(2) The replacement of the false doctrine of "equality," which in actual practice rewards the worst and handicaps the best, by a new system which acknowledges the natural human hierarchy and allows rewards and power to gravitate into the hands of those who really deserve it. And beware! Some of those at the very top of the present corrupt hierarchy will be cast down hard by this principle.

(3) Elimination of the horribly artificial and unnatural ethic which kills ethnic diversity and deliberately promotes the genetic conglomeration of all peoples into one. If this Point 3 pipe-dream were even possible of literal fulfillment, it would be the worst disaster to strike the planet

since the last meteor. Q replaces this abomination with the healthy reign of the ethnostate, in a true world order of primal civilization.

(4) The return of sexual polarity, and thereby of real sexual love.

This agenda should make it clear that Q is revolutionary. In line with the second item, the success of the revolution does not depend on the broad masses awakening to Q, but only an Elect. The best people today are wasting themselves in the conceits and illusions of Point 3. If only a few of these souls were to attain the light of quaternary thought, the shape of things to come would change dramatically.

The Spirit of the Thing

by Max Frith, White Order of Thule

A very good question has been asked of me numerous times; the topic, although related to extra-Movement activity, sheds much light on the mental state and present revolutionary capacity of our Movement. That question is, simply, how can I speak well of, and essentially supportive of, the I.R.A., when they have proclaimed some communist-like beliefs?

The answer to this question is multi-layered. First of all, and most superficially, I have personally met many IRA supporters (direct supporters) and even IRA personnel. Never has one held even the slightest communist beliefs, or had the faintest affinity with Marxism. Their war is a war of Irish against British (and "Loyalists"; in our Movement we would consider this the equal of "race traitors"); a united Ireland vs. a militarily occupied Ireland. There is no "class war," it's not "workers against bourgeoisie." It is a racial war—Irish and their homeland against British and their money-motivated occupation.

Secondly, there is a more subtle understanding of my position, one which bears upon our attitudes as alleged revolutionaries. There are necessarily two dimensions of an ideal—its essence, and its form. The essence is the underlying principle, the spirit of the thing. The form is that principle or spirit's outward manifestation; its activity in worldly affairs.

In actual battle, the difference between essence and form can be equated with strategy and tactics. Strategy is the underlying elements and procedures which will be relied upon to attain superiority over a foe; tactics is the actualization of this strategy in the form of combat maneuvers and engagements.

The essence of a thing is fundamental and all must be built upon it, including form. Essence endures, while form may, and often must, change continuously. Without the essence, a useful form cannot be actualized, or even truly comprehended.

Thus the I.R.A.'s battle, in essence, is a battle of the Irish trying to unite their entire nation. This essence can manifest as guerrilla warfare, terrorism, or conventional engagement. Its outward form can also proclaim fascist or socialist tenets. If the essence is sound, the form, perfect or flawed, is a tool of that essence. If the form prevails, the essence prevails—because the essence is the creator and utilizer of the form it is controlling.

Our Movement is opposite of the Irish one. We lack a sound understanding of the essence of the cause. Because we lack the true spiritual essence of racial and cultural destiny, our forms, though seemingly correct, are superficial. This is why I declared before that all our programs are twodimensional, and that three-dimensionality kills them—lacking the essence of the thing in itself, all forms cannot be creations and/or tools of the essence, but of our reactive minds. Our forms are not actualizations of destiny, but rather what we think they should be. Hence our "National Socialism" is based almost solely on the Third Reich, our "Paganism" on the Vikings, our "racialism" on skin color. The true essence of these things--National Socialism, Paganism, and race--is little under-stood, and for this reason, our forms are old, outdated, and unable to evolve. So, even though the I.R.A. has made some mistakes in its political espousals, because the essence of its cause remains true—that is, a united Irish homeland—its forms, whatever they may be, will serve that cause.

Because our Movement has disregarded a deep understanding of the essence of our cause, our forms, good or bad, have not and cannot truly serve it.

Therefore, we must cease the needless concentration on forms, and disunity because of it, and instead learn the essence of what we stand for, what we want, what we really need. Then all forms, political or military, philosophical or spiritual, cultural or financial will serve our cause, and bring us the success the I.R.A. enjoys today. In Twilight of the Idols, Nietzsche said: "If we possess our "why" of life we can put up with almost any "how."

Thule Society

In late 19th and early 20th century Germany and Austria there were many flourishing esoteric orders which sought to establish a reborn Germanic identity and to reconnect the volk with its repressed archetypes.

One of the most significant of these Orders was founded in Germany in 1912 - the German Order. From this sprang the Thule Society whose driving force was Rudolf von Serbottendorff. He had been schooled in occultism, Islamic mysticism, alchemy, Rosicrucianism and much else, in Turkey, where he had also been initiated into Freemasonry.

Thule served as the recruiting and political action front of the German Order. Serbottendorff bought a failing Munich newspaper, the Beobachter which he renamed the Volkische Beobachter and it became the official newspaper of the NSDAP.

A movement to promote Thulian ideas among industrial workers and to offset Marxism, was formed in 1918 - the Workers' Political Circle - with Thulist Karl Harrer as chairman. From this came the German Workers' Party in 1919. A year later this became the NSDAP under the leadership of Adolf Hitler.

Serbottendorff himself stated: "Thule members were the people to whom Hitler first turned and who first allied themselves with Hitler."

The Thule society was active in efforts to overthrow the Barvarian Communist Government. Their propaganda effort was aided by a journalist, poet, and occult student Dietrich Eckart, who was the major intellectual influence on Hitler in the early years. The swastika flag adopted by the NSDAP was the brain-child of another Thulist, Dr Krohn.

With the victory of the Nazi Party, the occult tradition was carried on in the Third Reich mainly by the SS, who Reichsfuhrer, Himmler, was an avid student of the occult. An SS occult research department, the Ahnernerbe (Ancestral Heritage) was established in 1935 with SS Colonel Wolfram von Sievers at its head. Occult research took SS researchers as far afield as Tibet. Sievers had the Tantrik prayer, the Bardo Thodol, read over his body after his execution at Nuremberg.

National Socialism and the Third Reich represented a major attempt by high esoteric Adepts to re-establish a Culture based on the Laws of Nature, against the entrenched forces of anti-Life. Nothing that ambitious had been tried since the founding of the American Republic by Masonic adepts.

The Thule Society inner circle had the following beliefs

Thule was a legendary island in the far north, similar to Atlantis, supposedly the center of a lost, high-level civilization. But not all secrets of that civilization had been completely wiped out. Those that remained were being guarded by ancient, highly intelligent beings (similar to the "Masters" of Theosophy or the White Brotherhood).

The truly initiated could establish contact with these beings by means of magic-mystical rituals. The "Masters" or "Ancients" allegedly would be able to endow the initiated with supernatural strength and energy.

With the help of these energies the goal of the initiated was to create a race of Supermen of "Aryan" stock who would exterminate all "inferior" races.

On April 6, 1919, in Bavaria, left wing socialists and anarchists proclaimed the Bavarian Soviet Republic. The brains of the revolution were a group of writers who had little idea of administration. Life in munich grew chaotic. The counter-revolutionary forces, the whites, composed of various groups of decommissioned soldiers known as "Frei Corps", equipped and financed by the mysterious Thule Society, defeated the Bavarian Soviet within a matter of weeks.

Many other decommissioned soldiers waited out the turbulence in barracks, pfc Adolph Hitler among them. After the Bavarian Republic had been defeated by the Whites, in May, Hitler's superiors put him to work in the post revolution investigating commission. His indictments injected ruthless efficiency into the kangaroo courts as he fingered hundreds of noncommissioned officers and enlisted men who had sympathized with the communist and anarchists. He was subsequently sent to attend special anticommunist training courses and seminars at the University which were financed by the Reichswehr administration and by private donors from the Thule Society.

This led to an assignment in the intelligence division of the postwar German army, to infiltrate groups that could organize the working classes while the communists were weak. On a September evening, 1919, Hitler turned up in the Sternecker Beer Hall where members and friends of the budding German Workers Party had gathered. He quietly listened to the presentation by engineer Gottfried Feder, a Thule Society member, who talked about jewish control over lending capital. When one of the other group members called for Bavaria to break away from the rest of Germany, Hitler sprang into action. The astonished audience stood by while his highly aggressive remarks and compelling oratory swept through the room. After Hitler had finished his harangue, party chairman and founder, Anton Drexler, immediately asked him to a meeting of the party's steering committee held a few days later. He was asked to join the committee as its seventh member, responsible for advertising and propaganda.

Back in 1912, several German occultists with radical anti-semitic inclinations decided to form a "magic" lodge, which they named the Order of Teutons. the main founders were Theodor Fritsch, a publisher of an anti-semitic journal; Philipp Stauff, pupil of the racist Guido Von List, and Hermann Pohl, the order's chancellor. (Pohl would drop out three years later to found his own bizarre lodge, the Walvater Teutonic Order of the Holy Grail.) The Order of Teutons was organized along the lines of the Free Masons or the Rosicrucians, having differing degrees of initiation, only persons who could fully document that they were of pure "aryan" ancestry were allowed to join.

In 1915, Pohl was joined by Rudolf Blauer, who held a Turkish passport and practiced sufi meditation. He also dabbled in astrology and was an admirer of Lanz Von Liebenfels and Guido

Von List, both pathologically anti-semitic. Blauer went by the name of Rudolf Freiherr Von Seboottendorf. He was very wealthy, although the origin of his fortune is unknown. He became the Grand Master of the Bavarian Order and he founded the Thule Society, with Pohl's approval, in 1918.

After the Bavarian communist revolution of 1918, the Thule Society became a center of the counterrevolutionary subculture. An espionage network and arms caches were organized. The Thule Club rooms became a nest of resistance to the revolution and the Munich Soviet Republic. Journalist Karl Harrer was given the job of founding a political "worker circle". He realized that the workers would reject any program that was presented to them by a member of the conservative "privileged" class. Harrer knew that the mechanic Anton Drexler, who was working for the railroads, was a well-known anti-semite, chauvinist and proletarian. With drexler as nominal chairman, Harrer founded the German Workers Party in January 1919

The German Workers Party was only one of many associations founded and controlled by the Thule Society. The Thule was the "mother" to the German Socialist Party, led by Julius Streicher, and the right-wing radical Oberland Free Corps. It published the Munich observer, which later became the National Observer. Hitler became the most prominent personality in the party. He caused Harrer to drop out, and he pushed Drexler, the nominal chairman, to the sidelines. He filled key positions with his own friends from the Thule Society and the Army. During the summer of 1920, upon his suggestion, the party was renamed the National Socialist German Worker Party (NASDAP). The new name was intended to equally attract nationalists and proletarians.

To go along with the new name his mass movement also required a flag with a powerful symbol. Among many designs under consideration, Hitler picked the one suggested by Thule member Dr. Krohn: a red cloth with a white circle in the middle containing a black swastika.

Hitler wanted to turn the German Workers Party into a mass-conscious fighting party, but Harrer and Drexler were hesitant, due in part to their woeful financial situation. The Thule Society was not yet supplying very much money and no one seemed to know how to build up a mass party. Hitler arranged two public meetings in obscure beer halls, and he drafted leaflets and posters, but there was no real breakthrough.

All of this changed dramatically at the end of the 1919 when Hitler met Dietrich Eckart. Most biographers have underestimated the influence that Eckart exerted on Hitler. He was the wealthy publisher and editor-in-chief of an anti-semitic journal which he called In Plain German. Eckart was also a committed occultist and a master of magic. As an initiate, Eckart belonged to the inner circle of the Thule Society as well as other esoteric orders.

There can be no doubt that Eckart - who had been alerted to Hitler by other Thulists - trained Hitler in techniques of self confidence, self projection, persuasive oratory, body language and discursive sophistry. With these tools, in a short period of time he was able to move the obscure workers party from the club and beer hall atmosphere to a mass movement. The emotion charged lay speaker became an expert orator, capable of mesmerizing a vast audience.

One should not underestimate occultism's influence on Hitler. His subsequent rejection of Free Masons and esoteric movements, of Theosophy, of Anthrosophy, does not necessarily mean otherwise. Occult circles have long been known as covers for espionage and influence peddling. Hitler's spy apparatus under Canaris and Heydrich were well aware of these conduits, particularly from the direction of Britain which had within its MI5 intelligence agency a department known as the Occult Bureau. That these potential sources of trouble were purged from Nazi life should not be taken to mean that Hitler and the Nazi secret societies were not influenced by mystical and occult writers such as Madame Blavatsky, Houston Stewart Chamberlain, Guido Von List, Lanz Von Liebenfels, Rudolf Steiner, George Gurdjieff, Karl Haushofer and Theodor Fritsch. Although Hitler later denounced and ridiculed many of them, he did dedicate his book Mein Kampf to his teacher Dietrich Eckart.

A frequent visitor to Landsberg Prison where Hitler was writing Mein Kampf with the help of Rudolf Hess, was General Karl Haushofer, a university professor and director of the Munich Institute of Geopolitics. Haushofer, Hitler, and Hess had long conversations together. Hess also kept records of these conversations. Hitler's demands for German "Living Space" in the east at the expense of the Slavic nations were based on the geopolitical theories of the learned professor. Haushofer was also inclined toward the esoteric. as military attache in Japan, he had studied Zen-Buddhism. He had also gone through initiations at the hands of Tibetan Lamas. He became Hitler's second "esoteric mentor", replacing Dietrich Eckart. In Berlin, Haushofer had founded the Luminous Lodge or the Vril Society. The lodge's objective was to explore the origins of the Aryan race and to perform exercises in concentration to awaken the forces of "Vril". Haushofer was a student of the Russian magician and metaphysician Gregor Ivanovich Gurdyev (George Gurdjieff).

Both Gurdjeiff and Haushofer maintained that they had contacts with secret Tibetan Lodges that possessed the secret of the "Superman". The lodge included Hitler, Alfred Rosenberg, Himmler, Goring, and Hitler's subsequent personal physician Dr. Morell. It is also known that Aleister Crowley and Gurdjieff sought contact with Hitler. Hitler's unusual powers of suggestion become more understandable if one keeps in mind that he had access to the "secret" psychological techniques of the esoteric lodges. Haushofer taught him the techniques of Gurdjieff which, in turn, were based on the teachings of the Sufis and the Tibetan Lamas- and familiarized him with the Zen teaching of the Japanese Society of the Green Dragon.

In the latter half of the previous century, intriguing hints about Tibetan secret teachings had been carried to the west by Helena Blavatsky, who claimed initiation at the hands of the Holy Lamas themselves. Blavatsky taught that her "Hidden Masters" and "Secret Chiefs" had their earthly residence in the Himalayan region. As soon as the Nazi movement had sufficient funds, it began to organize a number of expeditions to Tibet and these succeeded one another practically without interruption until 1943. One of the most tangible expressions of Nazi interest in Tibet was the party's adoption of its deepest and most mystical of symbols-the swastika.

The swastika is one of mankind's oldest symbols, and apart from the cross and the circle, probably the most widely distributed. It is shown on pottery fragments from Greece dating back to the eighth century b.c. It was used in ancient Egypt, India and China. The Navaho indians of

North America have a traditional swastika pattern. Arab-Islamic sorcerers used it. In more recent times, it was incorporated in the flags of certain baltic states.

The idea for the use of the swastika by the Nazis came from a dentist named Dr. Friedrich Krohn who was a member of the secret Germanen order. Krohn produced the design for the actual form in which the Nazis came to use the symbol, that is reversed, spinning in an anti-clockwise direction. As a solar symbol, the swastika is properly thought of as spinning, and the Buddhists have always believed the symbol attracted luck. The Sanskrit word "svastika" means good fortune and well being. According to Cabbalistic lore and occult theory, chaotic force can be evoked by revers- ing the symbol. And so the symbol appeared as the flag of Nazi Germany and the insignia of the Nazi party, an indication for those who had eyes to see, as to the occult nature of the Third Reich.

Operational Dialectics: Utilizing the Enemy

by Kerry Bolton

It is time to re-evaluate the effectiveness of attacking certain symptoms of Europe's decline headon, and consider how the virus might be reformulated as a medicine. Can what is killing us be transmuted into something that can bring us back to life?

The traditional, conservative "Old Right", of which I do not think the OJB can be a part, has generally attempted to take its enemies head-on and has failed in the process. Fortunately, a "New Right" has emerged in Europe which is dialectical, and has not started from ANY ideological preconceptions. It is "NEW" in the sense of dispensing with old Right dichotomies, yet its dialectical approach parallels that of original fascism. Of course, Fascism was born not of the Old Right of its own time, but of its own synthesis.

Christianity

From a dialectical perspective perhaps it is time for Pagans, including Satanists, to rethink their polemics against Christianity, especially when anti-Christianism becomes a preoccupation, obscuring the realities of history and the development of positive and effective strategies.

Satanism is still too much in the clutches of Church and Hollywood stereotypes, and renders itself irrelevant to the struggle for European rebirth. It too often sees itself ultimately engaged in a Biblical Armageddon scenario against the mass forces of Christianity. Thus the Satanist will, "on principle" eschew any association with Christians, however nominal their Christianity, who are fighting for European rebirth. They will refrain from joining any European Nationalist movements and parties, merely because some leader might make occasional referances to Christian Civilization, or adopt some Christian symbol which has been thoroughly imbued with the traditional essence of a nation, and often has pre-Christian origins anyway.

Thereby European-oriented Satanists and other Pagans put themselves on the sidelines, while the nominal "Christians" do the front-line fighting. What is to be offered in return? Satanist political parties? Satan or the hustings? A grand vision of a pentagram being unfurled over Europe after some imagined armageddon?

Fascism

Think dialectically. When the church sought to convert Pagan Europe, it soon gave up trying to ban Pagan festivals, slandering Pagan heroes and deities, destroying Pagan symbols. Rather its real successes came by co-opting Pagan aspects to subvert Pagans. The old Pagan heroes became Christian "Saints" such as St. George, Pagan symbols such as the Celtic cross became Church symbols, and of course the Pagan festivals such as Yule and Easter became Christian festivals.

But despite the tremendous damage done to Europe by Christianity, and the fundamental way Europe was hijacked from its destiny, the process was not entirely one way. Blood will out, and Christianity was itself not immune to the impress of European race and culture. A Gothic Christianity emerged re-expressing the old Pagan ethos of honour and duty as "chivalry". "Christian" became synonymous with European, especially relative to "outsiders" such as the Muslim and the Jew. Learned "Christian" teachers such as Meister Eckhart expressed fundamentally Germanic mystical concepts of Godhood. The Guildmen left their Pagan "Masons Marks" (including pentagrams and Runes) on the Cathedrals they built.

Those who saw European rebirth realistically had to do so within the context of this tradition. As deplorable as it might be, we must deal with facts rather than wishful thinking.

Fascism was essentially a Pagan resurgence. Mussolini and Gentile explained it basically as a grand synthesis of all the major currents of European thought. Thus the most avid traditionalist and the most fanatical futurist were encompassed within the same movement, and both contributed to a new Fascist culture. Whilst the ethos that was revived was undoubtedly, robustly, Roman, Fascism also healed the breech between the state and the Vatican, without which there could be no national unity.

Even the NSDAP programme made mention of "positive Christianity", as a means of countering materialism, seen as the "Jewish spirit from within and without". Hitler often used the term "Christian" as synonymous for German and Aryan, as distinct from Jewish. Rosenburg considered ways in which a Germanic Christianity could be established in the tradition of such heretics as Eckart, and by replacing the Old Testament with the sagas, and purging the New of the influence of Paul.

Yet, to Hitler, it was "the same old Jewish swindle", and Rosenburg eventually advocated a Reich Church which would replace the Bible with Mein Kampf, and the crucifix with the sword and swastika. But for the most part they had to speak the language of the common folk. A head-on attack against Christianity would have divided the folk still further along religious lines. Hitler rightly saw Christianity as senile, a dying creed, and believed a new generation with a new folkish faith, would replace the old, making Christianity defunct within a few generations.

Many Fascist movements developed from Catholic reform movements per se. This is not to accept the idiotic notion of these mostly American "Libertarian Satanists" (sic) who consider Fascism itself as Christian. Rather the Catholic Church continued to embody certain European traditions, such as the Guild method of social organization, which developed into Corporativist policy of Fascism. Examples include Father Coughlin, the American "radio priest" who formed a Fascist Mass following in Depression America (ultimately to be silenced by his Church superiors). Adrian Arcand in Canada started as a Catholic journalist and went on to form a National Socialist Party (for which he was jailed during the war). Likewise, Leon Degrelle in Belgium started the Rexist Movement. Yet to hear some Pagans and Satanists, who profess faith in Europe, someone like Degrelle (highly decorated Waffen SS hero, of whom Hitler said if he'd had a son he'd wish him to be just like Degrelle) would be dismissed as one of the "Christian Enemy"!

Dialectical

There are yet others who adopted the full trappings of their Nations' religious tradition whilst espousing some form of Fascism, and did so in a conscious, dialectical manner. Even Charles Maurras, founder of "Action Francais" during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, was himself an Atheist. Yet his own movement, a precursor of French Fascism, was considered as traditional Catholic. In the same country, in our time, Le Pens National Front celebrates Joan de Arc as their Patron Saint in massive demonstrations of French Nationalism. Le Pen calls for the defence of "Christian Civilization" as synonymous with European Civilization. Yet Le Pen himself (according to his ex-wife) will not have a crucifix in the house, and has stated he'd rather have his children educated by socialists than Catholic Priests.

Reclaiming Our Heritage

The most effective way of reclaiming our heritage from the Christians is not to direct anti-Christian invective towards an indifferent or hostile public, or to alienate fellow Nationalists. It is to adopt the Churches original tactic. Just as the Church subverted Pagan Europe by hijacking its symbols and Heroes; Pagans might consider how a Gothic Christianity can be utilized in the service of Europe, while expressing a fundamentally Pagan character of European Nationalism, whatever its fundamental Christian trappings. Simultaneously, this does not mean that Pagans must abandon their creeds or refrain from presenting them as integral to the ultimate destiny of Europe. The European New Right, particularly influential among French intellectual and cultural circles, from the start rejected "Judeo Christianity" in favour of "Indo Europeanism" with a particular affinity to Ancient Greece. Certain movements, such as C.Bouches "Nouvelle Resistance", are anti-Christian and cultivate esoteric links.

A two-pronged strategy should be pursued, using the emerging European Nationalist Parties, whatever their Christian facade; whilst continuing to propagate the ultimate vision of a Pagan Europe. Whatever Christianity many Nationalists claim to profess, the very fact of their Nationalism is a repudation of Christian dogma, which is nothing but universalist and egalitarian.

The options are many, when a simplified dichotomy is abandoned. Perhaps we can apply the "Bach Remedy" to politics, and use a little of the poison to obtain a cure?

We Good Europeans

by Max Frith, White Order of Thule

There is an American-invented prejudice which should be dragged out into the light where it will perish, like the fungal growth that it is. This prejudice is the over-used American preference to the qualities of Northern Europeans. I do not here denote the use of the word "Northern" as used to describe Scandinavian countries, but rather its misuse as a term to describe some form of "real" European. The strange thing about this pseudo- description is that in Europe, where men know what European means because they are Europeans, this prejudice doesn't exist. Ironically, it is in European mutt-dom, America, that some people find the nerve to decide which Europeans are "really" Europeans, or "more Aryan" than others. A brief look at recent history should demonstrate how unfair, ignorant, and disrespectful these categorizations are. Let us use World War II.

As we all know, it was the German nations which primarily carried the Aryan torch in World War II. But, who responded to Hitler's call, who took up arms against the Aryan resurgence, and who straddled the fence?

England and France, both Western or Northern European countries, fought against National Socialism, and therefore, against our race. Fascist Italy, on the other hand, fought with National Socialism; Spain remained embroiled in its own Fascist/Communist civil war. Both are Southern European countries.

Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia and the Ukraine, all Eastern European countries, fought for Hitler in the Russian campaign, and were later sold out to the Communists for doing so - by their Northern European counterparts.

Some other Northern European countries which Americans often label as the "more Aryan" Europeans, Sweden, the Republic of Ireland, and Switzerland, all remained neutral, which, considering the implications of the battle fought, was equivalent to siding with the anti-National Socialist allies.

Even Greece, that extremely Southern European country, was pro-Axis, and would have sided with Hitler had not British intelligence assassinated its National Socialist president, General Metaxas, in 1941.

Even today, Spain has more Skinheads than America, Italy has a powerful Fascist party which includes Benito Mussolini's granddaughter, Alessandra, and Greece's Golden Dawn is among the most influential National Socialist entities in the world.

In consideration of the very Aryan deeds of our Southern and Eastern European brethren, past and present, should not we, as Jew-controlled, world-policing, American cross-breeds, give respect where due? Let not the cultureless define culture, Per Angusta Ad Augusta.

Wherefore Satan?

Exploring Satanism as a Viable Revolutionary Movement by Max Frith, White Order of Thule

We of the White Order of Thule do hereby wish it known that, whilst we emphasize the "higher," numinous and luminous aspects of existence and spirituality through the change of appellations of both our organization and its official journal at the close of 1996 (from the Black Order to the White Order of Thule, and from The Abyss to Crossing the Abyss, respectively—see issue #2 of Crossing the Abyss), we nevertheless acknowledge the importance, nay, necessity, of giving voice and expression to the "sinister," or darkside of our nature. It would not behoove us to do otherwise; the modern paradigm is plenty proof of the ill-effects such repression produces. While this is an overtly and explicitly "christian" Western world no longer, the case is still as Nietzsche pointed out more than a century ago: in discarding the outward trappings of the Judeo-Nazarene taint, we still have adhered more or less rigidly to the morality implicit in the mentality. If it is true that, as Nietzsche put it, Christianity is a slave-religion, is it not still the official religion of the state? Most have simply replaced the empty dogma of the church with the equally vapid surrogates of egalitarianism, pacifism, and consumerism. The point is, where "goodness" means "weakness," strength must be seen as evil. It is as evil, then, that we, as ostensibly embodying the power, the strength, the nobility of our promise as a people, must appear to the huddled masses. Should "We Good Europeans," as harbingers and heralds of a New Aeon "for troth with the Old Gods and resurgence of the Tribes," exclude from our ranks those who would profess loyalty to "Satan," the one true archetype of defiance and rebellion that this dying old Aeon has given us? Was it not Hitler himself who identified our enemy's enemy as our greatest friend?

While we do not profess to be a "satanic" or "sinister" Order, we have previously acknowledged the dutiful place of what we call the "Black Order," that is, the negative, destructive impulse and the ceremonies, rituals, and imagery deriving therefrom (q.v. "What is a White Order?" in Crossing the Abyss #2). In officially acknowledging and legitimizing the "shadow," or sinister side of ourselves, for ourselves, in such an open and public forum, we should therefore faithfully follow through and permit the darkside's expression within our own ranks. We, though ultimately deriving our essence from the light that casts the shadow, are nevertheless not sanctimonious!

Within a specifically Judeo-Christian context, Satan is arguably the most potent and negativelycharged archetype. Would it not benefit us to tap into the energy latent in such a symbolically, and therefore potentially, destructive symbol in order to aid the natural process that is birthing the New on the ashes of the Old? "That which is falling should also be pushed. That which is crawling should also be crushed," wrote Nietzsche. Indeed, many have credited Anton LaVey's Satanic Bible with giving practical expression to the thoughts of Nietzsche, and let's face it, more White youth are likely to read that than Mein Kampf under the current social climate.

Pragmatically speaking, "tapping into a symbol" could be taken as meaning drawing from the ranks of those who avow allegiance to that symbol. In keeping with their neo-primal creed, many Satanists are in possession of relatively unimpaired instincts (read: racially conscious), and could therefore identify with the cause of the realization of the new Aeon, as we manifest it. Some already do, as is evidenced by some (only some) LaVeyan Satanists in their forum The Black Flame (for a good example see "The Faustian Nature of Fascism" in Vol. 5 #1 and 2), but is even more evident in publications from a group hailing from England called the "Order of Nine Angles," who are peripherally associated with the racialist Order of the Jarls of Bælder.

Lastly, in order to properly understand the significance of the Judeo-Christian image, we, as properly standing outside of the current, dying cosmogony, turn to a pagan image. The Norse trickster god Loki, though not the archetype of "evil" in the same sense as Satan ("evil" being specific to dualist paradigms), is nevertheless similarly a revolutionary archetype, challenging the status quo and upsetting the sensibilities of the ruling element, the Æsir. Indeed it is Loki who helps to engineer the fateful conclusion to Ragnarök, aiding Surt and his giants toward the Æsir's downfall, in effect to usher in the New Aeon wherein an even mightier race of gods shall grow and prosper, and thus does the wheel turn again. That is, Loki is on our side!

In closing: let's give the Devil his due. Hail Satan! Hail Loki! Hail Surt!

And thereafter, Hail Bælder!

"Few men Will anything very strongly, and out of these few, only a tiny minority are capable of combining strength of will with unwavering continuity. Most human beings are spasmodic and intermittent creatures, who like above everything the pleasures of mental indolence. "It is for this reason," says Bryce, "that a strenuous and unwearying will sometimes becomes so tremendous a power, almost a hypnotic force." Lucifer is the highest mythological incarnation of this intense personal will, and the great men who have embodied it upon the stage of history participate, to some extent, in his satanic strength and magnificence. It is because of his strength and magnificence, so very different from our own weakness and mental squalor, that we continue to hark back nostalgically to the biographies of such men as Alexander, Caesar, Napoleon, and that, as each new imitator of Lucifer arises, we prostrate ourselves before him, begging him to save us." - Aldous Huxley